## 1 THE ANGUILLA HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS OF 2 THE EIGHTH MEETING OF THE FIRST SESSION OF THE ELEVENTH ANGUILLA HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY 3 HELD ON MONDAY 19TH NOVEMBER, 2015 AT 10:00 A.M. AT THE ATLIN NORALDO HARRIGAN, OBE, 4 PARLIAMENTARY BUILDING 5 THE VALLEY, ANGUILLA 6 Presiding 7 Hon Speaker of the House of Assembly, Mr. Leroy Rogers 8 Members Present in the Assembly 9 Hon Member for Valley South, Mr. Victor F. Banks Hon Member for Valley North, Mr. Evans M. Rogers 10 Hon Member for Sandy Hill, Mrs. Cora Richardson-Hodge Hon Member for Road South, Mr. Curtis Richardson 11 Hon Member for Road North, Mrs. Evalie A. Bradley Hon Member for West End, Mr. Cardigan Connor 12 Hon Member for Island Harbour, Ms. Palmavon Webster 13 Hon First Nominated Member, Mr. Terry Harrigan Hon Second Nominated Member, Mr. Paul Harrigan 14 Hon Deputy Governor, Mr. Stanley Reid Hon Attorney General, Mr. Rupert Jones 15 In attendance 16 Clerk to the House of Assembly, Mr. Lenox Proctor Court Reporters: Miss Carla S. Ritchie and 17 Miss Heather R. Rodney 18 Sergeant at Arms, Mr. Carl Ruan Chaplain, Pastor Lucien MacDonna 19 20 21 22 Court Reporting Unit 23 Government of Anguilla The Valley, Anguilla B.W.I. 24

DONE BY: HEATHER R. RODNEY, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER CARLA S. RITCHIE, CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER.

1	INDEX	
2		Page No.
3	Prayers	3
4		
5	The Banking Bill, 2015 (Second Reading) Moved by Member for Valley South	4
6	Moved by Member for variey boden	ų
7	Contributions to Banking Bill, 2015:	
8	Member for Island Harbour	32
9	Member for Road South Second Nominated Member	201 210
10	Member for Valley North Member for West End	215 228
11	Member for Road North	230
12	Response by Member for Valley South	234
13	Third reading of the Banking Bill, 2015	252
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Meanings of Symbols and Words used in Transcripts 1 2 Dashes 3 A series of two dashes (--) is used to show: 4 When the speaker has a (1) Changes of thought: 5 sudden change of thought leaving a sentence unfinished to begin a new sentence or a phrase. 6 (2) Mid-word: When a speaker leaves a word unfinished and starts another word. 8 (3) Interruption: When a speaker is interrupted by another speaker. These dashes normally appear 9 at the end of the sentence. When the dashes appear at the beginning of the sentence it means 10 that the speaker continued speaking even though interrupted or resumed the sentence after the 11 interruption. 12 The word (indiscernible): 13 14 Used when a word or phrase is unintelligible and cannot be transcribed due to interruption, simultaneous speech, 15 cough, voice lowered. 16 The word (inaudible): 17 Used when a speaker cannot be heard. 18 19 The word (phonetic): When the correct spelling of a word or name cannot be 20 ascertained from available resource material the word is typed as it sounds phonetically and the word phonetic 21 follows in brackets. 22 23 The word (sic): Used when a speaker uses a term or word or figure/number 24

that is known to be incorrect.

## P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

## (Meeting commenced at 10:00 a.m.)

THE CHAPLAIN:

"Let not your

heart be troubled: ye believe in God, believe also in me." (St. John's gospel 14:1.) 1 Timothy 1: "But we know that the law is good, if a man use it lawfully. Knowing this, the law is not made for a righteous man, but for the lawless, disobedient, for the ungodly, for sinners, for unholy and profane, for murderers of fathers and murderers of mothers, for manslayers..."

Let us pray.

Father we thank you for this golden opportunity you've given us today as we come to this Honourable House to do the people's business. May you bless this Government, may you bless this nation.

Lord, the undertakings of today, may it be done in the fear of you and with the people in mind. We pray that you will continue to cause this nation to prosper and you will continue to cause this Government to be wise and intelligent in its undertaking. We ask your continual guidance upon their hands, upon the decisions that will be made here today. We thank you for your continual strength that you'll give to them. We ask these blessings today in the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. Amen.

1 THE SPEAKER: Please be 2 seated. Thank you, Pastor MacDonna. 3 THE CLERK: Confirmation of Minutes: None. Oaths of Allegiance: None. 4 5 Announcements by the direction of the Speaker: None. 6 None. Reports from committees: None. 7 Petitions: None. Government notices: None. Unofficial notices: None. Questions: None. Motions: 8 None. Other business - Government Business: Banking 9 10 Bill 2015, second reading. 11 THE SPEAKER: Member for 12 Valley South. Yes. Member for Valley South. 13 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I move that a Bill shortly entitled the Banking Act 2015 14 15 be read a second time. 16 Mr. Speaker, we are here in this Honourable 17 House today to do the first reading [sic] --18 THE SPEAKER: Second reading. 19 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Second reading 20 of the Banking Act. The second reading is an important 21 aspect of the process because in addition to the public 22 consultations which would have taken place, there is an 23 opportunity once again, in parliament and with the 24 benefit of the coverage by radio and television, to 25 present to the people of Anguilla who would be affected

by any legislation in this Honourable House, the issues relating to the Bill and the reason why it is important that it be presented to this Honourable House today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, for over two years and approximately four months we have been, approximately three months, I'm sorry, we have been in a situation of uncertainty and flux with the taking over of our two indigenous banks by a conservatorship managed by the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank. It is a conservatorship that could not have taken place were it not that the member for the Monetary Council who comes from Anguilla would have given that permission for the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank to take over the running of our banks and put them into conservatorship. And I am convinced that the member for the Monetary Council for Anguilla, at the time the Honourable Hubert Benjamin Hughes, would have been presented with compelling arguments why that should take place. I am convinced that the Honourable Hubert Benjamin Hughes would not have made that decision lightly. And he would have recognised when he made that decision, Mr. Speaker, that the people of Anguilla who are so much involved in the indigenous banks and have such an affinity for them, the people of Anguilla whose lives have been affected in positive ways as a result of those

indigenous banks would be very concerned to know that the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank found it necessary to put these banks under conservatorship. So he would also have been convinced, Mr. Speaker, based on the arguments that he was presented, that by putting these banks in conservatorship he would put them in a better position to carry on the functions that they have been carrying out for over, in some cases over 30 years.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, while in opposition the members of our party expressed concerns as well. I'm sure that the members of Mr. Hughes' party would have had similar concerns. But as a leader, he took a decision on the basis of the facts presented to him. The executive of our party had a meeting to present the party position on this issue and the Leader of the Opposition, Parliamentary Opposition, Mr. Niel Rogers was instructed by the party to make a statement which reflected the sentiments of the party that it must have been for a very good reason that the Chief Minister would have taken this step and if that is the case, that we should give him support, with the understanding that a public announcement coming out of the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank and a meeting that he would have had with the Governor and staff of the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank as well, as I believe the Chief

Minister was present at the time, okay, would have been told that the objective of this exercise was to stabilise the banking sector. So here we are,

4 Mr. Speaker, 27 months later. Over 27 months we have

5 | not arrived at a resolution. We were promised that on

6 -- after 6 months the Central Bank would present a

7 report as to the condition of the indigenous banks and

8 advise whether or not they would return them to a state

9 of normalcy or whether they would take further action

10 to resolve the banking crisis. After 6 months they

11 | indicated that they needed more time. Another 6 months

12 | would have elapsed and again, Mr. Speaker, the Eastern

Caribbean Central Bank was unable to make an adequate

14 presentation that would indicate what was the future of

15 | the indigenous banks in Anguilla. The government of

16 the day, I would imagine, would have been intimately

17 involved in this conversation but on no occasion was

18 information of any kind, of any consequence, of any

19 substance presented to the people of Anguilla over that

20 | 18 month period. But as we go back and look at the

21 various correspondence back in place in coming to

22 government, we recognised a number of things. One,

23 that the government had asked the Foreign and

Commonwealth Office to assist them with a forensic

audit to determine what was the cause of the situation

25

in the indigenous banking sector. That is the only information that we would have received. And that request was a rather threatening request from the standpoint that persons were of the view that the situation in the banks was as a result of some malfeasance or some mismanagement, some corruption, some bad practices and a range of things on account of directors, management, staff and large shareholders of the bank. That was precisely what the forensic report, so called, was asked to do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But in December 2014 the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, obviously on the urgings of the Government of Anguilla, commissioned the Pricewaterhouse and Coopers to do an analysis of the banking situation and make certain recommendations which involved certain options for resolution of the Those options and resolutions were in banking crisis. a document that we would have met coming into office on April 22nd that includes a number of things from bailout to bail in and three options in between. Those options had to do, on the one side, liquidation, which is bailout -- no, which is bail in where everybody gets in and tries to take care of the situation. Liquidation take care of the situation and people get a certain percentage on their deposits. That was the

extreme option. The other side was complete bailout by the Government of Anguilla. And the other side of that was bail in where depositors, shareholders, directors and all persons associated with the bank would put money into the bank to restore it to a level of stability. The option in between, the hybrid option, included part bail in or part bailout and an effort to regularise the situation in the banks by having a bank with the non-performing assets and a new bank with the good assets to provide services, banking services to the people of Anguilla and that this entity would be owned by the Government of Anguilla and some of the large shareholders would bail in to the extent that they would have their monies, some of their monies frozen in a special purpose vehicle and as an incentive for that receive certain shareholdings in the new bank and I think the estimate was up to 30 percent.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Eastern Caribbean Central Bank had always maintained that for the survival of the Currency Union it was necessary that all depositors, that domestic depositors in the banks, customer deposits in the banks be guaranteed a hundred percent. That was a position that the Central Bank held because it believe that that was important for the survival of the banking sector in the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union under

prepare for discussion on some of these concerns as early as January next year. That is the process.

So Mr. Speaker, I don't want to go any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

25

further right now but to say that it's important that we pass this Bill in our House of Assembly today. important for us to resolve this banking crisis. It is that simple. Like I say, fixing this simple. problem of the indigenous banking sector and the stability of Anguilla, the underlying economy, the underlying economy can really not be fixed unless we fix this Banking Bill; except we put this resolution in place. It gives us credibility as a jurisdiction to make this happen. Gives us that credibility. I have an opportunity to come back and respond to any issues that anybody else on the floor may raise so I'm going to take my seat now and ask somebody, whether on this side or that side, to second the motion and await the responses in this Honourable House. Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you.

(Member for Island Harbour began to rise.)

THE SPEAKER: Do we have a

23 seconder? You're getting up to second it?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you. I was getting up to say to you, Mr. Speaker, that I had

colleagues that this Banking Act was, at the meeting of January 23rd, that this Banking Act should be presented to their members states, its member state Anguilla by the 24th of February, 2015 and passed in their parliaments by the 27th of March, 2015. Those were the instructions from the Monetary Council; which means this and other legislation. It means that that was the agreement among the members of the Monetary Council, the decision that they made based on the satisfaction that they would have received from their colleagues and from the legal departments that this is ready to go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Right now this Banking Act is passed in six of the territories; the independent territories. The last one who passed it I understand was St. Kitts/Nevis; the one before that was Antiqua and Barbuda. Antiqua and Barbuda passed their Act and they also in passing the Act made certain amendments, not made certain amendments, made a list of concerns that they had; a list of concerns that they had. We also in this process put together a list of concerns which we forwarded to the ECCB when we went there on Tuesday for their review and I asked the Monetary Council, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, they are my administrative arm, to inform the various members of the Monetary Council to have their legal departments

So the drafting process begins by the Monetary Council instructing the Central Bank through its legal department to begin drafting an Act for whatever purposes we believe is necessary. Monetary Council gives instructions to the drafting department, the legal department of the ECCB to contact the Attorney General's Chambers of all the members of the Monetary Council to come together in a workshop a number of -- at least two times a year but as often as necessary to make the necessary amendments and adjustments that can make the legislation that would have been passed in the several Houses of Assembly or parliaments, to make it fit for purpose. The Attorney General here has been in Anguilla for maybe a year. I'm sure that he's been to the Monetary Council, maybe not to be a -- on a number of times on a consultatory basis with legislation. He certainly would have been there two times with me at least. It is a consultative process.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When we come to this house with a Banking Act, we have been instructed, excuse me, we have been instructed by the Monetary Council, as the Honourable Hubert Benjamin Hughes was instructed on February 24th, 2015. He wasn't instructed, he was a part of the decision. He made the decision along with his

a uniform piece of legislation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I have contacted the -- As the Chairman of the Monetary Council, I have the privilege to convene meetings of the Monetary Council, extraordinary meetings when I realise that there're issues that affect the Currency Union and affect Anguilla. the only privilege I have as the Chairman of the Monetary Council. Every other member of the Monetary Council have the same power/authority that I have. Prime Minister of St. Vincent could bang he mouth all over the Caribbean, but he still don't have any more power than I have in the Monetary Council. We all have the same power. The Prime Minister of Montserrat -the Premiere of Montserrat, is the smallest territory in the Monetary Council but he has as much power as the Chairman of the Monetary Council. We're all in this together. We have decided as countries, back in 1983, that to regulate our financial services sector we have got to devolve some of our sovereignty to an agency to regulate our banks. We have decided in 1983. And by virtue of that we have agreed that there may come a time to effect that regulation, we will have to pass legislation in the Houses of Assembly and that legislation cannot be for one territory as opposed to another. It has to govern all of them.

their regulatory powers and that was in total opposition to the view held by the Foreign and Commonwealth Office that perhaps because of the cost of such an exercise and when we estimated the cost of such an exercise if, full protection of depositors to a hundred percent, if you were to foot a bond to cover that, will cost the Government of Anguilla somewhere in the region of 70 million dollars a year. Immediately my colleagues and I recognised that such a situation was not sustainable and not a viable option. There is no way that, based on the definition of full protection and the application of full protection, that the Government of Anguilla could afford such a weighty cost/burden on the Anguillian Government and people of Anguilla. So that was the parting point where we had to decide as a government whether we were going to secure the deposits of our customers a hundred percent or to come up with some innovation which would eventually or could eventually lead to that but didn't quarantee more than 70% of customers' deposits. Certainly, there were some customers under \$200,000.00; 97% of the customers under \$200,000.00 would have a full quarantee of their deposits. But then there were a 3% of considerable depositors, including the Social Security Fund and other government deposits, ANGLEC and

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

a number of persons within the community who would be affected by this and we felt that that also had serious implications for the Government of Anguilla. So we've gone through an entire process coming to where we are now.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

As late as July 24th of this year, we were still wrangling with the idea of what kind of resolution would be more suitable and affordable for Anguilla. And at that time I expressed, at my installation as the Chairman of the Monetary Council, that one size could not fit all and there are some serious concerns that we had as a jurisdiction where even though we wanted to abide by the principle, the policy of full protection for depositors in the event of a banking failure, that that resolution for us will represent more than a hundred and twenty percent of our And it was alright in the case of Antigua because in their situation a resolution requiring the Government of Anguilla -- of Antigua to provide a hundred percent security, full protection on the depositors was only about 8.4% of their GDP so it was a much larger issue for Anguilla.

So even though I heard letters being read about the resolution costing the Government of Anguilla 70 million dollars, that is something that is six

months dated. Six months dated. We've come a long, long way since that. And during that period when we had those concerns we were working very closely with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office to achieve that objective and we had taken into account the fact that if we were to effect that resolution within the currency union we would not be able to do so because we would be running counter to the policy framework for resolutions which they had adopted on the Monetary Council.

So after July and going into August we were toying with the way forward. And because we were in a state of indecision about how we should proceed, it was always difficult to communicate to the wider community where we were going and the way forward. We worked over and over with various agencies, friendly agencies within the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, our regional partners in the OECS, the CDB, the IMF, international partners in the IMF, the World Bank and a number of other persons, consultants who were taking part in that exercise. Ernst and Young on the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank side and Pricewaterhouse and Coopers who were engaged by the British Government to assist us. So there're a lot of people involved in trying to assist Anguilla in forging a way forward.

We have now come to a place where after six months we have before us an opportunity to have a resolution to the bank which protects customers' deposits up to \$4,000,000 which ensures that large depositors, the number or impact on large depositors are considerably reduced and they are put in a special purpose vehicle where their deposits are not frozen but guaranteed on the basis of a bond that will be put up to cover those deposits.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And with regards to Social Security fund, because it has a longer horizon for the patterns of expenditure, we are able to do a 25 year bond to back the Social Security fund. We also need capital to recapitalise the banks and we were successful in reaching to a place with the Caribbean Development Bank where with the support of the British Government, which we anticipate, we will be able to capitalise the banks and bring them back to a state of stability. All of this with at least one focus in mind and that is to secure customers' deposits a hundred percent. are the people that make banks. Shareholders are important, of course, but in terms of the chain of consideration, for lack of a better word, they are much lower on the totem pole. Shareholders have invested in the banks and their investment in the bank probably

represents between 10 and 15 percent of the operations of the banks. The customers' deposits represent between 80 and 85 percent of the value of the bank.

To enable us to implement this resolution we need to put in place a regulatory framework backed by legislation to make that happen and that regulatory framework begins with a Banking Act which can allow that to happen. The Banking Bill that we are operating under now only guarantees up to \$300.00 of deposits, customers' deposits in the bank. Whether you put a million dollars, a billion dollars, a hundred and fifty dollars, it guarantees up to \$300.00. So that can tell you that that legislation is very archaic, very old, very outdated.

And some of the issues that have arisen since that Banking Act was in place, the kind of pressures on the regulatory system to deal with terrorist financing, drug trafficking, proceeds of all kinds of crimes in terms of, for example, human trafficking, child trafficking, anti-money laundering, money laundering issues, commercial fraud and the list goes on, it is necessary for banks to have much more oversight in what customers are doing through the banking system. And it's the requirement for banks all over the world nowadays if they are going to be

recognised, that they should live up to certain standards of regulation. And as I've said over and over again, those standards of regulation are a part of a convention, international convention that set those standards and which governs our ability to do transactions across our national borders. In other words, if merchants want to buy goods from some other part of the world, wherever it is, China, Hong Kong, Japan, Australia, United States, Brazil, wherever, they must be able to transact that business through a bank that has credibility, integrity for its operations based on being recognised and accredited for having those standards of regulation which allows them to build relationships with other banks around -- across the international financial environment. So that is a critical factor. It is a critical factor not only for our indigenous banks but also for the international commercial banks that operate in our country as well because if they are operating out of a jurisdiction that is not properly regulated they will also have the same issues to deal with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The new Banking Act brings banking regulation in the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union into the 21st century. A lot of people in the community are probably not aware of what goes on in terms of ensuring

the integrity of the international financial system. The Financial Services Commission and the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, our jurisdiction as a whole, have got to comply to [sic] certain regulatory standards and practices, best practices, which are tested on a regular basis by an organisation called the CFAT [sic], what's it? Caribbean Action Task Force and the International Action Task, whatever. organisation that comes here and it looks in and examine the ways that we do business in Anguilla and gives us our rating. Gives us a rating. Not only the banks but all financial institutions or companies that deals with the management of financial services. Company managements have to go through this exercise, our local banks have to go through this exercise. have got to put compliance officers in place in the banks who are trained to ensure that in the governance system, the banks apply certain best practices and standards of dealing with transactions and so on and so And these compliance officers who are working for the bank, working for the bank, who are employed and hired by the manager, the directors and so forth of the bank have authority to report any officer including the manager and directors of the bank for any incursions that they suspect would have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

perpetrated by officers at all levels in the bank. Over the head of their manager, they have the right, their job, they have been sworn and their responsibility is on that level, and they commit an offence, they commit an offence if they don't do that. They commit an offence if they see something that they believe is going awry even if it involves the manager or assistant manager or loan officer of the bank, they have the right to report that to the regulatory authority. That is their job and they can't be fired for it, for doing their job. That is the serious nature of the regulatory framework in which we are operating today. It is not a frivolous exercise. Ι heard certain people coming to me and saying 'Mr. Banks, you need to take back the banks from the ECCB and let us run them ourselves.' Take them back from the ECCB and run them yourselves? Who's going to run them? Do we have a regime in place equipped and qualified to regulate our banks? Banks are not rum shops. Banks are sophisticated institutions that have fiduciary responsibility to clients, customers; that have responsibility to ensure the integrity of the world financial system and they can be held accountable for things that happen within the banking sector that goes against the regulations that we have agreed to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

They're not fly by night operations. You don't jump up and take a bank out of the ECCB and run it yourself like we do [sic] before. Obviously we didn't do a good job on it before because here we are in this situation. Now it doesn't have to be all our fault but we are being asked to have certain standards and guidelines that removes too much discretion in the practices of lending, et cetera, from directors, managers, loan officers to take into account certain prudential standards and guidelines which assures that if something goes wrong, even though you may feel that something goes good, you need to go through these checks and guidelines. A sophisticated organisation. We may believe that it's annoying or irritating to have that kind of oversight but it is for the purpose of regulating the banking sector. And regulators have to be facilitated to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

The Banking Bill that is before us today did not come as a result of Dwight Venner sitting behind his desk and writing up a Bill. He probably don't know half of what is in this Act. It comes from experts in the International Monetary Fund who regulate the world financial system. They don't regulate the ECCB, they regulate the world financial system and they set standards across national sovereign lines. They

are the ones who say how the regulatory systems in Europe, United States or Brazil, South America, Africa should operate. They are the ones who set those standards. They're involved with agencies who work to set those standards. They are part of that process. They step in to resolve banking situations in any country that is a part of the organisation. These are people who have a lot of experience in putting together regulation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now the unique circumstances of the Currency Union that we are a part of is the fact that it has eight different constitutions operating in it. In the United Kingdom we have the Bank of England which is the regulator; the Bank of England. So there are no issues of a constitutional nature where there is conflict between one constitution or another. But if we are going to have a regulator in the Currency Union to supervise banking activity and operations in the Currency Union, we have to have legislation which is adaptable enough to cover all aspects of regulation across all of these constitutions throughout the regulatory system. That is why you need something called uniform and harmonious legislation. What that requires, Mr. Speaker, is that the member states who have agreed to be regulated by the Central Bank, which

is the regulatory authority, must also agree at the same time to give up certain aspects of their sovereignty. And I like the statement that Don Mitchell made in his account that giving up that responsibility does not suggest that we have allowed our constitutions to be overrun or overtaken but the fact that we have given it up for the greater good implies that we are a sovereign state and have that power to either step back on certain aspects of our sovereignty or stand firm. We have that authority because we are a sovereign state. All of the independent territories in the region have decided that this aspect of our sovereignty, we are going to delegate or release for the greater good of the international financial system in our region. Because if we had a regulatory system in Antigua, in Grenada, in Dominica, in St. Kitts, in Montserrat and Anguilla, all separate regulatory systems, we could have chaos. We could have chaos because the level of regulation can be affected in the sense that we would not, might not have the capacity to do it effectively. But there is always a choice that we can make. But we have made a choice in the Currency Union that our regulator will be the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank. We have the opportunity, if we are not satisfied, within a year,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

the agreement makes provision for that; within a year we can decide we're going to step out of the Currency Union and do our own thing. That is a provision that is available to you. But I think it would be foolhardy, to use the word from somebody who wrote a letter to the Clerk of the House of Assembly the other day, it would be foolhardy to, at this juncture when we are facing a banking crisis, when we're in a state of flux and uncertainty as far as the financial services sector is concerned, to begin thinking about putting a new regulatory framework in place. In fact, I believe that the world community will question what is happening in Anguilla if all of a sudden we have banks that fail and we pull out of under the regulator that has been regulating us for 30 odd years. There will be some concerns. And then the other question will be, who is your regulator? What experience do they have? You know? What are your -- What is the legislation that governs your regulation? Does it have the teeth? Does it have the ability to carry out its function? These are the things that we will have to face now. This is 27 months later. We have not made any progress towards that. And the Anguilla United Front Government of which I'm a part and the leader, have determined that we will fix it. We are determined that we will

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

fix it, not for ourselves, for Anguilla and for customers who have put their hard earned money into these institutions. That is who we are fixing it for.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is a fair comment, it is a fair criticism that the consultative process has not been pervasive. It has not been pervasive. It has not reached everybody. It has not reached everybody. because it has not reached everybody, and I know and you know that no consultative process reaches everybody. The amount of laws that passed through here in my 35 years as a legislator that haven't had two hours of consultation will fill this room. But this law is a very important law for the regulation of our banking sector and our financial system. important law and so it deserves a certain level of consultation. But it is also a complicated document. It's a very complicated document. And there are assumptions in here that are not common place among the wider public and there're context for certain provision in here which are not known by the wider public and even by some of the experts involved in critiquing this document. And why it is dangerous is because there are a number of people who have an opportunity to view the document, who come from various persuasions, who have various interests and motives and are concerned about

various things and on the basis of advancing those concerns, try to galvanise a number of people around their issue by giving them certain information that would egg them on. I put that as diplomatic as I can put it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH: Perfect.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: But I'm saying to you today, the people of Anguilla, that there is only one motive of this Government and that is to fix the banking sector because it is important for the stability of Anguilla, it is important to attract investment in Anguilla, local and foreign. important to put our country back on track. Remove the uncertainty that exist. There are people working in the bank today who are nervous not only because they feel they may lose their jobs, but some of them can't even take vacation. They're concerned about their pensions, they're concerned about their savings. would have seen certain things that have gone on in the bank and they would have seen certain people out in the community who they are aware of certain aspects of them as customers and are amazed. Are amazed. Amazed. we have got to juggle all these parts, put all these eggs in order and while we do that there are people out there trying to create an unstable situation within our

community. They don't care. They have no concern for the stability of the banking sector. They just want to create confusion. There is no other rationale for No other rationale. I'm a dictator. If I was a that. dictator I wouldn't need these guys, my colleagues to come in the house with me, I'll just pass the Bill myself. But I have got to deal with the Governor, the Attorney General, the Foreign and Commonwealth Office, my colleagues, the ECCB, the IMF, the World Bank, the Caribbean Development Bank, the Financial Services Sector, the banking community, the people of Anguilla, the FSC, a whole range of people, the Attorney General's Chambers, the Bar Association, the Financial Services Association, the Tourism Association, all these people in Anguilla I got to deal with. I got to come in the middle, stand in the gap and make sure that the rights of those people are protected. I can't afford chaos. We cannot achieve anything in the chaotic situation that exist in Anguilla today. cannot afford it. We cannot afford it. And I want to say that any issue in this Banking Act is fixable. any issue in this Banking Act, as far as I'm concerned, pales in comparison to the need to fix the banking sector and come up with a resolution that is viable and affordable for the people of Anguilla. Any issue in

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that Banking Act, any issue, pales in comparison to getting this job done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH: Amen.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: So that is why we are here in this Honourable House. And that is why I believe that the people of Anguilla are gradually getting the message, gradually getting the message. And I'm not -- I may be hard, harsh in the way I have spoken about some of the persons who are leading the opposition to this Bill because all of them are not alike. All of them are not alike. Some of them are just who they are and some of them have motives. There is at least one gentleman in Anguilla who I believe his only reason for survival is to attack me. He has no other reason for survival. If I were to die tomorrow, God forbid, he would have no reason for living; absolutely no reason for living. So if I were to say this Banking Bill is good, he will say it's bad; if I say it's bad, he will say it's good. And those are the people who have followers. Those are the leaders in this community today, Mr. Speaker. And they have people following behind them who're supposed to be intelligent. Following behind them. People who've gone to universities and understood the importance of dealing with issues through debate, through

parliamentary democracy, through the electoral process, allows the representation, the views of the people to be brought into this parliament for discourse by the selection of candidates who the people want to represent them. And when you elect somebody to represent you, you don't elect them to follow the crowd, you elect them to lead the crowd. To lead the crowd. And if you're following the crowd as a potential candidate in an election, if you're following the crowd rather than leading the crowd effectively, I got a problem with you. I got a problem with you.

We are here today, Mr. Speaker, to pass this Banking Act because we believe it's important. I'm not going to even go into the details of it. It's been read back and forth, over and under. I can go through every Bill, every principle, the supervisory powers, the responsibilities and functions, I can go through the prudential regulations and requirements, I can go through all the principles involved in this as set out by the Bâle Convention, the core principles that have been so clearly outlined by Justice Don Mitchell in his presentation in this Honourable House of Assembly -- in the various medium, I can do that. But at the end of the day we are here to make this first critical step in passing the Banking Act which is

consultation, around the boardroom rather than out in the public domain in protest. You need protest. Protests are a very important. It's a part of democracy. Some important part of democracy. But you would never see me walking behind somebody who has one objective in life, is to oppose one man. You would never see me doing that. You'd never see me doing that. I would first have to question, I would first have to question what is in the best interest of Anguilla. I would first have to question that.

We got to this Honourable House, Mr. Speaker, by going out to the people and campaigning. We promised them that we are a qualified team that is prepared and ready to lead and that it was "all about you". It remains "all about you". We are all about the people of Anguilla. I know the Leader of Opposition keeps saying that she is the voice of the I agree with her. But so are we. We are also the voice. Together we are the voice of the people because the people are not a homogeneous group, they have disparate views. So there are some, a group of the people that we speak for and there're a group of people that she speaks for. But at the end of the day, together we speak for all the people of Anguilla. that's why we have parliamentary democracy.

```
1
     an opportunity to speak prior to the next -- the second
 2
     (inaudible) but I have no intention of seconding the
 3
     Bill at this stage.
 4
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                             Alright.
                                                        Okay.
 5
     Wait for it to be seconded then. Do we have a
 6
     seconder?
 7
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Mr. Speaker, I
     beg to second this Bill.
 8
 9
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                             Thank you.
10
     Seconded by the Member for Valley North. I now invite
11
     debate on the merits and principles of this Bill.
12
                 Member for Island Harbour.
13
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you very
    much, Mr. Speaker. Before I start, Mr. Speaker, I want
14
15
    to say that I want to apologise for coming into the
16
    house, you know, once you had started. I was detained
17
    outside by security. And while I want to commend the
18
    police officer and the officers for assuring, you know,
19
    that we remain a peaceful nation, I wanted to make it
20
    clear that that was the reason I was not present.
21
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            Okay.
                                                   That's
22
    okay.
23
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And thank you
24
    very much for that. Now Mr. Speaker, one of the great
25
    difficulties, if not the greatest difficulty we face in
```

uniting our people behind a solution to the banking crisis is the misconception encouraged by the Honourable Member for Valley South, Mr. Banks, that the passage of the draft Banking Act is the be all and end all of the problem. And while it has become clear to all of us, not through the good offices of the Honourable Chief Minister either, while it has become clear to us that the Banking Act, that if it's not passed in short order, and I've heard from banking institutions elsewhere that that means by December 2015, the end of December 2015, more than a month away, that either the British will impose legislation to save Anguilla from becoming a jurisdiction where no banks, including First Caribbean and Scotia Bank can function or all our banks, including First Caribbean, will lose their, First Caribbean and Scotia, will lose their banking relations and Anguilla will be isolated from commerce with the outside world. December 2015. are more likely, the British are more likely to impose their own solution before it does if we do not act ourselves. To the extent that that has been the innuendo put forward by the Honourable Chief Minister, I believe that that would be a good governance measure that would follow. So in my view we have the joys of passing the Banking Act without amendment, and the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

Minister for -- the Member for Sandy Hill has explained in consultations publicly that, you know, it's not possible to amend the Act before in this house. That for that Act, for that form and the substance to be changed it has to be first agreed by the ECCB, the ECCU; the form of that. And ostensibly, that was the reason why I was invited to attend in St. Kitts so that the amendments proposed in the recent consultation process would be passed in my presence to the legal team. Ostensibly, that was why I was invited to St. Kitts on that visit.

Now the problem, Mr. Speaker, the problem that we are dealing with is that we have, and I will explain it in the way that I see it, that amendment is not possible at this stage or necessary at this stage or the choice of having a solution imposed on us by the British which is likely to be significantly objectionable for those who are opposing the Banking Act, more objectionable than passing the Act itself.

Now the risk, Mr. Speaker, of Anguilla ceasing to have international banking relations is horrendous. I will be the first to agree that. And yes, as Don Mitchell has pointed out in the well reasoned paper the Honourable Member for Valley South referred to, it seems that this is the principal reason

```
for the haste with which the Banking Act is being put
 2
     in place now. As distinguished Don Mitchell says, it
 3
     is a pity, it is indeed a pity that nothing was done in
 4
     the past two and a half years, and I would add during
 5
    the last six months. Where I'm going with this,
 6
    Mr. Speaker? And I go back to my visit to St. Kitts.
 7
    I was asked to join the mission and you'll remember
 8
    that, you know, I had said to the house that I believe
 9
    very much in being reasonable, in being reasonable.
10
    This, I've always advocated for collaboration.
11
    believe in being reasonable. And so I went.
12
    Mr. Speaker, I want to tell you and I want to speak to
    the people through you, I was not provided with a list
13
14
    of the issues the Chief Minister just disclosed that
15
    he'd already prepared. And when he talks about the
16
    Honourable Ex Officio Member, the Attorney General
17
    being engaged in consultations, I have to worry even
18
    more that he is holding out to others that I was
19
    involved in a consultative process. It is a façade,
20
    Mr. Speaker.
                  It is a front, Mr. Speaker.
                                                It is untrue
21
    and another lie. It is a downright lie. I was in fact
22
23
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            Who are you
    accusing of lying?
24
25
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                            Mr. Speaker,
```

```
1
     a point of order.
 2
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                           You have to take
     that back, please.
 3
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                            The Member for
 4
     East End -- Island Harbour, again, is imputing corrupt
 5
     practices to me.
 6
 7
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                             You're imputing
 8
     improper motives and you have to take that back.
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
 9
                                           Again.
                                                     Thank
10
    you.
11
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             You have to take
12
    that back. The rule say you cannot impute improper
13
    motives.
14
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, you
15
    can imagine how upsetting it is.
16
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Before you go
17
    ahead you have to take that back that you are accusing
18
    people of lying. You cannot impute improper motives so
    you have to take that back, please.
19
20
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I
21
    think it's very important. I said that if that is what
22
    the member intends to suggest to the people that I, by
23
    going with him to St. Kitts, was being included in a
24
    consultative process, that is not true. Those were my
25
    words, Mr. Speaker.
```

```
1
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes, but you
 2
     cannot be accusing anybody 'if this and if that is
 3
     lie'.
 4
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.
 5
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              So I'll just
 6
     ask you to take that back and then you can continue.
 7
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You know,
 8
    Mr. Speaker, I'm prepared. I certainly don't want to
 9
    accuse the member. I'm not going to impute that
10
    objective to him. I want him though to ensure,
11
    Mr. Speaker, that when he refers to my being included
12
    in that mission he goes further and says to the
13
    people --
14
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              But I ask you
15
    to take back the sentence about lying.
16
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm taking it
17
    back.
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              You're taking
19
    it back?
20
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm taking it
21
    back, Mr. Speaker, but I'm saying that, you know, there
22
    is a reason at the last session, Mr. Speaker, I said
23
    you don't just tell, why in court it's important that
24
    the oath includes, you know, the whole truth. Because
25
    sometimes when you tell only a part of a truth that is
```

```
the equivalent and it's well recognised as an untruth.
 1
 2
     So that's why you tell the truth, the whole truth and
 3
     nothing but the truth. And I again, like I did last
     time, I want to say, Mr. Speaker, I was grateful for
 4
 5
    being included. How I took it? I took it, as I said,
 6
    ostensibly on the basis of sharing those amendments.
 7
    But I took it exactly as, you know, the Prophet Isaiah.
    Come let us reason. I took it as not a first step in
 8
 9
    passing the Banking Bill and the resolution, but as a
    first step in doing what the people require in Anguilla
10
11
    to participate in the decision making process.
12
    Mr. Speaker, I hope you understand, you know, the
    context in which I made that remark. May I go on?
13
14
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            Just continue.
    You were asked; you said you pull it back so I accept
15
16
    that.
17
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,
18
    Mr. Speaker.
19
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                             Well
20
    Mr. Speaker, I accept her apology.
21
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes.
                                                   You may
22
    continue.
23
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Words. What's
24
    in a word, Mr. Speaker? I did not apologise, I
25
    clarified what -- I clarified.
```

```
Well then if
 1
                  THE SPEAKER:
 2
     you did not apologise I'll ask you to apologise.
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I clarified.
 3
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                             I'll ask you to
 4
 5
     apologise now then, if you did not.
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes.
 6
 7
    Mr. Speaker, let us move on.
 8
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              No, no, no, no.
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Let us save the
 9
10
    time of the people.
                  THE SPEAKER:
11
                                              I ask you to
    apologise, please, before you move on because you said
12
13
    you did not apologise.
14
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: He has already
15
    thanked me for an apology that was not made,
16
    Mr. Speaker.
17
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes, but you
    say you did not apologise so before you continue, I'll
18
19
    ask you to apologise.
20
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.
21
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Simple. Just
22
    apologise for assuming that people are liars in here.
23
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I want, I
24
    want -- Mr. Speaker, so we can move on with the
    business of the people --
25
```

No, no, there THE SPEAKER: 1 2 is only one way to move on. 3 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Alright. THE SPEAKER: You must give 4 5 your apology. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, if 6 you want me to say to the Member for Valley South that 7 I apologise, I am happy to move on with what the court 8 -- what you are asking for me to do. I apologise to 9 the Speaker -- I'm sorry, apologise, Mr. Speaker, to 10 11 the Member for Valley South. THE SPEAKER: Okay. Thank 12 13 you. 14 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I 15 wanted to say that in relation to my visit to St. Kitts at the request or the invitation of the Member for 16 Sandy Hill and the Chief Minister and an initiative 17 18 that I want to recognise the Member for Road South for 19 suggesting, for putting forward that I be included in 20 that group. I want to recognise that. I took it that 21 we were going to reason together, it was going to start over, that this Bill would be deferred to a time when 22 23 our people could really participate in the process. 24 You know, Mr. Speaker, just this morning,

rushing to come to this house I picked up a young man,

young person just out of school, has had issues with substance abuse. You know, we talked about the Banking Bill and he said -- he asked me if it's true that that's going to allow him to borrow money if it passes. What a pertinent question. And I said to him it's different from the resolution of the issue. Once the issue is resolved, depending on how it's resolved, and I'm sure you will have an opportunity for input in that resolution process as you deserve and you're entitled to, perhaps you will have the opportunity for you to borrow some money to invest in your little project so that you can support your mother who is ill and the little child that you have whose mother is not working. A young child; young person. Mr. Speaker, that said young man said to me that it's important, he said it's important, perhaps, and he cares about the Chief Minister. He told me that. He's from District 1. Не told me that he has always cared for the Honourable Chief Minister. He said, 'please, this morning, persist with getting the Honourable Chief Minister to see, to see that it is important, before he decides on a resolution, to go back to the people, to be with the people. Don't pass the Bill, because going -participating is about choice. And if he is effectively imposing the decision, his decision on the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people without an opportunity for participation, not respecting that this is going to impact their lives adversely, encourage him to come back to the people.' You know what the young man said, Mr. Speaker, he said, caring about the Honourable Chief Minister, he said, 'you know, and I've been listening to him, Pam, but perhaps the Honourable Chief Minister lacks insight into how the people feel. Perhaps he lacks insight.' And you know what I said? Perhaps he lacks insight, Mr. Speaker, into his own behaviour because I know that the minister, I believe the minister means well. believe that Sir Emile, many years ago, meant well for Anguilla when he agreed to take away the autonomy that we had then and which we no longer have in the Financial Services Industry. That's why we got aid that eventually led to a Financial Services Commission. All of that has led to that big area of business. this Government says the financial services industry international represents our best opportunity to diversify this economy. That is what this Government When Sir Emile made that, no Anguillian was able, even those in the financial services industry, had any input in relation to that, that decision and it's impacted us. Both administrations at various times always refer to the success of the British Virgin

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Islands in this area. And you know what it was, Mr. Speaker, that stopped us prospering in that way, it was that single act, well intentioned, well intentioned, of agreeing behind the backs of well-thinking, smart Anguillians that we should give up that autonomy. here we are today struggling. And what I say to this -- to our Member for Valley South, in my view, not understanding that in this day and age, representative democracy is old fashioned. It's paternalistic. The models, if he will listen and read about them, he will recognise that he's not just out of touch with his people, he is out of touch with how democracy moved on. And what is democracy? That consultative process has to be participatory. It's not enough that you go to the polls and that you get a mandate. It's not enough. And I agree with the Member for Valley South that there are many opportunistic groups out there who would love to see a shift in power. I know that that is a concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, the Banking Bill has not, the Honourable Member agreed it himself, he admitted it in his address to you, it's not sufficient. He's missed the point. It's not too late. I almost cried yesterday with relief when I heard that the Chief Minister had more time because he said, apparently, he

said it himself on the radio, he said that, you know, 1 he was giving the Governor time to consider the --2 3 consider whether or not she was comfortable enough -- I don't want to misquote him. I'm going to try my best. 4 5 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Continue to do 6 it. Continue to do it. It's okay. 7 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, will you require the Honourable Member for Valley South 8 who is being discourteous and shouting across? 9 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I 10 11 apologise to the Member for Island Harbour for my 12 incursion. 13 THE SPEAKER: Okay, good. 14 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I apologise to 15 you, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, the Governor, yes. 16 That's it? THE SPEAKER: 17 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes. I don't 18 want to misquote him but I will openly say that I'm 19 repeating in my words what I understood. And What I 20 understood, Mr. Speaker, is that the Honourable Member 21 for Valley South is going to ramrod this Bill through 22 the house today and tomorrow and Monday the other parts 23 of it and that he is going to ramrod it through but then we are going to have a hiatus while the Governor 24 25 and the British Government considers whether it's the

```
right thing by the Anguilla people and whether or not,
 1
    you know, all the issues attending, you know, the
 2
    passage of this Bill through our house.
 3
                 What I've been urging the Chief Minister to
 4
    do and I'm going to urge him right now again,
 5
    Mr. Speaker, give the Anguillians that opportunity.
 6
    Share more information. Let's fill the gaps.
                                                     We know
 7
    that it's going to, as I said last time, it's
 8
    inevitable that the Banking Bill will be passed but
 9
    give Anguillians -- Yes, it's inevitable and I see the
10
    Member for Valley North, you know, shaking his head in
11
    a big way and I'm wondering if the Chief Minister is
12
13
    right when he said that he actually has a following and
14
    that it's a very intelligent following because he
15
    indicated that some persons have followings that, you
    know, he questions the intelligence of those
16
17
    followings.
                 Because Mr. Speaker --
                                             Did he say
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
19
    that?
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I
20
21
    want to say this: While the Chief Minister, the Member
22
    for Valley South is right that we should make sure that
    when we pass a Bill that it's not, in the house, that
23
```

it's not then refused consent because he's got to build

consensus with the British Government and with the

24

Governor, the right thing, respecting our laws. I am also urging that he takes the opportunity, and I'm sure no Anguillian will object recognising now that we have until December 2015 to pass that Bill, to it being withdrawn. Section 64 allows us even at this stage to withdraw it. Mr. Speaker, I would ask that the Chief Minister considers deferring it until such time as the Anguillians can have, Anguillian people can have more opportunity to address its impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

You know, Mr. Speaker, the Chief Minister spoke, you know, extensively that in terms of creating a solution for the banking crisis that, you know, he is intended, this is a first step in the resolution process and he is intended to go down that route and that he is going to fix it, to use his own words. this time I'm not going to miss it because I just made He's going to fix it for us, he's going to fix it for Anguillians, he's going to fix it. his colleagues will fix it. Again, that paternalistic attitude is really, really, outdated. In terms of creating a solution, it has to be done after consultation. And I can only hope that the British Government, where parliamentary democracy is well exercised as we've seen recently, will insist that we show very specific actions in terms of consultation

with the people in relation to the resolution. the Honourable Chief Minister is intending to ramrod through to a resolution, I can assure you that, you know, we're in for some really challenging times. I want to encourage the Chief Minister during the next, he's shared a lot of information today, in fact, more of a briefing than I have appreciated at all in this And I think that if he provides, and I think he has a wonderful opportunity in the select committee of the house, the fact that we've got one, to literally address, you know, what his proposal is and what the opportunity is for the resolution. I think it can be very constructive. And I would urge him to do that. So while yes, I said earlier that I believe it's inevitable that the Banking Bill will be passed, and all my criticisms, all my opinions shared in this house can all go back to that one line that we need our people to participate. We are way past where we can be told this is good for you. We need -- And any responsible, effective leader in the world today will embrace his people. That is how we know it's a leader. Because they're able, not just carrying their following, there're a lot of leaders who do that; but embracing and uniting Anguillians across the great divide. That is leadership and that is effective

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

leadership. And I've said to the Chief Minister I'm prepared to work with him to do it. Don't worry that they think I'm a member of his -- of the AUF. I want to say I would never want to be a member of the AUF. I believe the AUF is anachronistic. I want Anguillians to be together. And you know what my thoughts are about political parties and how they divide. That's why right this minute we have a unique opportunity to make some real progress in Anguilla. But I'm not going to divert from that theme that we've got to consult and got to consult, you know, overwhelmingly so. Not just telling somebody what's in the Bill but talking. Telling the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.

And back to the resolution. Yes, the hope for rescue of Anguilla's banking institutions will not determine what happens to the two indigenous banks, their shareholders and their depositors. That is why the amendment of the Banking Act before it is passed is of so little, if any, importance.

Rumours and theories abound. The

Honourable Chief Minister spoke about what his motive
is but rumours and theories abound. But none of them
will be affected by the passing of the Banking Act
unless it is not passed, in which case the British are

```
likely to impose their overall solution. But we've got
 1
 2
     time. We know we've got some time before that is
 3
     likely to happen.
                  So quite separate and apart from the
 4
 5
     Banking Act, I believe the Chief Minister has his own
     agenda. He declared his motive but I believe he has
 6
 7
    his own agenda for the two banks.
 8
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Are you
 9
    imputing improper motives or it's a good motive?
10
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Not
11
    necessarily.
12
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Okay.
13
                  MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.
14
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              I'm just making
15
    sure.
16
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: We all have --
17
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              You may
18
    continue.
19
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You're just
2.0
    making sure, Mr. Speaker.
21
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes.
22
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You're not
23
    trying to shut me up again unfairly?
24
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             I'm not shutting
25
    you up but I will if you are imputing improper motives.
```

```
1
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Is that a
 2
    threat, Mr. Speaker?
 3
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            No, that's just
 4
    a promise.
 5
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              That's the
 6
 7
            I'm just going by the rules.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And
 8
    Mr. Speaker, you know how I respect rules. I would try
 9
10
    my absolute best --
11
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
12
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: -- to remain
13
    within the rules and I hope that, Mr. Speaker, that
    will be your principal motivation at all times as well.
14
15
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes, yes, yes.
    It will be.
16
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes.
17
                                                    Thank
    you, Mr. Speaker. So I was saying that I believe that
18
19
    the Honourable Chief Minister has his own agenda for
20
    the two banks. And that those mistakenly objecting to
21
    the Banking Act on the false premise that it will
22
    determine the outcome of the two banks are fully
23
    justified in their concern that three things: The Chief
24
    Minister's agenda, the ECCB agenda, or the agenda that
25
    could be imposed by the British will be unfair to one
```

class of stakeholder. I've already told you what the stakes are for the Financial Services Industry, depending on this outcome, in varying degrees, of course. But all stakeholders, you know, are not going to be equal. They are totally justified -- unjustified, in my view, in thinking that whether or not the Banking Act is amended or passed in its current form that it will make any difference to the outcome for the two banks.

Their concerns about the outcome are entirely justifiable but the form of the Banking Act is not a contributory factor. It is inconceivable, in my view, that either of the two banks, and this is the irony, it is inconceivable that either of the two banks will ever be regulated by the new Banking Act. Yes. It is never, if you think about it, never likely to affect NBA or CCB. That is the sad reality. That is the reality. But their concerns, Mr. Speaker, for the reasons I've already outlined are justified and especially justified for the fact that the Chief Minister is playing his cards so close to his chest that he will pass nothing to the -- one of the members of the select committee, the opposition, so close to his chest he has passed no relevant information to the Leader of the Opposition.

```
MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Don't trust
 1
 2
    her.
 3
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I understand, I
    understand. Just like --
 4
                                              Order, please.
 5
                 THE SPEAKER:
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes. Just like
 6
 7
    -- Is he going to apologise to me again, Mr. Speaker,
    in right order?
 8
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Yes, if I said
 9
10
    something that you don't, I apologise.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I won't give
11
    you cause, Mr. Speaker, to sanction me across the table
12
    by expressing what my thoughts are about the Chief
13
    Minister because it's not relevant. What's relevant,
14
15
    not my position.
16
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                    The rules
17
    say you should never be personal so don't express --
18
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Exactly.
19
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Don't express
20
    your personal thoughts.
21
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: My motivation,
22
    Mr. Speaker, standing here is to represent the people
23
    of Anguilla in this process.
2.4
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
25
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I was saying,
```

Mr. Speaker, that if the Chief Minister is justified in withholding information on the ground that to disclose it would result in even greater and avoidable difficulties, then at the very least he should share it and share all his thinking with the select committee of the whole house which was set up for that very purpose, if you'd remember, Mr. Speaker. But the Chief Minister is withholding the information. That is a fact. It's not a personal view. He has no concept, I believe, just like my young advocate said this morning, has no insight that omissions of information are no less dishonest than withholding the information.

THE SPEAKER: So are you saying he's dishonest?

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No, I'm saying that he lacks insight into his own behaviour. I'm saying that is what it amounts to. And I could be wrong about him lacking insight into his own behaviour, Mr. Speaker. I hope I'm wrong because I still hope that at the conclusion of today's proceedings that he will withdraw it at least for a short while to allow the new information he shared through this house today to be filtered in an organised way so that people can really choose what they really want for Anguilla; the people. That's all I'm holding out. Thank you,

Mr. Speaker.

Now I talked about it before that he included me on the meeting with the Central Bank on Tuesday and that he will no doubt, as I have been told by some of my supporters, that he will — that he probably did that believing that he has allowed — so that he can hold out that he has allowed me to be privy to his plans for the banks. And I'm not sufficiently seized of any information that would allow me to fully grasp and analyse that plan and I really want the people to know that. So Mr. Speaker, I am not a mind reader. And the Chief Minister has no more disclosed to me his plans for the two banks than he has disclosed those plans to the people or to the shareholders concerned. I want that to be fully understood.

The Chief Minister must, and I repeat that he must and without any further prevarication or delay, convene the select committee. Mr. Speaker, he promised me in this very house on at least two occasions that we would meet promptly and soon. And the first ahm, you know, more recently, that we would meet yesterday and I looked forward to hearing from him but I know that a lot is going on, but I'm hoping that we will have set meetings through this house, you know, exact meetings between now and hopefully when he's withdrawn the Bill

so that we could look at the resolution issue and together ensure that the people have the information in the right order. And I see him shaking his head. I'm taking that, Mr. Speaker, to mean he is agreeing with me and I'm grateful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Speaker, I come back to the need to tell the select committee the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. And by withholding any information from the select committee which can itself be the judge of what is in the best interest of Anguilla after consultation with the people, he is, he is -- I can understand why the people are saying he is abrocate -- he is a dictator, to use his words. Because withholding it amounts to the kind of dictatorial and unaccountable power that has been the undoing of so many corrupt regimes throughout the That has been out and we want everybody to know everything in this new order. So through your good offices, Mr. Speaker, I hope the Honourable Chief Minister will spare himself the pitfalls that such a misuse of power will expose him to because he knows as well as the people know that he is beset by irreconcilable conflicts of interest, including being at this time Chairman of the Monetary Council in relation to the choices that may be open to the

Anguilla people. I just need to put that on record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Speaker, I come back to my constant The crisis besetting the two banks is a crisis that affects all Anguillians and all Anguillians are entitled to be consulted on that resolution thought, you know, the ideas. I know the Chief Minister is convincing. And you know, I'm not suggesting that the Chief Minister isn't capable. That has never been my idea. Not that he can't come up with something, but I believe we need all Anguillians to be engaged in that decision because like the decision that Sir Emile made for us, it closes off a lot of options for our children, for our children's children. And before too long, Mr. Speaker, if we are not careful, and we can't trust our leaders to see it all because they are so blinkered, a lot of our leaders, we have nothing. And we become powerless to affect and impact our own lives.

Mr. Speaker, what I am concerned about when I urge participation, I am concerned about the lives of our people. Are we thinking about them? The Chief Minister has gone on about the resolution construct, for lack of a better word, that he has in mind. And Mr. Speaker, I know that he believes that it's, you know, the right solution. But I have questions. I have been in the financial services industry all my

life. When I came out to practice, Mr. Speaker, there was no opportunity in Anguilla. I had to be creative. I had to figure out how I could live in a country with people I love who would never be in a position to pay for my services. But I wanted to stay here. And that is why I focused my tertiary education entirely on taxation and how to make Anguilla relevant and how to -- and international clientele that will pay me; and that worked, Mr. Speaker. And Mr. Speaker, many other young Anguillians are right this minute trying to figure out how they are going to be able to live and contribute. And I want to tell you that when I heard that some of the measures linked to affording to go forward might include, in fact will include on the Chief Minister's word, more taxation, I knew that almost more reprehensible than the idea that the British would be imposing a regime on us, you know, which is so distasteful a thought to all of us or that the ECCB, indeed, would be imposing their regime on us, again distasteful to us. Or that, you know, a set of people in Anguilla who themselves have been involved in where we are will now be in a position to carry on, you know, like nothing's changed, you know, these are all the worries that Anguillians tell me. But more than that even is the worry I have that the people, our

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

people will not be able to afford to send their children to school. We will never be, just like the ISL Levy has been taken away, we are never going to be afford -- to able to afford to educate our children properly to prepare them for the new world; we'll never be able to afford good services at the hospital; we'll never be able to afford excellent care. Trust me, the hospital and the teachers work so hard. Some of them using their own resources just to go forward. And the idea that we are going to be taxed to support an idea that the Chief Minister has, without being included in that decision, that is the scariest thing confronting Anguillians.

I want to use this opportunity, because I know that the Chief Minister —— I believe that the Chief Minister may have lacked insight or might have been so out of touch with what the Anguillians on the ground are saying, that he has not fully engaged. That it's that deep worry about their lives that's driving them to query the position on the Banking Bill to really, really understand it.

All the challenges that I have seen, including the suggested amendments to the Bill, to the Banking Bill they're really grounded in worries about, you know, allowing our autonomy to be transferred to

agencies outside of Anguilla. And I'm not suggesting that that might not be the, you know, that that, you know, one, it's right or not, I think the people need to decide that because freedom, Mr. Speaker, is about choice. You can't make an informed choice if you don't have information. So you might have an instinctive And I could understand why people would just get up and want to run down to, you know, somebody to share their worry, hoping that there's going to be some solution. But I think that an effective, forward thinking leader, loving his people, caring about their lives, caring about how their children will succeed, caring to put school feeding programmes in place, caring to make the hospital state of the art, before he will take decisions that will, will irreconcilably deliver those things to the people, they cannot deliver it, I think he needs to consult with them. And I think that the best indication that he is willing to consult would be to extend, without harm, because the Governor, based on what he said on the radio to the press conference, you know, she is not comfortable with the decision and he is prepared to give her time. I would ask him to align, you know, developments in that arena with what, you know, providing, you know, really detailed, you know, all the truth, the whole truth,

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

nothing but the truth, giving all the information to the people through the select committee so that we can meet that great responsibility we have to the people of Anguilla.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, I'm going to -- So section, our rules, the Legislative Assembly Rules, Procedure Rules, gives us time, gives us, under Section 64 it can be withdrawn now or alternatively, and I know that, you know, maybe the Chief Minister, I want to give him options just like I would want him to give options to the Anguilla people, because I understand, based on my preface in talking about the Bill, that you understand, I understand that we don't want to find ourselves, you know, taken over. For sure we don't want that. that the British would let us but I like it also that we should be deciding for ourselves. But let the people decide. Give them the best case, give them all the facts, give the evidential information that if we don't pass the Bill today, put it on the table now, that the British are going to come and take us over. But if we have to December 2015, let us methodically, through the select committee, arrange for everybody to get engaged and have, because, you know, Mr. Chief Minister -- Mr. Speaker, I want the Chief Minister to understand something: I thought about it long and hard

at the table just a minute ago when I came in. Because we need to demonstrate that this, to our people, that this resolution construct, again to use my word, that we need to demonstrate not only that it is a solution, we need to demonstrate that it's a proper solution and not a stop gap. Can you imagine we incur the cost, incredible cost and it's only a stop gap? Our leaders This is not in the days of, you know, have to think. the Father of the Nation having, you know, great strategic thinking demonstrated at various points all the time and sharing with the people. These are not the days when in 1967 you had, you know, you had the best example of participatory Government. Because you know what used to happen in the park in those days after the revolution? I know the Chief Minister wasn't I know that. Yeah. I'm a child of the revolution. I was very small but I was there. remember. Let me tell you what he used to do: Back of a pickup, all of them, you know, what do you think? These are my thoughts. Some on this side, some on that What do you think? What do you think? And that is, you know, as -- I'm not suggesting that, you know, we do this now. But in terms of the right thing by the people, engaging the decision making and influencing the decision, that is the best example of how it can

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

work where you have everybody going behind you. we won't have any challenges. Everybody will be with, you know, with the Honourable Chief Minister on his decision. Effective, unifying leadership delivers that all the people are together. So not a stopgap. for this decision, and the Honourable Chief Minister might not be thinking into the future because he might make the decision. But for -- Oh, I thought he was objecting. But for him to make the decision -- He can make a decision which is -- and he doesn't do it bringing the people with him. But when he comes to implement the decision, my goodness, unless he unifies beforehand, look at what a breakdown in public confidence delivers. We can't risk that in Anguilla, because then we'll be risking more than we risked in the past. We'll be risking that the tourism industry and the heart of it, we're not going anywhere. And we have instances, Mr. Speaker, in our current horizon, not just 1967 but right now; the CLICO fiasco, the Allen Stanford fiasco which impacted the ECCB and where they are facing claims, billion dollar claims, billion dollar claims from citizens of countries, various; some of those same six countries that have already signed on to the Banking Bill, where the bank is charged and Governments are charged with collusion and bad things.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We don't want that to happen to us. We can avoid it. And I'm not asking for a lot of time. We have that December 2015, that date, let us, Mr. Speaker, before going to select committee to go through this, you know, process of whether there's is going to be, there's no amendment, but let us go instead, let us be innovative, Mr. Speaker. Let us send it, if the Chief Minister is not prepared to withdraw it, let us send it to the select committee. Let us really look at what is needed and let us come back and report to the people, taking advantage of that window that the Chief Minister has already promised the Governor. Now that would be innovative and it would be doing the right thing, and I believe, Mr. Speaker, it would also be bringing the people of Anguilla with us so that we would be well positioned to implement the decision in a seamless way. That is what I'm advocating. That is what collaboration looks like by the way, Mr. Speaker. Ι know you've been there for a long time but that's what collaboration looks like. I am suggesting solutions that the people through me have asked, you know, to be laid out here, Mr. Speaker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So Mr. Speaker, I was giving you the examples, recent examples; CLICO, the Allen Stanford thing as well, the big, big, big, big, big issues. But

```
1
     at no point in time was there a collapse in their
 2
     financial systems you know, Mr. Speaker, even though
 3
     you had, not like in little Anguilla, two hundred
    million EC dat, dat, dat. You know, this is
 4
 5
    major. So decisive leadership, Mr. Speaker, is
 6
     required on this and not just technical competence.
 7
     Decisive leadership is determined by our bringing our
    people together. And if persons, Anguillians do not
 8
    believe in the credibility of leadership, and we've
 9
10
    seen evidence of, you know, a breakdown in public
11
    confidence, I believe full disclosure can remedy that.
12
    And I believe that through the offices of the select
13
    committee we can sensitise the population, having
14
    confidence that, you know, that, you know, this is
15
    beyond parties, that it's really, you know, the whole
16
    of Anguilla.
17
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             One question,
18
    one question: How much longer will you be? The reason
19
    why I ask --
20
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: How long are you
21
    prepared for me to go on, Mr. Speaker?
22
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             No, no, no, I
23
    can't control that, but.
24
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You can control
25
    that, Mr. Speaker.
```

```
1
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              No.
                                                   No time
 2
    limits but ahm --
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, if
 3
 4
    you --
 5
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Let me --
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Sorry. Excuse
 6
    me.
                                              Let me finish,
 8
                 THE SPEAKER:
 9
    please.
10
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, yes.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              I have an
11
12
    agreement with the CAT Reporters we'll break after two
13
    minutes [sic] for them to get a break. So it's about
14
    two hours now so we'll have to break for her to get a
15
    break but if you will soon finish, I'll let you finish.
16
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No, I intend to
17
    take, if the good offices of the Speaker, as long as
18
    possible because, Mr. Speaker, there's so much to say.
19
    There's so much to share.
20
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              So you will not
21
    finish right now then?
22
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No, I don't
23
    expect I will finish immediately, no.
2.4
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Okay, okay.
                                                           So
25
    we'll have a break now. Two hours now and there was an
```

```
agreement that we'll break after two hours for about a
 1
    10 or 15 minutes so they can rest their fingers.
 2
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes. Half an
 3
    hour, Mr. Speaker, is what we do in the courts most of
 4
 5
    the time --
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Half an hour?
 6
 7
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: -- because it's
    really hard work. And I'm so happy to see the Court
 8
 9
    Reporter. And I want to commend and thank the offices
10
    of the house for providing those services too.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes. So we'll
11
12
    break for about a 15 minutes. Okay?
13
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you.
14
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             House in recess
    for 15 minutes.
15
16
                  (House rise at 11:55 a.m.)
17
                  (House resumed at 12:15 p.m.)
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Order.
                                                      This
19
    house will now resume its sitting. And Member for
20
    Island Harbour, you have the floor, you may continue.
21
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you so
22
    much, Mr. Speaker, I appreciate that. And Mr. Speaker,
23
    I just want to say, you know, before I go on to the
24
    substance of what I'm, you know, continue with what I
25
    was saying, I just want to say how lovely it is to be
```

part of this assembly where we have, you know, where we're embracing the expertise of our reporters and where we have new leadership at every level in the We have new leadership with the Clerk of the assembly. House and now having been to the UK where I have been able to observe first hand how select committees operate but also how the Clerk of the Assembly and the support staff work so hard and make a difference. really appreciative of the commitment of the staff and I just wanted to say, while this is an opportunity to point it out, how grateful I am for all the services that they provide for me as a member and for all the members. And, you know, they're all really making a difference, I believe, in the improvement. And of course without your strategic leadership, Mr. Speaker, I know that that, you know, would be so much more difficult for them to achieve. So I want to recognise that this is happening. And the people don't often have an opportunity. In fact, I didn't know myself, even though I was a candidate, you know, in the 2010 elections as well, I had no idea of the incredible work that is done by the staff of the house. And I was a little intolerant of the fact that we didn't have minutes and I couldn't understand because, you know, I had seen this expertise in the courts, you know, our

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Court Reporter so long ago, before, you know, when we —— after the change of the rules in 2000. So to see that it's now happening and under your leadership, I just wanted the people to know that we have really committed people working to ensure not just that we have information through the Gazettes but really making sure that, you know, the statue of the House really improves. And as you know, I'm committed to that, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you, and on behalf of them, thank you very much.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: So continuing,
Mr. Speaker, we talked -- I was saying that, when we
adjourned, that in describing the CLICO matter and the
Allen Stanford matter that at no time, Anguilla is not
like that; at least not that I know of. If the
Honourable Chief Minister has information that we are
worst than those cases then we really know the people
need to know right away. But even in those very, very
extreme cases that we've experienced in the region we
didn't see the financial system collapse. And so I'm
saying, Mr. Speaker, that decisive leadership is
required not just based on technical competence. And
many people have asked me what happened in St. Kitts
and because the Chief Minister has already shared with

the press that in fact there were meetings with the IMF and Sir Dwight and others, I can say that. So there's no confidential information that I have in relation to St. Kitts that I cannot share, I believe. And, but decisive leadership is required not on technical competence only but on bringing the people with us. And because sadly, Mr. Speaker, if our people do not believe in the credibility of our Chief Minister and they ask for full disclosure to sensitise the population and they don't get it, that's going to lead to exactly the chaos that would eventually result in the collapse of the financial system. So we really need the information so that we can move forward.

Mr. Speaker, as I said, many of our people have questioned why, why I would agree to go to St. Kitts on that mission. Many have questions why I would go and, you know, possibly incur additional cost for Government and when I, you know, when I'm not a part of an AUF administration. And I've laboured at great length and I'm doing now to assure the people that that was not an AUF. In fact, it included the Ex Officio member, Rupert Jones, although that's often missed in detailing who went to the session, and it also included the Member for Sandy Hill as I've said before.

```
Yes.
 1
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                                    Just one
 2
    minute. I just want to remind you when you're
    referring to members, don't refer to them by name, but
 3
    by their official designation or in the case of Ex
 4
    Officio Members.
 5
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you very
 6
 7
    much. Yes, yes. Thank you very much. And in the case
    of the Ex Officio Member, the Honourable --
 8
 9
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                              Attorney
10
    General.
11
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, the
12
    Honourable Attorney General. Thank you very much.
13
    Mr. Speaker, I'm often looking at the titles on the --
14
    right in front of us and I think Ex Officio
15
    Member/Attorney General should maybe be included. I
16
    think that would be a good way to prompt my memory
17
    sometimes.
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Okay. Okay.
19
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you very
20
    much.
21
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              I'll take that.
22
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And so I
23
    apologise --
2.4
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
25
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: -- for calling
```

the Honourable Attorney General by name. I'm sorry about that. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What I was saying is that in relation to the trip to St. Kitts, there was that query about, you know, did it add cost? But I was assured by the Honourable Chief Minister that he intended -- that it was a charter so I wouldn't be adding to the burden. And I believe that it was invaluable. Why I believe it was invaluable? As I said before, I thought that it was important to demonstrate to the Honourable Chief Minister and his colleagues that I was willing to be a part of a solution for Anguilla not on my own behalf but in relation to the people who are so anxious to know more. And while the Chief Minister or no other member of the delegation in fact provided me with any information, it was clear, as the Chief Minister has said, the Honourable Chief Minister has said on the radio that there was the opportunity to discuss the strategy for the resolution of the bank and at least what his thoughts are about it and, you know, there was an opportunity to look at the timetable for passing the legislation. That is where I realised that in fact perhaps we had more time and, you know, various other details of the proposed resolution. Of course I couldn't other than, you know, observing and listening,

I couldn't -- I wasn't privy to any real details of a resolution plan. That was not available at that meeting to me so I just want the people listening to know that. And I know that the, you know, the major concern, as the Chief Minister has himself shared as well is about the sustainability of, you know, whatever solutions are being considered; you know, what the cost would be, what the fiscal picture looks like. These are all things that are normal and natural in this kind of discussion and this is what was happening. came away even more committed to the idea that there's nothing happening that we cannot share with our people. We need our people because we often underestimate the smarts of our people. We don't have, you know, just super intelligent people and, you know, intelligent people and less intelligent people. We have a people that's talented and gifted in so many ways. Like for instance, the young man I told you about this morning. I was thinking to myself, my goodness, here is a young man talking about insight into behaviour. That's kind of the terminology you expect, you know, from a very experienced health professional, you know, when they're talking about psychology. It's not that you expect young person to use these terms but there is so much understanding and so much talent. And needless to say,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

you know, what's top of our minds right now it's what is the Government doing to create jobs. That is what's top of mind [sic]. And so far we haven't been able to make a link between the resolution of the banking crisis and the passage of the Banking Bill. We haven't been able to link that with any hard evidence that would suggest that it's actually going to lead to the lives of our people improving. So when I say, Mr. Speaker, that there is an opportunity here for the Honourable Chief Minister again collaborating, this is not directing, this is not saying you've got to do it this way, this is not fighting. This is just like that trip to St. Kitts, collaborating to make sure that differences of opinions are engaged, are accounted for, are included. This is an opportunity. And if we have enough time between this point and the assent of the Governor, and clearly that is not forthcoming this afternoon or even in the next short term because there are substantial things that need to be done in the mean while, what's wrong with giving our people the opportunity? I commit. I am quite happy if I were made chair or, you know, just a hardworking member of that committee to pull the different aspects together and share and work collaboratively to, you know, to pull in the opinions of our people. I think we could

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I know the Chief Minister is very busy. Ι mean, he laid out for you in his presentation this morning that he has to meet with the IMF, the World Bank, CDB, the association. I'm a member of the Financial Services Association. I'm looking forward to a meeting as well with him on that. Members of the tourism board, members of the -- I hope that he's going to also include consultation with the Lit Fest committee because it's so important that initiatives that have been successful in the short term and that are really at the point where they will yield good opportunity are not, like former administrations, just pushed aside and new initiatives put in and we lose the investment in those things, especially now when things are so hard, we need, you know, consultation. know the Chief Minister is very, very busy, Mr. Speaker, I know that it's very difficult, and that's why with such a large team I think that we could make great progress in a responsible way rolling out, you know, this, you know, the strategy for the resolution, what he thinks and hearing of the options I know that one of the residents in the district of Sandy Hill has published, I've had the pleasure of going through it, a very extensive

alternative plan for the resolution. Now I don't know, you know, to what extent that person might know what the resolution plan that the Chief Minister is considering, but there's an alternative plan, you know, way forward being shared out there. So there are a lot of people, talented people, experienced people in our community, if given the opportunity to participate in the process, I think can contribute a lot. So I'm urging, Mr. Speaker, through you that, you know, that our Government embraces everyone and moves forward with that approach. To the extent that we need that consultation now at this stage before the Governor assents is desirable, I will say this: We need the assent of the Governor. Obviously, we don't want our sovereignty to be hijacked at any point. Not that I'm suggesting that that would be the case. believe that because, you know, Mr. Speaker, I've previously shared that in October when I went to the UK on -- to, you know, to do that training in 'The Parliamentary System' that I had to fund myself by the way. I want the people to know that I'm not out there, you know, spending Government's money on matters like that where it's not, you know, it's for my personal benefit. I actually thought that I would do a better job in this house if I had the opportunity to do that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And that's why I have been such an advocate for the select committee and why I still believe that that is the avenue that would allow the Honourable Chief Minister, if it's convened and if it's, you know, all the information is shared that would allow our people to ahm, you know, participate in the decision. the that Honourable Chief Minister, if he is concerned, really concerned, you know, to assure that this is going to, this decision is going to work well for Anguilla, that we could use that small window of time to put forward, you know, to get the select committee really working and doing the job of communicating with the people and communicating with our, you know, all Anguillians; all the special groups, all Anguillians. And also to pull in the expertise of others, because one of the things I saw in the UK was that under the select committee structure, and our rules provide for that, Mr. Speaker. I know that you know that that our rules also provide for you really effect of select committees. And the general law also supports that. So I think that through that committee we would be able to look at all the evidence. Many, many of the shareholders have been coming forward with requests that, you know, that very pertinent information is shared with them. None of that information has been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

forthcoming. It may be possible that those financials could be made available through the select committee to representative groups if we can agree how that information is going to be progressed.

What would be sad, Mr. Speaker, is if shareholders are debarred from achieving — from seeing that information and truly understanding what's going on and they go to court and just like what's happening in the Stanford matter, the Government ends up, ahm, you know, ends up paying a huge amount of money as part of, you know, part of their actions. Those are really challenging eventualities that we have to address if we're being responsible. If we did it in a methodical way now we may be more responsible and might, even if challenged at a later point, held not to be responsible because we did the right thing. I think the select committee structure allows for that opportunity.

I've had the benefit of going through the letter -- I'm sorry, the article that the learned retired Appellate Justice Don Mitchell wrote where, and talking about, you know, some of the things that we have to consider in any resolution plan. You know, are there going to be -- Is there going to be a merger? Are there going to be different banks? Is there going to be a new bank? Is there, you know, all these are

different options but all of them are exposed to really litigious claims. Do we really want to go there without making sure that we're covering every single step to assure that the people don't end up with a great bill and that they cannot pay, leading to more taxation? I know, and the Chief Minister has shared that in his consideration of the measures, the fiscal measures that will need to be made, that we're again thinking about the VAT.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

VAT, now called GST is one and the same animal in taxation. We already know from the experiences of Barbados and Bahamas and the region that where those options have been looked at and pursued, that it is the most horrible development in relation to crime. These are very serious things. Yet to fund any resolution strategy, these are things that we've got to look at. How you're going to make the money? it going to? How is it going to be applied? Where is it going to go? Are we in fact setting up our young people to engage in crime and are we appreciating the link between property crime and the destruction of a tourism product? Are we really doing that when we think about, you know, pushing through in this order a Banking Bill which has in its wake another asset management structure. All of these things that has to

be funded by people and who -- when there are no economic opportunities. Crime, we have seen crime escalate in Anguilla without a VAT. Just imagine a VAT scenario linked to the increase in crime. That is absolutely untenable. And these are the matters that our people need to sit down and talk about before we decide the way to go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, we have already, in the financial services, in tourism, all those associations, consulted on a value added tax. As I said, GST it's the one and the same animal. We consulted on that hours and hours and hours of productive time of professionals and Anguillian shopkeepers and people impact, who would be impacted and involved in that. We've recognised the need for really, you know, developed competences that we don't have available in Anguilla at the moment, just like the Honourable Chief Minister said. He said that in relation to, you know, the operation of the Asset Management Company, if I haven't misunderstood him, that, you know, there are competences that we will have to bring, you know, bring in from external agencies and persons. That was my understanding. I hope I have not misunderstood him. But the reality is it's the same thing for VAT. going to -- How are we going to deal with Customs?

How are we going to implement the reforms that are absolutely necessary if we're going to move to a different kind of regime right now when our people are just struggling to put food on the table? These are the things that follow from the resolution plan that, you know, the Honourable Chief Minister seems to be minded to work with. The people need to know and to consider. And all that investment in productive time needs to -- led to the conclusion that that was not a suitable development in our community.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Chief Minister, the Honourable Chief Minister has had to go back on his promise that ISL was going to be, you know, going to lapse and come to its end. He was going to remove it. It was one of, I think, based on what the young people told me that that was the reason that they supported the AUF. That was the most immediate thing on the horizon, that the levy was going to be removed. And they relied heavily on what the Honourable Chief Minister and his party members said when they, on every platform, said it was an unfair tax. We've heard that since. Yet, it's not going to be removed. That's what we're told now. How sad is that? No wonder the young person said that our Honourable Chief Minister is lacking insight into the behaviours because that is the sort of thing you cannot

go back on. It would have been an empty promise in the sense in the beginning. Are they empty promises now,

Mr. Speaker? Are they empty promises now? The people need to know and they need to have a say.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

I want to say as well, as far as the Financial Services Association is concerned, if we go and implement a strategy in Anguilla, talk about them as stakeholders, if we go and implement a strategy that signals to the world that depositors aren't protected, I know, again, the Chief Minister said his idea is that we're not going to have -- you know, we're going to protect depositors a hundred percent. And I value And I'm not even going to consider in an alternative plan anything else. But just say that after deliberating with the people that the costs are just not affordable of doing that, how can we make the right decisions that satisfy depositors while at the same time making sure that the international financial services industry remains an option for the people? The people need to be included. Every Financial Services Association member. In fact, anybody in practice in the Financial Services industry, including those overseas agents that live abroad, they have a They will need to be told how it's going to say. impact them. And I am urging the Honourable Chief

Minister to recognise that it's possible, it's highly possible to bring everybody on board where the decision fits everyone; fits everyone in terms of agreeing on what's in Anguilla's best interest. We might have decision -- We might have a group that will say well I don't, you know, I don't think that's fair, like for instance, you know, even within the subgroups. ultimately, if everybody is engaged and participate in the decision, it will be acceptable to all. then if the Honourable Chief Minister and his administration does it properly, people will understand the thinking behind it. And that is how we get to consensus. That is how we build consensus as leaders. So yes, public confidence, Mr. Speaker, is necessary to accept. It's absolutely necessary if we're going to move forward in an informed way.

Mr. Speaker, I am quite aware that the rules, our rules are, and even in this house, you know, rules that require more attention and work if we are going to take advantage of really being productive and having a productive system. But even standing here on my feet and availing of those rules, just think how more productive we could be if the Honourable Chief Minister saw it fit to withdraw this just for a week just so that the people could do. What a release of

incredible time where we could achieve so much. We're working within the rules but not perhaps recognising how much more productive as a society we could be if that simple action was taken. A rightful action. In other societies where the rules are more modern, that would be a required outcome. That, that would be a required outcome. But we work within the rules we have.

And the Honourable Chief Minister, I should wait for him to come back to make the next point, but one of the reasons that he gave is that in the, you know, sharing a little bit about the strategy today, the resolution strategy, was that in the old regime, our old regime -- He's back. I can work on that point with him. But Honourable Chief Minister, Mr. Speaker, the Honourable Chief Minister shared that depositors were only protected in Anguilla to the extent of \$300.00.

Now, Mr. Speaker, our Honourable Chief
Minister, he said it in here, he's been a member of
this legislature for a long time. I can't remember
exactly the years because whenever he says the actual
number of years, I block that out; I don't know why.
Because I think, you know, I feel strongly that we
should only have two terms. I feel strongly that our

new constitutional regime should only allow us two terms in office. So when the Honourable Chief Minister calls out the years, and they are upwards of quarter of a century, I have a block. So Mr. Speaker, I just want to say what I remember though, often is that he says he's been 16 years plus, Minister of Finance. you imagine during the consultation about this Banking Bill, one of the persons said to the Honourable Chief Minister, Mr. Speaker, but how could that be that we're so progressive as a society, you've been there sixteen and a half years, how is it that we still have that archaic law? And we have to reflect on that, Mr. Speaker, when the people consider whether we're really crafting a way forward that is sustainable and affordable. That is what we have to think about. in the private sector, we always look at performance in You look at ways to improve. And granted that wav. I'm having to offer suggestions for improvement, but I'm offering them in good faith. I'm offering them in good faith. I'm offering them recognising that all of us, even me, Mr. Speaker, you know, the novice I am in the House of Assembly and the rookie, as I'm told I'm one of the rookies, but. Yeah, one of the rookies. And I see the Member for Valley North really shaking his head. Yeah, because that is where the term for us

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

came from. He said he was not a rookie but some several of us are rookies. So, Mr. Speaker, always in the private sector we look at, you know, the experience of someone in terms of judging, you know, what strategies or tactics we need to work with them on to get improvement and to get better productivity.

And so the Honourable Chief Minister, I'm sorry to say, if I were having to consider that I could, I would have to look in terms of evaluating what he proposes now, I will have to look at the fact that over the sixteen and a half years that he has been the Minister of Finance and functioned in that position, he didn't plan for a worst case scenario of the financial system collapsing. There isn't that.

mean, that was on the ECCB's own admission, to deal, you know, as rapidly as needed to be, as they needed to deal with, you know, the collapse of, you know, the ECCB, you know, the financial systems in our region. That is a reality. But leadership. So we all have to, you have to consider that that's why the people may not be comfortable with the Chief Minister just telling them what it is, because he, our Chief Minister would have, he created the regulatory framework that has failed us. He will be responsible for that by omission

or commission. He was the Minister of Finance for sixteen something years. Is there any doubt that we are concerned that the people need to be included in the decision making? We have to understand that. People think that. It's not, it's -- and it's not unkind because people improve and we need to make provision for people to improve but this has happened. It's a fact. It has happened. So we -- the public confidence, if for instance the minister had put together prior to 2000 or 2007 when there was a collapse, a very forward-thinking structure that would allow our regulations to be up to date, we wouldn't be here right now in this challenge. For two reasons: Our system would be recognised by the Bâle Convention and the international laws that have now made it critical that we pass a Bill. That wouldn't be the We would have all that energy revving up our financial services industry. Instead of being here unproductive, we would be somewhere bringing business in, creating jobs for our people. That's why we need to make the right decision. And we cannot make the right decision except by reference to -- by including everyone. We cannot make the right decision except by including everyone.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Speaker, I know that we have

given, I know, as I've said in my address earlier, that we're not going to have, you know, a select committee going through that Bill and making amendments even though our archaic rules envisage that because we don't have the opportunity to amend this particular Bill as we've heard. We've heard from the Honourable Chief Minister how that Bill is amended. But Mr. Speaker, look at the amendments I have in my hand. And I was there when the Member for Sandy Hill spoke to these. And I want to commend her for keeping her word. just making an empty promise but keeping her word. I was there as a witness, yes. And I might not have been in there unless the Member for Road South hadn't suggested that I should attend as well. But I was there when she kept her word. But these are the many, and I want to go through them one by one, Mr. Speaker, because we know that our process is not going to yield any amendment.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But before you consider what we should do today, Mr. Speaker, I want you to listen and hear for yourselves and I want the people of Anguilla to hear what those amendments are that have been proposed; major amendments which we are going to be powerless to make today or any other day in the next several months before we put a resolution strategy into effect because

we are, yes, as the Chief Minister said, we're giving, you know, we are yielding part of our sovereignty to that Eastern Caribbean agency. So let me read the commentary, some of the commentary that we shared with the legal experts at that ECCB when I was present. And there're many definitions. There're many, many, sections of the Bill. And these challenges, before they were — before the Anguilla public became involved, these were in circulation but because Antigua made them before, but we included them, I understand, in our submissions to the legal team at the ECCB. And the sections: There's in the interpretation section, the "definition of officer". And there was a concern that this is too broad.

In Part II(3)(3) there's an observation:

"It seems that the ECCB is the only party to determine whether a license be issued. The Minister for Finance is totally removed from the process except for being informed that a license is being granted.

This provision sets up the ECCB as the beginning and ending (the alpha/omega) [sic] of the entire process."

So the question was, raised in our consultations as well, "How would the Minister, and by extension, the Government progress its financial policies when the entire banking process is outside of his/its scope?"

Important question. Important concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And Section 7: "While this provision notes potential reasons for denying a [sic] license, it does not impose a duty on the ECCB to communicate specific reasons for denial of [sic] the applicant." Not that I'm saying it would happen. But in this case the example that was given was the Antiguan example. Because you're Antiguan you are not going to get a banking licence? The ECCB, under Section 9(1), enjoy the benefits of the annual licence. Under the current regime we know that our -- it goes to the consolidated The local governments enjoy this revenue. fund. would our Minister of Finance want to give up that revenue? Why would our government want to give up that revenue when we have so much costs to deliver essential social services that we're not providing?

"Given the fickle nature of public information..." Section 14 was a concern. "...it's correlation to potential runs on the [sic] banks, which would be exacerbated by the interconnectedness of the banks, it may be fitting to have an intervening period where the ECCB and the bank will try to fix a license matter. At the end of this period, the ECCB may have the power to follow through on the revocation. And while Section 14(2) addresses aspects of this matter,

the ECCB can unilaterally follow through on a revocation." So not a lot of discretion or not a lot of purpose, you know, to representation in that context. Again, this process as outlined in the Act, Mr. Speaker, has removed the Minister from the process, and this may have implications on national fiscal policies.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Under Section 15 of the Act: "As the person responsible for financial matters of a country, he/she should be involved in the licensing [sic] decision making process and not just being informed of license decisions taken by the ECCB." That was a suggestion for improvement of the model. It would lead to social justice they thought. "The Act purports to use the High Court as a mediator for matters but the judicial process is so protracted and time is of utmost importance in these matters where [sic] the ECCB has power to exact full control in the intervening period as the licensee awaits a time for hearing at the Court." That is of concern. "There should be some level of consultation on the variation." Part III provides for that, Section 33.

And Part IV, Section 35: "This part has no regard to the fact that a bank is a business aimed at returning value to shareholders." Even if it's a

government owned bank by the way. "If a bank is not [sic] held by a holding company, in most cases, the holding company may not be involved in significant economic activity. And the \$20M start-up capital is exorbitant and arbitrary and does not seem to be based on any scientific or international accord. To be asking the holding company to provide 3 times this amount is preposterous." That seems a fair comment. That is a concern raised because to be asking the holding company to provide three times, "intuitively, the holding company should at least match the bank's capital so as to buttress the bank when capital is impaired. What is the economic benefit of allocating 3 times this amount? Further," the people asked, "are we asking independent shareholders to be putting up capital since they have an interest in the bank? That's going to impact the options that we have. "If not, the Act in itself is creating an unlevelled playing field. What would hold if a holding com... What would happen [sic] if a holding company holds more than a bank in the group and the other entities require capital?" Relevant to our circumstances here as well. "Would the capital be treated with", you know, as "on a consolidated basis? Or the banking capital takes precedence over any other capital requirement?" These

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

are really complex provisions. Serious.

2.1

But, and in the Anguilla case, even though we can't require the amendments through what would normally be the next process in this house because you can't amend that Bill, how are we going to address these? We can only address them by applying those concerns to the facts of, you know, to the different options of a resolution strategy; a resolution plan. You can't just think about it like that. And you can't do it after the fact, because, as I said, my presentation this morning, NBA and CCB, are they going to be regulated by this? That's a big question. Can they be regulated by this? That's a really big question; by the Banking Bill, Mr. Speaker.

"How can the ECCB restrict business of a holding company and require a high capital position? Retained earnings which would make up part of the [sic] capital had to be earned somewhere...with the Act placing such tight restrictions on the payment of dividends from the bank, where and how will the holding company earn returns?"

Part 5 of the Banking Bill, clause 1; 44, subclause 1: What basis was used to determine that the capital would be \$20M? What if shareholders -- What about the shareholders wishing to have a boutique niche

bank? We hear the shareholders of CCB talking all the time. Why isn't the capital stratified for the class of bank, the kind of license? Twenty million dollars in Anguilla? That's onerous. "One must remember that indigenous banks that require capital compete in an unfair space with foreign banks that are not required to hold capital." Can you imagine? "As such there are no limitations on how lending and other activities where limits of exposure of capital serve as caveats."

Section 45: "Why should 20 percent of retained earnings be put up in reserves? Initial capital is already high and arbitrary. The Act does not specify" in Section 48 "what the statutory liquidity parameters are." It's unclear. "Why would liquidity be as important to a holding company as it is to the bank? It is intuitive that some level of liquidity be present at the holding company for quick transfer if there is a capital infringement in the bank but it certainly does not need to be as liquid as the bank." That is what the shareholders say. That's what they're saying in Anguilla.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,

Mr. Speaker, just on a point of clarification from -
I'm not sure what Mrs -- the Member for Island Harbour

is reading from. Are these comments from Anguilla that

you are reading, Member, or they're comments from some other territory? Because I don't recall. The objections that came from Anguilla, I don't see those that you're referring to in your presentation. I just want to be clear. So if you can tell the Honourable House where those objections came from. Thank you.

Just for transparency. Thank you.

much. Mr. Speaker, just for transparency, and I know the Honourable Chief Minister was absent at some point. I just want to recite. I'm sure that the Honourable Member for Sandy Hill, these comments previously put forward by Antigua were included and in, consumed in our representations to the ECCB's legal team, was it yesterday or the day before? Yes. And formed part of our representations and areas for suggested amendment. So you see, Mr. Speaker, it's a good thing I went to St. Kitts. They might have been left out by mistake.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I just wanted to establish that because in looking around my papers and I notice that you were quoting a document and this document actually is, has been included in our contributions from Anguilla by agreement because these were the contributions made by Antigua; they are their commentary on the proposed Banking Act. And I just

want to, in the interest of transparency, also point 1 out that Antiqua and Barbuda passed the Act. 2 3 you. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Sorry, sorry. Mr. Speaker, I did not understand what the Chief 5 Minister recently said. I'm sorry, I didn't hear it. 6 7 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I'm sorry. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yeah. 8 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I was just 9 10 pointing out, I saw you reading this presentation that 11 was taken to the Central Bank, which we agreed that it 12 should be taken. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you so 13 14 much. MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: 15 They are the 16 commentary on the proposed Banking Act and these 17 commentaries come from Antiqua. And just in the 18 interest of transparency, I was pointing out that 19 despite the fact that these commentaries came from 20 Antiqua, that Antiqua passed the Banking Act. That's 21 all I'm saying. Thank you. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And that is 22 23 true. And that is true, Mr. Speaker, but in Anguilla

we haven't passed the Banking Bill yet and that is why

we are considering it. And these are concerns that we

24

included. 1 For clarity, THE SPEAKER: 2 can you just say, when you're introducing some of those 3 comments, whether they're from Anguilla or Antigua? 4 5 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker --Is that THE SPEAKER: 6 possible? MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, we 8 have lifted these comments. They are no longer 9 10 Antiqua's comments, they are comments that Antiqua previously submitted but that are relevant and have 11 12 been included by the persons in the consultative process here. And I can confirm that this has been 13 passed because I was present in St. Kitts with the 14 15 Member for Sandy Hill when these were included, reference to these were included as coming from 16 17 Anguilla. I am --18 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I 19 I was just -- I am not trying to confuse the Member for 2.0 Island Harbour. I was just simply pointing out that 21 these contributions were submitted by Antiqua and we 22 brought them along and adopted them as part of our presentation to the Central Bank because we would have 23 24 facilitated Antiqua in the process of bringing their 25 comments to the Central Bank Legal Department.

was just making that distinction and also pointing out that despite these comments, Antigua thought it necessary, in the interest of implementing these proposals, to pass the Banking Act. That's all I'm trying to say. Thank you very much.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SPEAKER: You may continue.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And what the Chief Minister may not know is that many of the jurisdictions passed the legislation without giving full consideration to the issues attending. And even in Anguilla we know, based on representatives in the Dominica Parliament sharing their experience that in fact the concerns continue after the passing of the Bill, and that concerns, if had been previously addressed, would have impacted them in how they approach the legislation coming into effect. I believe that is it. So I don't want the Honourable Chief Minister to suggest, and quite frankly I expect that in the Anguilla situation, particularly during the period when we are waiting for the assent of the Governor and the support of the British Government in relation to, you know, whatever banking regime we're going to end up with, I expect that these matters will be raised and they will continue to be those discussions in Anguilla.

So it's very pertinent, Mr. Speaker, having shared these during the consultation pro -- in fact, having received these by virtue of the consultation process that, you know, it is considered at this stage when we are considering how we're progressing with the Bill. But also considered now because the Chief Minister, and I think that, as I said, decisive leadership is unifying. Good leadership is unifying. And if we -- And addressing these aspects now, recognising that there won't be amendment, but recognising, that promotes transparency. That promotes consensus building, but only to the extent that it's able to be considered in line with the resolution strategy.

Now one of the things that we know for sure is that the resolution isn't going to operate in a vacuum. And whatever the plan is, and I know the Chief Minister spoke at length on a radio programme about it, I think that that information now, put in the context of the Bill, will allow for great discussion.

Possibly, I would hope, you know, that unifying energy propelling us forward rather than, I mean, we don't want to go, nobody in Anguilla wants, we know we've got to pass the Bill by December 2015. We also know to come in line with international standards. We also know that Anguilla, we are very faith focused culture.

That's why we had that service last night; that prayer service, because, you know, we are faith focused. no time, and I know that our Honourable Chief Minister is faith focused because he often says it. And nothing is culturally so noticeable in Anguilla that at the beginning of the year people are planning; they're going to church focused on, you know, wishing the best for the good season, you know, working together, paying a lot of attention to the tourist, you know, really being engaged. And we don't want any despair being pounced on the people during that time. And we may be able to achieve that by just a small delay just so that we could share with the people what it is so that, you know, the Honourable Chief Minister and the people can celebrate the success of a unifying influence. And Mr. Speaker, you've heard me over and over again say that, you know, I am committed to working with the Chief Minister to build unity across the length and breadth of Anguilla. That's what I'm doing. And that's why I'm proposing that this debate continues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mister -- So just to be clear to the persons who follow what happens in the House of Assembly because they, it's the only way they know what is happening in their country, I want to say to those persons that yes, we have lifted those concerns but you

have made these concerns previously shared by Antigua your own concerns, but you've further indicated, the people have further indicated, Mr. Speaker, that they only can value these concerns and totally see whether they're relevant to them if the resolution strategy is also made available to them rather than, you know, a blurring. Keeping the two things together instead of seeing the Banking Bill as a regulation, a regulatory piece of legislation allowing for harmonisation with international standards even at the same time as regional standards and the challenges of, you know, assuring that our financial system is resilient and, you know, the right kind of system that will allow us to conduct business with ease internationally.

Mr. Speaker, the other sections of the Bill. Mr. Speaker --

THE SPEAKER:

Before you

continue, please, there's a suggestion that we break

for lunch from 1:30 to 2:15, a short break so we have

15 minutes and we'll break for lunch.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker. Thank you, Mr. Speaker. And sections -And I was at the section where again we come back to
disclosure. Section 49 says that, "There should be a
high level of disclosure where credit facilities are

offered to staff" or related parties. However, why, why put an impediment to a bank offering preferential rates to staff? "Given that they are staff, a board should be able to implement a policy to subtract the administrative cost from the products offered to staff." These are the concerns that even PwC, I understand, although I've still not had the benefit of seeing that report. I've requested it and I hope that through the select committee it will be forthcoming. And I'm going to repeat, Mr. Speaker, through you, my request to the Honourable Chief Minister to allow a sight of that report. And I commit as well to be responsible, as a member of the select committee, in relation to that report, to seeing that. But I haven't seen it. And clearly, but the excerpts that were shared, you know, publicly by the Honourable Chief Minister in his addresses, of course, I'm aware of, you know, those excerpts, but I would like to see that In fact, I stay up most nights wondering what's in it and I would love to see it, Mr. Chief Minister, so that I could provide comfort to the people that we're going past that environment in a positive way to another future. That's how I would use it, wouldn't use it in any irresponsible way.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:

I trust you so

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
    much.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Oh, absolutely.
 2
 3
    I know you're very ambivalent, Mr. Chief Minister, but
 4
    I assure you, that the --
 5
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Order, please.
    Let's not talk across the floor.
 6
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you.
 7
                                              Come through
                 THE SPEAKER:
 8
 9
    the Speaker.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You see what
10
11
    bad practice is --
12
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I apologise,
13
    Mr. Speaker, for that interruption.
14
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Okay.
15
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You see,
16
    Mr. Speaker, the bad practices that are attending?
17
    sorry about that, Mr. Speaker. But, so Mr. Speaker, I
    said, yes, the PwC report will be very helpful.
18
    a member of the committee, if the Honourable Chief
19
20
    Minister and his colleagues, all of them, the Member
21
    for Valley North, the Member for Sandy Hill, the Member
22
    for Road South, the Member for West End, Member for
23
    Road North, and even those nominated members who might
24
    have sight of it, I would really feel that we would be
    doing the people of Anguilla a good service if we had
25
```

sight of it but also could responsibly focus on the strategy having, you know, more knowledge of things that occurred. But really the focus should be positive and not -- I know that the Honourable Chief Minister has voiced that, you know, it's confidential information. As a lawyer by profession, Mr. Speaker, I understand that confidentiality is often the most positive way of dealing with things, but not when you keep it for yourself. So not close to yourself. Share it, but responsibly.

Mr. Speaker, Section 49 of the Banking
Bill, dealing with the assets, it was identified that a
policy to subtract the administrative costs from the
products offered to staff would be desirable. And
these provisions by Section 49 infringe on the latitude
that a board could enjoy in managing the affairs of the
company because a bank is a business as we appreciate
its commercial objectives. And these provisions allow
the ECCB to micromanage the banks. That is a big
concern in Anguilla. That is expressed, you know, the
length and breadth of Anguilla.

Fifty two -- Section 53(2) is a very dangerous provision, they say, because this provision states that a bank cannot be a part of a diversified group. And "the protection of the bank can be achieved

if capital is segregated and assigned at the group level." So solutions are being offered as well. It's not just a criticism. There are absolute solutions being offered.

2.4

Section 53(7): "This is too broad", our people think. Because if a bank wants to outsource its statement functions or even its night safe functions, it has to get approval from the ECCB. Can you imagine?

Section 66, Mr. Speaker: "External auditors are not creatures of the Banking Act." And where does the ECCB, you might ask, get the power to demand reporting from the auditors who were engaged by the bank? "There must be some other legal mechanisms other than the banking act to require direct reporting to the ECCB." So pointing to deficiencies in the legislation to achieve international standards.

Section 69(2): "What is the point of furnishing the Minister with financial statements when the Act removes them as partners in regulation from the Act? Why can't they not get the information when it is furnished to the public as required by the Act?" So the governance system being challenged, you know. And does that at the same time expose a weakness,

Mr. Speaker? Because, you know, one of the concerns is that with international agencies and international

banking agencies, there is always the worry on the part of the regulated that, you know, the regulator can be arbitrary. But also not just that, but the regulators also, you know, especially in this new -- in this environment where international regulators are themselves forming part of clubs and bodies, for lack of a better word, we have to be really, really careful that there is, you know, full protection always We want our governance systems to actually deliver on their objectives. So we don't want our minister and our regulator passing information across each other, you know, because it's comfortable and convenient and a close friendly relationship. to know, just like the separation of powers doctrine, Mr. Speaker, which gives you so much power in this house, so much power that a court, part of the judiciary arm of Government can't interfere with your proceedings. And that gives you so much power. want to see similar systems operating in relation to banking, you know, the commercial aspects and the political aspects because that is, in other countries, I'm not suggesting it's happening in Anguilla, but we know full well in other countries. We know right now what PAC is investigating in Bahamas; regulators and friendly governments, you know. We have to be careful.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
We need good governance systems. I think the British
 1
 2
    Government is concerned to make sure that we have good
 3
    governance. So they say, Mr. Speaker. I'm sure that's
    the case. So we need it reflected in the banking
    regulation as well.
 5
                 Sex 66: "External auditors are not
 6
    creatures of the Banking Act." So --
 7
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: You read that
 8
 9
    already. I think you are on 69.
10
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I want to repeat
    Section 66 now I've made the point about governance.
11
                 THE SPEAKER:
12
                                             But you know
13
    the rules say you shouldn't be too repetitious.
14
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm sorry,
15
    Mr. Speaker?
16
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Neither should
17
    she be reading her presentation in that way.
18
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No, no. This is
19
    not -- I'm not -- I'm referring --
20
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             You can read
    from a document like that.
21
22
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Of course, she
23
    can.
24
                                             Yes.
                 THE SPEAKER:
25
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker,
```

```
I'm so grateful because as brilliant as I am,
 1
 2
    Mr. Speaker, I --
 3
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I just want the
    member to know that I was paying attention. Because
 4
    she read 66 and she's come back and repeating herself
 5
    and reading it again. So I just wanted to point that
 6
 7
    out.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes. Okay.
 8
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thanks.
 9
    Mr. Speaker. I know that the Honourable Chief Minister
10
11
    is anxious that I get to the end. I appreciate that,
    but I've got a long, long way to go, Mr. Speaker.
12
13
    I know that you wanted to break for lunch but at least
14
    I will try to cover a --
15
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Yes, we have 5
16
    minutes for lunch.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay.
17
                                                    Thank you
    so much, Mr. Speaker. So I was just referring back to
18
    Section 66 because external auditors, they're really
19
    important. And we heard about what the Chief Minister
20
21
    said when the Monetary Council met in Anguilla when he
22
    was installed as Chairman. And I know he said that,
    then that no, you know, that no solution fits everybody
23
    so I know that's on record. But in that session as
24
25
    well, the outgoing Chairman spoke about friendly
```

auditors, suggesting that there is a possibility of a breakdown in our governance processes as well. So we need to make sure that our Act, the Bill addresses that possibility as well. Of course, you know, the new laws regarding related parties and all of that international, the international guidelines will be helpful there.

Section 69(2): What is the point, our people asked, of furnishing the Minister with financial statements when the party removes them (and I had also repeated that) as partners in regulation from the Act?

"Why can they not get the information when it is furnished to the public as required by the Act?" And we appreciate the difficulties there.

"Part VII(70): This provision is so arbitrary that it allows the ECCB to go on witch hunts and without the tempering of questions. This provision should be executed on a risk basis and examinations executed based on risks." So you see, alternatives are being put forward.

Section 70(3): "This is too open. What is reasonable? Cost may depend on asset base or some other determining factor."

Section 71: "While consolidated supervision is important to reveal systemic risks..."

And I believe this is one of the inquiries that are, you know, relevant in our context even more so than in others because it's relevant in the context of -
THE SPEAKER:

Okay. Can you

2.3

stay a bit closer to your mike? Because you are --

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Oh, I'm sorry,
Mr. Speaker. Thank you very much for reminding me of
that. I said that Section 71 that, "While consolidated
supervision is important to reveal systemic risks, the
ECCB remit should solely be on the banking sector
especially since the ECCB is not a super regulator for
all financial sectors." And that's particularly
relevant in Anguilla's case where we share the
regulation of the financial services industry with the
Financial Services Commission and the British
authority.

In relation to 76, "Some of the provisions are tantamount", they think, "to overall takeover of the bank, which is a company held by private shareholders." This is exactly the section which is being challenged in the courts. And "the regulator should not have the right to micro-manage as it diminishes its authority to independently supervise the entity." Especially when they're fighting legal actions, you know, quite a lot of the time.

```
Now Section 78(2). I don't know,
 1
 2
    Mr. Speaker, if you would prefer me to hold and we have
 3
    lunch and continue after.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            How long will
 4
 5
    it take you to finish that?
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I
 6
    haven't been timing myself but I'm quite convinced it
 7
    will take a long time as I still have --
 8
                                             Alright.
 9
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                                        We
    will break now then. So we'll break for lunch and
10
11
    return at 2:15. Forty five minutes break.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you very
12
13
    much, Mr. Speaker.
14
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              House in
15
    recess.
16
                  (Luncheon adjournment at 1:30 p.m.)
                  (Sitting resumed at 2:20 p.m.)
17
                                              Order.
                                                    This
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
    House will now resume its sitting. Member for Island
19
    Harbour will continue. I don't know whether she will
20
21
    continue, she will wrap up or not.
22
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker,
23
    thank you for releasing us for lunch because I hope you
2.4
    had a (inaudible). Sorry, Mr. Speaker, I hope you had
25
    a very nice lunch break as well.
```

Yes, I did. 1 THE SPEAKER: MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Because I think 2 we're going to go on for a long time, Mr. Speaker. 3 Continue. THE SPEAKER: 4 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And I want to 5 6 make sure that we're all --Just continue. THE SPEAKER: 7 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you. 8 Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. To resume where we 9 10 left off, and we'd left off at Section 76 where some of the provisions, you'll remember, were alleged to be 11 tantamount to a total takeover of the bank and which is 12 13 a company held by private shareholders. And "the 14 regulator should not have the right to micro-manage as 15 it diminishes its authority to independently supervise 16 the entity." 17 You will remember, Mr. Speaker, that, you 18 know, we've heard on the radio, everywhere the concern 19 that in fact the regulator we're dealing with that 20 there may not in fact be public confidence in this 21 particular regulator because it has been demonstrated

regulator itself. I mean, I know that in Anguilla we're always scrutinising how the Financial Services

that, you know, the financial management of the

regulator itself has been under scrutiny of the

22

23

24

25

Commission spends its money. But in the case of the regional regulator, you know, there have been huge losses. That concerns the regulated, especially when what they see from that kind of information is that the regulator doesn't necessarily apply, you know, the normal commercial considerations to its own dealings. So they're afraid that its not going to be, that their approach isn't going to be reflective of an entity that's in touch with, you know, what the people are That is one of the concerns by Section going through. 76 that's been, you know, challenged all the time. there is, there is, you know, that worry because the regulated also say well, why don't we look at how the regulator we're selecting has performed over the last 25 years?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And while we talked about -- made a criticism of the, you know, our former Minister of Finance who'd been there for many, many years but hadn't attended to putting in place a regulatory framework that would support the stability of the banking system, similarly, you know, all eyes are focused through the Banking Bill on, you know, the prospective regulator and how they've conducted their business over, you know, the last many years.

Section 78, subclause 2; subsection 2:

"The ECCB should not have the powers to dismiss an employee of a bank." That has been a big issue and it has already cost a lot of money in our jurisdiction where, and that is of prime concern to the Anguillian public where we have the case where it has been determined that, you know, an employment contract, you know, subject to the rule of law, subject to unique national regulation and legislation, the regional ECCB wouldn't have the knowledge and wouldn't necessarily secure the advice in a cost-effective way so that poses a problem. Regarding the powers to dismiss an employee of the bank, they did not hire then and were not in the business decision to hire the person yet the ECCB granted leave to issue a directive to the bank to remove the employee, costing money ultimately finding its cost on, you know, the tax payer and the people of the jurisdiction, in this case Anguilla.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Further, Section 9 of the Constitution..."

And our -- While Section 9 of the Constitution of

Antigua was the subject, our -- We have similar

provision. And this Act gives the ECCB, our

constitution recognises our right to own property. And

"this act", this Bill, "gives the ECCB the right to

deny citizens the constitutional right to own and

maintain ownership of property without a fair and

transparent process." That has been, you know, a concern not just in Antigua but in Anguilla as well where our rights are protected in similar ways.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now the ECCB, under Section 83, is not a court much less a court of final decisions. Mr. Speaker, I've already, in terms of describing the governance challenges, made reference to what happens in our system where there's a separation of powers doctrine that governs how the House of Assembly interfaces with, you know, the legislative arm. Ιt should be separate and apart, and independent and fair. And similarly, the judiciary, they're not at liberty to direct, Mr. Speaker, how you run your House of Assembly as Speaker, how you manage that. That age old doctrine helps us to keep in perspective what is right. the allegation here is that "the ECCB is not a court much less a court of final decision." So "as such if an employee or officer is exonerated from a criminal matter, how can the Act allow the ECCB to make decisions contrary to the findings of the court?" power is there. "This provision allows the ECCB to be the judge, jury" and some say "the executioner." is not a good thing, taking account our rule of law and the way that our governance systems are intended to operate.

Section 85: "The observer is a creature of the ECCB and should be compensated by the ECCB."

Section 86(2): If this provision is used, there is no need to add specifics in the other sections because this allows the ECCB to vicariously manage the banks. So again we are concerned about those relations; the interconnectedness of these entities. Is that going to yield proper regulation?

Part -- The next part - this part under Section 114(1)(a) to (i) [sic]: "This provision gives the ECCB unfettered authority to move into a bank for even minor infractions based on the phrasing of this provision. There is no role for the Minister in the event of an intervention." And while we may urge that that is a good thing, equally, there is no opportunity on the part of the citizens of a country to influence or have any say in what occurs.

"The minimum standards for an official institution -- I'm sorry, for an official administrator should be clearly outlined in the Act. This would make the process transparent." It's those gaps in transparency that really concern the people of Anguilla.

Now the operations of the institution, according to Section 122, the operations of the

institution should be clearly on the mandate of the administrator. If business decisions are made by the ECCB, it erodes their ability to impartial regulation, holding the bank in question to the same standard as any other licensed institution.

Section 124: This is the concern: that "if the ECCB has to approve decisions of the bank in question", as we have already determined in 122 where we're questioning the mandate of the administrator, "then it clashes with provisions of 124." So even within the different sections there is a lot of confusion, Mr. Speaker. All of this, Mr. Speaker, leads to the conclusion that we need more effective consultation.

The bank is a legal entity, as we can see as set up under 127(2). "And this provision cannot be allowed to gain precedence over prevailing business laws." I've already um, you know, our system is the English legal system, in fact in Anguilla we receive English law. Not like a lot of the independent territories where continuing developing practice in the UK is of no relevance post the independence period. In our case we're still receiving law. We have to be extremely careful, you know, what we're doing so it doesn't conflict with the body of law we've inherited

and continue to live by.

Now, "a depositor must have the ability", we think, "to sue any officer of the bank, even an appointed administrator." This is the big, grave area. "If not, the ECCB and the Administrator through the administration period may perform decisions contrary to the interest of creditors and depositors." Would we want that to happen in Anguilla? Is this something that, in this resolution period, we are going to be comfortable with that lacuna in the law? How are we going to address that?

Section 127(6), it's urged by everybody that it eliminates any rights of current shareholders and depending on the resolution strategy, that will come very much into focus. And you know what, if I could refer to the case and I might choose to read from it later because I believe the people would find it helpful, about the Stanford matter. Is that the kind of attention that we want focused on our jurisdiction? I don't believe so, Mr. Speaker.

Section 130(11): "Is..." I'm sorry, 147.

147(a). I'll go back to 136 in a minute because it's relevant. But 147(a) says, "What is being referred to as "gratuitous transfers." Gratuitous transfers? What does that bring to mind? In Anguilla it's a major

worry because "If this is to regain funds taken under insider information, then it should be coined in this way and elucidated in the act." But more than that, that is probably an area that's going to invite even more deep inquiry than the fact that we have friendly auditors or related parties transactions. It's very, very troublesome. And so say the people of Anguilla.

"How can the ECCB..." Section 148. "How can the ECCB dictate to a provider that they cannot disrupt services if owed?" And here we're thinking about utilities, internet, et cetera.

Section 151: "There should be a transparent process to deal with claims. Unbridled authority to discriminate the fulfillment of claims should not rest solely in the hands of the receiver."

I would urge as well, and any resolution strategy. It shouldn't rest on the government of the day either. It should really be for the people to participate and decide together because that's the only way that it would be fair.

Section 151: "There should be a transparent..." 153(b), sorry. "This provision is contrary to established labour laws..." Even under our Fair Labour Standards Act, you know, that brings that observation into focus. That the section needs to be

amended to exclude wages and salaries due to officers and it's not accounted for as a payable elsewhere in the Act. You know, if that were not to be included and there are actions that compromise, you know, persons who work and officers, that could lead to a lot of uncertainty as well. And "additionally, it is noted that officers are to be paid after all depositors. What is the rationale for this sweeping change?" So you come into the issues of how are people going to pay. And while we can rest on the longstanding well accepted precedents and practice in, you know, in this region, how is it now that sometimes your employees are not going to receive money, the officers are not going to receive money as a priority? You know, it's very, very sweeping change; very worrying and absolutely, I'm sure, will attract a claim. So introducing uncertainty.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And 153(c): "Since this is a revision to the Banking Act, it should be forward looking." Not -- As I spoke earlier, the current regulatory framework, don't care how we look at it, the Honourable Chief Minister having been the Minister of Finance for so many years in Anguilla, you know, must take some responsibility to making this a forward looking law. And under Section 51 -- I'm sorry, 153(c): "The ECCB

should have a proposed Deposit Insurance legislation that would work in tandem with the revised Act so as to ensure maximum repayment of depositor funds." But it's missing in that regard. Probably the most important bit that would concern the people of Anguilla. That is a very big gap. Really worrying. Is it going to be arbitrary? Is it going to be grounded in the law?

Just think about what our offshore depositors would think if they recognise that they're only entitled to, you know, minimum protection or arbitrary protection.

Really worrying. That would cut out our offshore industry, depending on what is determined.

Our Government, with this law, we would have to really scrutinise the resolution strategy against this and possible courses of action to get the people to accept what is fair. Now I don't think that's so difficult. It just involves our Chief Minister, through the select committee, letting, you know, organising in an organised -- allowing in an organised way for the people to have their say. Just like we're dealing with these sections now, I believe that we could have that input in such an organised way on the resolution as well; on the resolution process.

Now 153(e): "This provision is designed to discriminate depositors should they be shareholders."

Wow! "These persons, natural or otherwise, based on their position would place their funds with the bank for liquidity. If this provision suffices, it serves as a disincentive", we think, "to invest and hold deposits in indigenous banks thus collapsing the indigenous banking sector." That came from Antiqua and it's ever more relevant in Anguilla's case and the resolution that the Banking Bill is a first step for, according to our Honourable Chief Minister, Minister of Finance and Member for Valley South.

precedence over every other Act, any other Act. This will have an impact on Antigua in this case, Anguilla in this case, which states that in our law, which recognises that "In the event of bankruptcy, the amount owing to an employee as severance shall be paid in priority to other debts."

You know, the reason I say maybe it's going to make a difference in our law even though we don't have any severance arrangements yet, is because I'm relying on the Member for Sandy Hill who has been working diligently, according to the press, to make sure that our people are protected. And I expect that we are going to have severance legislation. So how is this Act? Is it forward enough thinking? Because in a

month or so, I hope that the minister is going to make sure, in consultation with the people, that our laws which protect our people, that they absolutely triumph over, you know, in this kind of context.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 172: "Why are we including the Minister in the administration of abandoned property when he/she is not included in the regulatory process?" Is that because Crown lands? Because the Bona vacantia laws allow for Crown lands to go to, you know, to be dealt, to be addressed. There are certain laws that allow for lands and whatever to be abandoned. And our people may not know about this but guess what, do you know what got the TCI into trouble? Do you know what caused, you know, all the international, and particularly the British to take over TCI? There is a link to do with Crown lands and abandoned properties. Do we want to pay particular attention to this? Because our laws generally are rather archaic in addressing these kinds of -- providing these kinds of protections. These are the questions that our people need reassurance on and they are not prepared, I'm sorry to say, Mr. Speaker, not prepared to rely anymore on a promise that it's going to be fixed. It's a different age. True democracy allows for full participation of the people and the decision after full

and rational and responsive consultation. That is what we are advocating for here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section -- So generally you will see that even the section on secrecy clauses because they provide for secrecy, you know. We're trying in this regime to get rid of secrecy. We're trying to have, you know, an open and transparent situation. But here, secrecy clauses in the Banking Bill are not aligned, perhaps, with overarching with secrecy legislation in some of the countries. How is that being looked at? Especially in the context that our regulatory authority in the international financial services industry, you know, haven't been consulted with directly. Thankfully, we've received some feedback from the Chairman Helen Hatton but that was entirely by accident. And I know that Honourable Chief Minister has been, you know, has spoken, I say, you know, I'm disappointed in the way he's spoken about, you know, the Financial Services Commission because sadly, as a practitioner in the international financial services industry, I have slavishly to hold out to get business that our jurisdiction is well regulated. And if that is going to be a sound bite that's going to be picked up, I know we're going to have a hell of a time to get business. So you know, where is it all leading?

is it all leading? And is it open and transparent?
All our concerns.

Section 182, again (4), all -- I'm sorry,

181(4): "All penalties..." Here this. "...are paid

to the ECCB and not the Government. What is the

rationale for this?" What is the rationale for this?

"Too much authority is given to the ECCB." Our people

complain. "Why should the ECCB be responsible for

dictating what days should be public holidays or not?"

So we're going to do away with the Father of the Nation

holiday indirectly. It's going to be taken away again.

And this time by, influenced by persons not from

Anguilla perhaps. We have to be so careful,

Mr. Speaker. Section 182(3).

unfettered authority" -- I'm sorry. "The Court should have unfettered authority to administer compensation without limitation. If it is proved that the ECCB was guilty of unfair treatment, penalties should not be limited to damages or [sic] damage awards as this would not be the case if the roles were reversed." Fairness again. Equity. But also corporate responsibility. How do we envisage that? Our leading agencies, what kind of behaviours should they be modelling to our children and to a regime where we advocate for greater

social justice all the time? These are the issues.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Section 188: "What is the definition of "gross negligence" as it pertains to the official administrator or receiver? Why is this being inputted here?"

So Mr. Speaker, we've lifted those. And you know, we've lifted those objections and we've shared them. But even coming closer home now, coming closer home, the consultation process has yielded so many other objections. Put in a different way yes, but so many other objections. There are objections that the Central Bank has already shown total disregard and disrespect to the people of Anguilla. We have one employment case where the ECCB simply maintained immunity and never answered the repeated questions of the Justices as to how the employee was going to obtain her rights. Right here in Anguilla under the current regime. Just imagine what will happen under the next, under this, the regime that this Banking Bill is going to put in? And then the ECCB then simply refused, in that case, to comply with the final Appeal's Court Order to pay the claim, leading to the closure of a bank, of an indigenous bank by the Court bailiff. "It is our..." The people, say that the bank would have remained closed with the ECCB refusing to comply with

the Court Order had it not been for the intervention of, you know, our Honourable Minister of Finance. heard him say that he did that. And that's a good thing, but. And that's a good thing that he did in this case. But do we want the next Minister of Finance to have to intervene? Do we want that? Do we know how he's going to make those decisions? How he's going to I would propose, I would suggest be able to influence? that's exactly why we need to look at that provision. We got a good result in this case but that is not what law is about. Law is about providing certainty. So while I too thank the present Chief Minister and Minister of Finance for getting a fair result, why are we going to expose more of our people to that same uncertainty? And don't talk, what was the big discussion? Was that in the Bank Shareholder court action the Central Bank lawyers were very scornful of the shareholders. That is what some of the shareholders reported in the case. Now, you know, you may say that's neither here nor there. But the truth is, we need to model the kind of professionalism always that is going to support our people advancing. That is what we have to look at and aspire to.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Other objections to the Act have to do with the fact that all authority will reside with the ECCB

and none whatever, except indirectly, with Anguilla's elected Government.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Section 9(2) - All licence fees will be paid to ECCB instead of the Government, and lost Government revenue will have now [sic] to be replaced by new taxes on Anguillians." That is the worry. And it's a significant amount of money. You know, \$200,000.00 here and there or even a \$100,000.00 here and there, you might not think is a lot. But if we had \$500,000.00 or \$300,000.00 or \$200,000.00, that would make a big dent in the school feeding programme we need to implement. It would make a remarkable impact on the food on the table that's able to go out to our vulnerable in the society and so we need to look at it. Section 9(2) - All licence fees will be paid to the ECCB, and as I said, will ultimately be paid for by the tax payer.

"Clause 8(4)(b) - the ECCB will be under no obligation to justify denial of a licence." Now let me tell you, Mr. Speaker, what I believe is going to be confusing here. Some of these sections, even as we've put up in formatting, you know, we talk about shared knowledge, shared information resources. You know what, our sectioning, we say it's going to be uniformed, but it's not uniformed. We can't even

present it in a uniformed way to you. That is just an indication, because on the ECCB website it's one thing, in Antiqua it's one thing, in Anguilla it's one thing. And you know, an impartial person looking out, I always try, I'm always hesitant to make reference to our weaknesses in terms of how we present ourselves internationally because I know international potential investors they are all listening. Like, for instance, in the correspondence that went out to the Minister of the Overseas Territories there, it's undated. It makes us look bad. It makes us look bad. And you know, it could happen in any, you know, and I'm not perfect and it could happen to anyone. But when it exposes us to rebuke for that, we have a lot to worry about. also leads to misunderstanding as well. And I'm just saying that there're discrepancies in every bit. of them collate in terms of the numbers. So sometimes you will hear me repeating from the objections through the consultative process of the Anguillian's, different numbers because our version is different. I'm using the Banking Act that's provided by the clerk; the Bill for the court. I'm referring to the numbering in there. But I want you to know and to recognise that there are differences in the numbers. Doesn't suggest of great professionalism.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Now I believe that when one of the, one of the persons who've been, one of the activists in this, you know, one of the persons very much against the Banking Bill, in the consultations where I've been present, you know, has indicated that some of the objections are relying on the Bill that the ECCB published where the sections are out. So I want us to note that. And I note that the Member for Sandy Hill is already aware of those formatting challenges and so, so I know that she appreciates, appreciates it. authority will reside with the ECCB and none with Anguilla's elected government. And we heard the Honourable Chief Minister earlier. He really wouldn't want to be the person, you know, he wouldn't want to licensing it. And but then he points to the fact that decisions are going to be taken. He talked about Mr. Hughes', you know, tenure as a member of the ECCU and, you know, his commitment to have passed the Bill And certainly, versions that I've seen of the as well. Banking Bill are exactly the same ones that were put there. So I think that it is fair to expect that that was the one that was going to be put forward. It's why I expect that the Honourable Chief Minister, with a little more effort, can bring in a lot of the persons who feel that they have no confidence in his leadership

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

but they have had confidence in Mr. Hughes' leadership. Because I believe if we could bridge that gap we would be unifying our people because and that's why I think a little bit of time would yield that. We said it today but people need, they won't necessarily believe that until they have an opportunity to see. I think that would allow for us to be unified.

Now under clause 8(4)(b), the ECCB will be under no obligation to justify denial of a licence. And based on the ECCB's already observed high-handed and uninformative mode of operation with regard to Anguilla, and I'm just mouthing what the people are saying. I'm just saying that this is what they're saying and we've got to listen to them. Because based on that, they do not find that permitting the ECCB to escape having to provide justification is a good thing.

"Sections 77 and 78, empowering the ECCB to sanction directors, officers, employees and significant shareholders, while appropriate under very specific and narrow circumstances, is wide open to abuse by the ECCB, which has no overseer..." The Latin that the regulators used is different but effectively it mean who is watching the watchman. And it raises serious constitutional issues and is a cause for concern. "The government of Anguilla should [sic] end up stuck with

liability for people wrongly sanctioned, plus the harm caused to persons wrongly sanctioned." Trade unions in Anguilla might follow what trade unions in Antigua did, which forced an amendment to this part of the Bill.

Maybe this is something that we can do right now. The Chief Minister said there's no amendment but the trade unions got some of their amendments through at the initial stages. Perhaps the Honourable Chief Minister will tell us how that was done.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"Section 83 - The ECCB continues to have the power to sanction a person for an act even though the Court has found the person not guilty of the act" in question. Trade unions -- I'm sorry. "This places the Central Bank ABOVE the courts in deciding a person's guilt." So you see, Mr. Speaker, why it is so important where your governance systems are not, you know, entirely separate and where they don't take account of the rule of law and what the constitution provides for protection of its citizenry. You see, when that's not the case, we're rather, we will be placed kind of like, you know, in -- I'm not suggesting, Mr. Speaker, that you're like this but we know of a lot of cases in our recent history where Speakers have been really, really biased and have, you know, but they've got full authority. That is a

dangerous, dangerous thing, Mr. Speaker. And while we expect all our Speakers to be persons of great integrity, this is not always the case. And our governance systems are weakened by the arbitrary actions of others. This is the kind of concern that is being expressed here in relation to Section 83 of the Bill where the ECCB continues to have the power to sanction a person.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Section 87, regarding the removal of director, raises issues of constitutional rights and liability as well. And Section 98 which empowers the Central Bank to decide whether a person is a fit and proper person to be a significant shareholder, can be abused by the ECCB and allow victimisation of persons or potential shareholders and prevent existing shareholders from disposing of shares as they need to, and raises constitutional issues. Now the Honourable Chief Minister may be willing, Mr. Speaker, to discredit that concern but you will see that even in as age old, you know, court system as ours and with certain laws, our laws being fairly certain, our constitutional laws being certain, we still have our countries unwilling to have our cases subjected to the scrutiny of regional courts. We still insist on having the Privy Council as our final Court of Appeal for that

reason as well. People don't trust the relationships in a very small country, in a small region to always follow the law or to support or do what is right. So there's always worry about what that could lead to.

Section 133 of the Act, Mr. Speaker, deals with mergers. It deals with sales and other restructuring and empowers the ECCB to transfer depositors' deposits without obtaining any approval, assignment or consent, without incurring any liability whatsoever. I read Justice Mitchell's paper yesterday or today and I was -- and he said, you know, we've got some surprises coming. Now while I'm interpreting what that means, I can tell you as a lawyer that that's rich for uncertainty, rich and it will cost us a lot if we're not careful. Lawyers will do well.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: As they always do.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No, no.

Lawyers will do well. But I get no joy when I win a case against the Government of Anguilla. Where's the joy? There's no joy. No joy when I win a case against a regional institution. I'd much rather that we do the right thing by our people. And that's why I'm reciting again my request to the Honourable Chief Minister to allow for this reading to be withdrawn till he has

agreed a time with the Governor for an assent in that time, sometime before December 2015 when he's got to have all this right in terms of the Banking Bill and let us progress now and apply the resolution plan that he has, test it against the law and see if the law really work for us. And if we move quickly we can get that done, Mr. Speaker. Back to the Banking Bill, Mr. Speaker.

"It should be noted that under Section 133(4), in carrying out such transfer the Central Bank..." (in carrying out the transfer like we described above) "...the Central Bank may seize deposits to pay off any matured or past-due loans owed to the institution.

Under Section 134 - Mandatory restructuring of liabilities" is the heading. "The administrator may seize customer deposits or may convert customer deposits to shares. In other words, the ECCB would have the power to enforce a "Bail-in" of depositors and the Government would have no say whatsoever in the matter." So much for all the talk about protecting depositors a hundred percent. Sounds rather like the kind of scenario that was put forward during the election about the ISL. "The New Banking Act would put depositors' funds in more danger than has ever been the

case before in Anguilla. Also, compare this to the current banking crisis in Greece where the EU directorate recently assured Greek depositors that their deposits would not be "bailed in"."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Under Section 135 [sic] which focuses on the court's powers in legal claims against Central Bank, Section 185 eliminates injunctive relief against the Central Bank and empowers the Central Bank to unrestrictedly continue doing whatever it is doing regardless of challenge or review before the court. "Injunctive relief is a centuries-old tried and tested legal remedy that forms a fundamental aspect of ensuring the delivery of justice to claimants, and to simply eliminate it without any discussion amongst the legal system or any [sic] reasoned analysis of the ramifications of its elimination on the delivery of justice in a society is unacceptable." So the people are saying, Mr. Speaker, that even the most sovereign governments have to abide by injunctive orders. Why should the Central Bank be exempt?

"Section 185(4) further seeks to fetter the Court and limit relief to award of damages, even when [sic] sovereign governments have to comply with all forms of relief imposed by their courts. Section 186 forces rapid disposal of Anguilla's assets. Section

186(5) authorizes the Government to transfer massive percentages of Anguilla's property assets to foreign control." Mr. Speaker, I always say how does that feel when I say that? How does it feel to have your lands transferred, you're not having a say, and especially in a new regime that you didn't contemplate, to foreigners, to foreign control? And you know, Mr. Speaker, many people will say that, you know, we need to build harmonious relationships with others. But every historian will tell you that harmonious relationships are assured when the laws are certain and every constituent group is sure of what their rights That's why in the UK there's so much focus, even though they don't have a constitution, a written constitution like we have, on the bill of rights. everyone, you know, is assured of what their rights. Nobody -- Diversity will not thrive, good relations will not thrive when these possibilities are permitted. So say our people. And equally, we know very well, Mr. Speaker, that since 1967 we've always had challenges. Sometimes, you know, I recognise why that is the case because I understand, you know, our people. I'm in touch with our people. They share with me what their feelings are. It takes a responsible leader to look at, to have a holistic view of diversity to make

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

sure that all peoples are protected and that we don't continue with this big divide in terms of, you know, foreigners and, you know, who is local and who is foreign. But that can only happen with responsible leadership bringing people together; all the stakeholders. These kinds of laws, even though on the face of it it might look not to be discriminatory, it actually devolves into our people, you know, being set up against each other and it's not a good thing. So we need to be very, very careful and to be cognizant of, you know, why we're doing things and how, in fact, they are playing out and whether they support Anguilla as a peaceful country; our people, you know, that brand, because it's critical to tourism, that we are continued to be viewed as a friendly and loving people.

2.3

Section 186(5) authorises the Government to transfer, as I've said, lands and we need that section to be looked at very carefully, especially in light of Section 189 which addresses the non-application of the Alien Landholding Regulation Act. This Act, without, you know, is, this section is particularly worrying because it advances a regime which itself needs, you know, the engagement of the Anguilla people. Not only because it contributes large amounts of money now to our, you know, support in social services, essential

Ι

social services no less and, you know, has saved us from bankruptcy because if we hadn't had, you know, those laws in the past we might have been worst off. think the people, just in terms of the change of that regime, requires consultation, significant consultation. And I don't believe that this has been had in the context of the current consultation.

2.0

2.2

Section 6, Mr. Speaker, of the associated Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act, believe it or not, which is, I've heard, I haven't seen it yet, but is on -- will be on the next Order Paper, specifically exempts the Asset Management Corporation from all laws of the country in relation to the enforcing of its security in a property. That's why the consultation doesn't have to be isolated just on the Bill but has to be tested in relation to the facts, the proposed facts of the resolution.

Now, the enactment of the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Act will bring into force an accompanying agreement, the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Agreement which sets out the articles governing the operations of the corporation.

And the Article 107 of the agreement under the title "Reservations" states: "No reservations may be entered in respect of any provision of this Agreement." And we

```
1
     could go on, Mr. Speaker.
 2
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Remind the
 3
    member we are not debating that Act as yet.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm sorry?
 4
                                              We're not
 5
                 THE SPEAKER:
 6
    debating that Act as yet.
 7
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, but it's
    part of the resolution strategy and as our Honourable
 8
 9
    Chief Minister spoke lengthily about it this morning
10
    and has in, this morning particularly but also in the
11
    press recently, it is an integral part of the reality
12
    that the Banking Bill Address this.
                                             Well if he
13
                 THE SPEAKER:
14
    address it in here today, you can address it but what
15
    he addressed out there, that's something different.
16
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,
17
    Mr. Speaker, I'm guided.
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              If it was
19
    addressed in here you can deal with it.
2.0
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker,
21
    I'm quided by you but remember, Mr. Speaker, and the
2.2
    Honourable Chief Minister this morning spoke at length
23
    about, you know, the, you know, his solution for us,
    you know. You know, the fix that he is going to apply
24
25
    without engaging our people. And this law, the next
```

law, there's been no consultation really, sufficient consultation on that aspect of it as well. So that's all adding to my urging the Honourable Chief Minister to take advantage of the window that he's already assured the Governor that he will allow her so that she becomes comfortable with his plan which he, I hope, has shared with her. I don't know that. But which he clearly has not shared with the people. And I think he, as a responsible leader, you know, committed to improvement, he can do that now. We've got the window. It won't challenge the passing of the Banking Bill, which is important for securing our certainty but which in fact, if it's not looked at properly in the context of what's envisaged, we're going to have some challenges. And better now than after its passing and to introduce further uncertainty in the country. Mr. Speaker, through you, I'm urging that the Honourable Chief Minister listens. I know he often has said that he is listening to the people. But if he continues to ramrod this through, and I can't think of any other word for it. Ramrod is always linked with bullying. So I wouldn't want, you know, the idea that it's being ramrod through to, you know, to lead to a situation where emotions are again going to be pressurised and we are going to see chaos. It's easy.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm proposing an out; an easy out. There's no time lost because it's not going to be passed. We're still within the parameters that the international rules are requiring. There is still opportunity to consult with the financial services industry, there is still opportunity to consult with the tourism, you know, stakeholders because this is so important and they are concerned. They are really concerned because if there's going to be additional costs levied on them to contribute to marketing, especially in challenged circumstances, you know, they might be tempted like some people in the financial services industry, just tempted to close down. And then what will there be, Mr. Speaker? How will we pay the ISL? It'll be less and less and less. So that is why, Mr. Speaker, we really need to do that. As I said before and last time in front of this last meeting in this house, said that it's inevitable that the Banking Bill will pass. inevitable. It's inevitable. And I would hope that the Chief Minister is comforted by that assurance because he has, you know, the wherewithal to, you know, eventually do it. But why not do it embracing the Mr. Speaker, that would curb it. That would people? send such a strong signal.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think that the consultations, I want to

commend, particularly the Minister for Sandy Hill, the strong consultations on the Bill, the long hours, but they were good. And people felt, you know, felt they were being heard at least in relation to the sections of the Bill. But now we're going into that big, horrible area about asset management, the resolution strategy with nobody having information. Another repeat.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, I'm urging the Honourable Chief Minister through you to do the right thing by the people. And in relation to this reading, you know, we talked about collaboration. We agree, I believe, that we need to have regulation and we need to stay compliant with the law and that needs to be the people's choice. That means that by stringing it out and going the long way we may be positioning for the British to take over. And that is not our will either. It's not the will of the people. It's not the will. What they are asking for is for the Chief Minister to engage with them to outline what he plans. To do it in a way that, I know that there are sensitivities and he's going to be concerned about that, but to share as much and as complete. Remember the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. I think Anguillians will come together under that regime and support him.

And you know, Mr. Speaker, if you think that I am, as I said last time, having my cake and eating it too, I want you to know what my personal view is is not really relevant except during the consultative process when I say to the people, you know, what I think and hopefully help in unifying When I stand here, I am the voice of the And yes, the Chief Minister wants to share people. that. And maybe he's right that it's combined because he represents a group as well; maybe fewer than gave him the mandate to come here. Maybe fewer. I don't But what I would say, Mr. Speaker, is that to the extent we can include everyone, everyone; to the extent that we can make sure that every single group, and especially our vulnerable groups, you know, whose lives have been impacted in such a horrible way and they don't have any understanding because the Chief Minister has had no time to sit with these vulnerable groups to talk about this new regime, I think I would, you know, urge the Chief Minister through you, Mr. Speaker, even at this late stage, considering what successes have been achieved in the past week, to do just that. And I think he'll be greeted by a people that are fully behind the plan; totally behind the plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I see, Mr. Speaker, you're looking at me like you think that we need to be cognizant of the time limits for our reporter again. Is that what you are looking at me in that way for?

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: You can talk for as long as you want.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay, I'll go on then because I want to share. But I want to make sure that we are treating our people with respect as well and following the rules, Mr. Speaker.

THE SPEAKER: I will remind you when we're going to take a break for the reporter.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay, you will remind me. So thank you very much. So Mr. Speaker, now we're talking about amendments and immunities. And Article 100 of the Agreement, dealing with disputes, "states that disputes between Participating Governments or between the Corporation and a Participating Government shall be submitted to arbitration." So arbitration. So again, limited recourse to justice as we know it. That is not -- You know, it takes account of, you know, a modernised world. And certainly we push in our jurisdiction as lawyers. You know, we know that it might be a more cost effective mechanism and it's typically impacting people in a business

environment, you know, more commercial context. And I note that the Act refers to a commercial context but the link with the Banking Bill and how it operates is really, really important.

2.3

Now the section/article -- So why is it necessary that, why is it necessary that we keep top of our minds in the consideration of this Bill, why is it necessary that we recognise, keep fully separate the idea that the Banking Bill is one thing and the resolution is one thing.

You see Mr. Speaker, if the Chief Minister had stood up this morning and spoken only about the Banking Bill, you know, the Banking Bill, how we were going to meet, you know, international standards, you know, the parameters we were operating under and perhaps not posited it as, or positioned it, lack of a better word, as the first step to fixing the banking crisis which needs to be fixed, you know, maybe we could have avoided this drawn out process, these drawn out proceedings. We might have been able to avoid it because I don't think the people of Anguilla fully have separated in their minds the two issues. It's the only reason in fact why it's necessary for me to, you know, say slavishly refer to what could be the potential ramifications of, you know, a particular resolution

strategy that we don't really know what it is going to be yet. Because until our people are told what is intended where, you know, all the evidence is put For instance, it took me a whole week. mentioned this, Mr. Speaker, previously at one of the public, you know, at a public forum where we were talking about the Banking Bill, only the public forum on the Banking Bill and the Asset Management Company and the agreements that only - I'm the Leader of the Opposition, we agreed on the creation of the select committee, but only at that public forum for the first time I understood that the British, you know, had some challenge with, and this is my own wording, Mr. Speaker, so forgive me if, I hope the others will forgive me if I'm not right, but that the British, the impression that I left that forum with, to be clear, more clear, is that the -- for the first time I appreciated, based on what our Government members said, was that one of the reasons that we had to do this decision quick was because the British was going to It came from their mouths; that allegation. impose it. And that not only concerned me but it was the first time. And that was just at the forum in Island I shared it already with them that it was of concern for me there. But that was the first time that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

I recognised that this was the representation that they were using for the urgency. And that concerned me greatly because, Mr. Speaker, and it concerns Anguillians greatly because part -- first, were you -was that a subjective understanding of meetings with the British; is that? We had no evidence. We have nothing. And I have never received from the Honourable Chief Minister anything to suggest that that is not the case; that in fact, you know, the British were intending to impose on us until I went in October and I could draw on the meetings that I had in October with the Foreign and Commonwealth Office and meetings that I had with parliamentary groups dealing with the Overseas Territories where they had assured me then, not in the context of the claim that, you know, the British were requiring it now by mid Autumn, but they'd assured me that the British Government and the ministers would never impose anything on Anguilla except we were looking to have taxpayers' monies. That is what they said. So I couldn't marry those two things and, you know, obviously I had to enquire further. And I was told well, you know, ask the minister, the, you know, for the information. There must be something that they're relying on; share it. And I've asked but nothing has been forthcoming. So I'm going to rely,

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Mr. Speaker, on, in the absence of information coming from the Government that in fact the international parameters are the tough deadlines we've got to meet to avoid crisis, December 2015, and if we could find a way to move from where we are now through the resolution strategy, you know, make that available to the public in a way that's of course sensitive to, you know, making sure that our financial systems are sensible, are good and um, good. And I know that that's the intention of the Chief Minister. I think if we could do that we could really make a lot of progress in the coming weeks. But if instead, we go forward with second, third reading, sham select committee operation, I don't believe we are going to achieve that outcome. And Mr. Speaker, I would want to work really closely with the Honourable Chief Minister to make sure that we avoid, you know, any further challenges in terms of law and order; any challenges.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I was detained from coming into the house.

I feel so sad today because our house is empty,

Mr. Speaker, and there were so many people outside

wanting to get in, you know, just wanting to sit down

like they did in the past. But why? And you know,

this Banking Bill is going to be forever a blur on

our --

THE SPEAKER: Nothing is 1 preventing them from coming in. The door is open. 2 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay. 3 THE SPEAKER: All the seats 4 5 are there. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: They don't feel 6 7 welcome anymore, Mr. Speaker. That's --When they come 8 THE SPEAKER: 9 in they must behave. 10 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, and I'm not going there. I understand. But what I can 11 12 say to you, Mr. Speaker, is that we've always got to take account of our people and include them and embrace 13 14 them and make it easy for them to feel a part of what's 15 occurring. Because just last week I sat, and this time 16 part of a delegation to do with, you know, how our 17 societies are evolving, with the OECS. This is on the issue of sustainability as well and affordability too 18 in a different context but from the context of social 19 20 services and how we are delivering them and how we are 21 going to allow for our environments to be safe. 22 you know what was top of mind? 23 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Financing too. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: What was --24 25 Well what is -- I'm sorry. The Chief Minister, he's

clearly -- You know, you have to --1 THE SPEAKER: Chief Minister, 2 order, please. You can talk through the Speaker. 3 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I 4 5 apologise. I just didn't hear her mention financing so 6 I just wanted to hear it. THE SPEAKER: Okay. Yes. MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: You know, yes, 8 Mr. Speaker, and I attended with the Honourable Evalie 9 Bradley, I attended at the initiative of the Honourable 10 McNiel Rogers. I'm so grateful that --11 MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: (Inaudible). 12 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: And on my 13 suggestion as well. The minister was absolutely cool. 14 15 He accepted that we should bring one of our Youth 16 Parliamentarians. He'd made that commitment and I made sure he kept it and he did, and he's honourable for 17 having doing it. He made that commitment at the youth, 18 19 at the session with the youth in the presence of everybody else and I said I would follow up and I think 20 21 the Permanent Secretary can absolutely confirm that I 22 insisted that that should happen. Maybe not, maybe 23 'insisted' is a strong word, Mr. Minister [sic], 24 because I know the Honourable Member for Valley North

would do the right thing and keep his word. But he did

```
in the case and we're grateful. But it was at that.
 1
 2
    And the OECS paid for it, you know, Mr. Speaker.
 3
                  THE SPEAKER:
                                             Member for
 4
    Island Harbour, the Court Reporter needs a break so
    we'll break.
 5
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: We'll give her
 6
 7
    a break now, Mr. Speaker.
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            Yes, she needs
 8
 9
    a break so we'll give her.
10
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay. Thank
11
    you. I'll pick up from there.
12
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             For another 15.
    How much?
13
14
                 THE CLERK:
                                              Five minutes.
15
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: We can go for
    another 5 minutes?
16
17
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              No, but she
18
    needs 5 minutes.
19
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay.
20
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
21
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, it's the
22
    right thing. I was trying to allude to that earlier,
23
    Mr. Speaker.
24
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
25
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: That we've got
```

```
to be respectful of that always. Thank you.
 1
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                             Order.
                                                    House
 2
 3
    in recess for 5 minutes. Let's say 10 minutes to be,
    so you don't have to rush too much; 10 minutes.
 4
 5
                  (House rise at 4:05 p.m.)
                  (House resumed at 4:10 p.m.)
 6
                                             Order.
                                                     This
 7
                 THE SPEAKER:
 8
    house will now resume its sitting. I think the lady is
 9
    ready to go again.
10
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you very
11
    much.
                                             So Member for
12
                 THE SPEAKER:
13
    Island Harbour, you may continue.
14
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,
15
    Mr. Speaker. So Mr. Speaker, you know, all of this,
16
    all of these commentaries and, you know, the outcome;
17
    you know, the impact; the amount of comments that we've
    had during the consultative process, a lot of it,
18
19
    except through this medium wouldn't get probably to the
2.0
    Chief Minister's ears. I know that he's been in so
21
    many meetings. But for instance, the open letter from
22
    the OECS citizens to the Heads of State of the OECS
2.3
    attending the 62nd Summit meeting in Roseau Dominica
24
    just now. Listen to this; just this. If we'd been
25
    listening to the council yesterday, I wasn't able to
```

go. I would have loved to have been there and I know the Chief Minister would have loved to have been there as well, you know, because as Chairman of the Monetary Union he is very much in the forefront of all these regional initiatives at the moment involving money. But this is what the letter to the -- from the OECS citizens, you know, a global group now, says:

"Dear Servants of the People:" This is to the Heads of State. "As people of these Island States of the OECS we convey sincere greetings. We are concerned landowners, business people and those who have credit arrangements with financial institutions in the OECS. We are the people who elected you to protect and advance our economic, property and democratic rights "without fear or favour." We are the ones who live the reality about which you speak of at this and other Summits.

We are appalled at the secrecy that the ECCB Monetary Council, comprising yourselves, has employed in the introduction of the Banking Act of 2015 and in the signing of the Eastern Caribbean Asset Management Corporation Agreement which is in fact a Treaty. Further, the recent passage of the Asset Management Corporation Act in the Parliament of Dominica with 2 days notice to the Opposition and

passed in 4 hours at one sitting of the House exemplifies the haste about which we speak. The presence of armed Police inside of the Parliament in Anguilla during the first reading of the Banking Act", and today I might add, "exemplifies the aggressive response to the attempts by people to slow the process." Not to kill it but to slow the process.

"Both belligerent postures having occurred between November 11 and 16th against normal citizens addressing their civil rights.

We are appalled at these blatant attacks on democratic rights. The speed of which has left no time for dissemination, discussion and review of these Acts by the citizens of the OECS, given the far-reaching implications of both pieces of legislation as regards the undermining of property and economic rights and democratic principles to wit, Section 5(2) of the Asset Management Act

"the enforcement of any security", I quote,

"the enforcement of any security including a charge or

mortgage whether legal or equitable acquired by the

Corporation is not subject to the Title By Registration

Act" (in our case with the Registered Land Act) "or any

other Law or enactment."

Not to mention the gross immunities of

2.4

Article 99 and the vesting Powers of Article 11 and 12. These decisions", Mr. Speaker, "address the very basis of our lives", they say, "and our relationship to our families, our ancestors and the society we elected you to protect. We insist that we have the opportunity to be informed and to discuss all relevant documents before any further legislative action is taken in any other territory in the region [sic].

2.0

We **demand** that you the Heads of Government of the OECS pull back from this indecent and aggressive rush with which these two Acts are being rammed through the Parliaments and that YOU the representatives of the Governments together with the people of the OECS discuss these at the State and OECS levels.

We alert you NOW because we fear that failure to heed this call and to ensure that this process is curtailed could result in widespread civil protest and violent unrest throughout the OECS. We do not wish to see this happen and so urge you to immediately and forthwith using all deliberate speed to lead an open and transparent dialogue among the people of the OECS on the issues targeted and raised by these two Acts.

We have penned this letter on behalf of all thinking OECS citizens not as a Threat but as a fervent

```
call to deepen and protect our fledgling democratic
 1
    process and so strengthen the validity and
 2
    effectiveness of our institutions and Laws. We believe
 3
    these two Acts which are the object these concerns and
 4
    the processes being used to entrench them are
 5
    diametrically opposed to those principles."
 6
    An open letter, as I said, from OECS citizens throughout
 7
    the OECS Region to the Heads of State of the OECS
 8
    attending the second meeting in Roseau, Dominica
 9
10
    yesterday and today.
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                             Signed by whom?
11
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes. By the
12
    citizens.
13
14
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Oh, signed by
15
    citizens.
               Okay.
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes.
16
                 Mr. Speaker, you know, we are in a good
17
    position in Anguilla if we consult with the people now
18
    and quickly to avert a course that is headed, you know,
19
    to an uncomfortable end. And the way that we can do
20
    that is just by giving some more time. Just slowing
21
    the process down just a little bit. We've done good so
22
          We've adjourned it from one day and I believe
23
    far.
    we've made progress but it's not finished. If we could
24
25
    do that we would be able to show excellent leadership
```

to the rest of the territories. No time better than now with our Honourable Chief Minister, Chairman of this region to model those kinds of behaviours. what an out it would be for our Chief Minister to say on this eve, 'you know what, I'm listening to people; I'm listening to my people; I'm listening to the people, our people of the region. And yes, it's been passed before; and yes, I'm as committed as I've always been to this Bill; I'm setting out -- separating out Anguilla's peculiar circumstances in the, you know, which we have to resolve quickly, but I am standing as a leader in the OECS region and I'm hearing you, my people, and I am going to commit to be that shining star in terms of leadership where I will have decisive action but it will always include the people. And what a wonderful day, Mr. Speaker, it will be. You know, just before the Governor has indicated -- just after the Governor has indicated her willingness to consent -- to assent to the law; for us, even if we have to, what do we say, compromise the, you know, do the readings quickly like it's intended today. Just think what that would signal to the rest of the world. it would signal to our people. And our doors can be open. Are we always, are we going to always have armoured police officers inside the courts?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

want that. We could do it now and that would be such an excellent opportunity, Mr. Speaker, to demonstrate excellent leadership. That is how it's done in countries whose economies end up successful. And in those where it's not done, and this is recorded, I have often spoken to our Honourable Chief Minister made reference to Robinson's studies on successful economies where this is absolutely a first indicator that we're not going to turnaround our economy. So I would ask the Honourable Chief Minister reflect on it. I will share it with him, I will do whatever work that needs to be done to bring the people on board. We're nearly there. We're nearly there. And the resolution will then work well because we would have brought our people along with us.

And just so, Mr. Speaker, there can be no continued blurring in our Honourable Chief Minister's mind, because we spoke earlier about the conflicts of interest, you know, the perceived conflicts of interest because I know that, you know, many of our people consider that this isn't the right time for our Anguilla leader to be in the Union. They feel that the Currency Union, they feel that any time other than now, but that our choices have been fettered by that development. I'm not saying that is true, Mr. Speaker,

to the Chief Minister. I'm just letting you know that this is a concern of the people, letting the Chief Minister know that that's a concern of the people. But I think it would signal well in the region if in advancing the Banking Bill through our situation and coming up with a unique solution for Anguilla, if that's on the ball, that he could, that our Chief Minister could really signal that, you know, his leadership is going to be impactful and make a difference. I think it's a wonderful opportunity. But as I said, there's been this blurring and continued blurring today between the Banking Act itself and the resolution.

And I just want to share, I know the Honourable Chief Minister shared an excerpt as well from the Honourable -- I'm sorry, the retired Justice of Appeal Mitchell's dissertation on the banking and I believe that this is on, you know, it's going to be published if it's not on his blog spot already. I think, yes, the 85 page document. He's got a huge stuff on his blog spot so it's already available. But I just want to share because often I've heard the Chief Minister say that our people don't read a lot. And, you know, there are challenges to finding time to read. Even me with my busy life, I don't get to read as much

as I would like to. But the -- regarding the banking crisis and the new ECCB Banking Bill, I think this dissertation is relevant. He said:

2.4

"Listening to the talk show [sic] on the radio these days, one gets the impression that some Anguillians are confusing Anguilla's banking crisis with the new <u>Banking Act</u>. In fact, the one has practically nothing to do with the other. Let us keep the two issues completely separated in our discourse."

Now, of course, we haven't achieved that today and I have compound it as well from what happened. But he said:

how the new Banking Act came into existence. It is no way derived from the Anguilla banking crisis. It pre-dates the Central Bank take-over of NBA and CCB."

And "to repeat what I have written elsewhere, the new Act is the result of the region's international obligations reflected in the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's Core Principles for Effective Banking, issued in September 2012. The Core Principles are the minimum standards applied to judge how sound are the prudential regulation and supervision of banks and banking systems in all regions of the world. And [sic] they are the benchmark used by the IMF and the World

Bank for testing the quality of supervisory banking systems.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

The new Banking Act", which we are considering now, Mr. Speaker, "and the ECCB Agreement Amendment from which it comes, have a regional reach." So you see why the agreement is important? You have to look at that holistically, Mr. Speaker. I hope that is now clear to you. And that "They establish a single banking space within the Eastern Caribbean Currency Union." And "Unlike as under the old Banking Act..." Our still current law until this one becomes law. "...a bank licensed in one State..." meaning one of our islands, "...will now be able without restriction to open a branch in another State." And "the Central Bank is under an international obligation to implement the Core Principles in our region." It's an international obligation so no doubt the, no wonder that our administering authority is very interested in how quickly we are doing it. "It is required to ensure that the regulatory framework, ie, the standards it demands of itself, the banks it regulates, and the financial arrangements of the governments who make up its board of directors, the Monetary Council, meet the minimum standards established by the Core Principles.

I have explained elsewhere that the draft

Banking Act is a product of these international and regional obligations." Which we've already espoused.

"The new Act provides a regime which international regulations [sic] will recognise as belonging to a well-regulated banking system. It was drafted long before the Central Bank moved in on NBA and CCB.

We have been warned that the deadline for passing the new <u>Banking Act</u> in every State in the Eastern Caribbean runs out at the end of December 2015. Failure to pass the new Act in Anguilla on schedule will not only affect indigenous banks, but will poison the international banking environment for the international banks that do business in Anguilla."

Now, "in August 2013", Mr. Speaker, "the Central Bank sent in a conservator for the two indigenous banks." We know that. "They are, the NBA with assets at about EC\$1 billion, and CCB with assets of about EC\$700 million. The explanation given by the Central Bank at the time was that the two banks were illiquid and there was a concern they might fail and that the depositors' funds would all be lost.

At some point, it was suggested that there was a hole of some EC\$600 million in NBA's assets. It has never been clear to me what shortfall there was in CCB's assets. Additionally, of the EC\$1 billion in

```
loans, some 50% were non-performing and of doubtful
 1
 2
    value, given the depressed market that exists for their
    securities. Because of the Aliens Landholding
 3
    Regulation Act, there is in practice no market in the
 4
    region or internationally. Under the new regime, the
 5
    Alien Landholding Regulation Act is about to be amended
 6
 7
    or repealed." I'm going to stop there because now you
    will see, Mr. Speaker, that all the concerns --
 8
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
 9
                                             Mr. Speaker,
10
    can I prevail on the elected Member for Island Harbour
    to continue to read the Act [sic] for the benefit of
11
12
    all of us because I can't figure out why she's
               I mean, she has been reading very well all
13
14
    day long.
15
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            Okay.
16
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                            Continue to read
17
    it.
18
                 THE SPEAKER:
                                            There's a
    request that you continue to read the article.
19
20
                 MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                            From the Don
21
    Mitchell thing. I have it here. I'm following her.
22
                 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Very well,
23
    Mr. Speaker. And Mr. Speaker, you're okay. What I
24
    intended to do, and I'm quite happy to read the whole
25
    thing because I think that, you know, staying with that
```

and then going to the revisions that he made from the earlier process will well take us into midday tomorrow. So if the minister, you know, I'm quite happy with it, but. And before I read, continue reading, Mr. Speaker, which I'm happy to do, which I'm happy to do, I'm at the Section 8. I'm at paragraph 8. So I'm going to come back to pick up from paragraph 8. But I just want to say why we need -- why we have an opportunity to do them both together but avail of the opportunity to -- of the difference in time. We have till December 2015 instead of mid Autumn which was the impression that certainly I had, you know, up till when I saw evidence that in fact December 2015 is the outline.

2.4

What we have, again, and I'm urging the Chief Minister in line with the request of all the OECS citizens is, you know, to take advantage of that little window. I'm not saying pushing it all the way there. I think we are well on our way with the consultation process, and now if we include the banking crisis resolution strategy in our discussions to the extent that we can, you know, funnel through the select committee, we'll make up the time. So we're not going to lose time here, we will only include our people. It's not intended to lose time in terms of the outside deadlines, it's intended to make the use of that time

much more effective but also to use our strategy in relation to that to demonstrate to the broader OECS community that Anguilla is setting an example when it comes to participation by the people. I think we have a wonderful opportunity to do that and without pushing your lines out more. That's all I'm suggesting. I'm saying that instead of people feeling as they will that we are ramming it down their throats, if we use the opportunity like in normal readings where you have a select committee and you lay out your, and in quick order too, but we get that, we will be demonstrating the kind of decisive leadership that the region wants; and everybody wins. And the ministers get to go back to their desks right away and be really productive; finishing roads, delivering water. These are the kinds of things. You know, making sure our children are These are the things that really matter. Mr. Chief Minister -- Mr. Speaker, if I could persuade the minister, and I am quite happy, you know, I collaborate. There's no great, unlike the many divided groups out there searching for power, that's not my wish. All I want is a simple thing which I'm hoping the Chief Minister and this Government would want as That's what collaboration looks like. well. right by the people. So there's no, you know.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

just cooperating to make sure our people are good. And actually, it's going to make our, make Anguilla look good by all international standards. And if it is that it is true that the British are looking to stand by, if we are opening that participation and we are celebrating it and we are including our people, that will be the best deterrent to the British Government seeking to come in because it will be representative of the kind of democracy that they are currently advocating in their public hearings. So we would be really setting an excellent example.

I, Mr. Speaker, I'm encouraging our minister. I know that he's often stumbled, you know, to the right decision after some time but that's okay. None of us are perfect. And this is the right -- Mr. Speaker, this is -- Thank you, Mr. Speaker. I've been going. If I may have just a minute to drink some water. So Mr. Speaker, I will oblige our Chief Minister and I hope the Government will consider that in the interest of the people we are making progress.

So Don Mitchell, Justice Mitchell's dissertation continues at 8. And really, the value of this, and I'm glad that the minister has, the Honourable Chief Minister wants it to -- wants the reading because this is a way that people listening,

they will request copies as well and this will make it clearer to them and absolutely help to make the participation process much easier because they will take responsibility to educate themselves as well as, you know, our responsibility to share whatever information that we have. So it's all a good thing. So back to paragraph 8.

2.4

"At some point, it was suggested that there was a hole of some EC\$600 million in NBA's assets. It has never been clear to me what shortfall there was in CCB's assets. Additionally, of the EC\$1 billion in loans, some 50% were non-performing and of doubtful value, given the depressed market that exists for their securities. Because of the Alien Landholding Act, there is in practice no market in the region or internationally." For these land I would add. "Under the new regime, Aliens Landholding Regulation Act is about to be amended or repealed." That is one of the provisions of this Banking Bill, so. And I continue.

"So that, if all NBA's depositors came to the bank and demanded their funds back, and if the conservator could sell all the loans and other investments, then, from what we are been told, there would be a shortfall of some EC\$500 million of depositors' money. Some EC\$500 million might be

raised, but there would be EC\$500 million short to be repaid. Indeed, if there was a run on the bank, given that most of the depositors' funds are tied up in loans, we were told that the bank would soon run out of cash and would have to close.

This was the banking crisis that the conservator was allegedly sent in to solve in August 2013. We were told at the time that the idea was to find a solution to the liquidity problem, and that as soon as the banks were returned to good health things would be returned to normal. No one seems to have realised that in recent weeks this promise has been brushed under the carpet.

Anguilla has, in repeated broadcasts on radio over the past two weeks, explained that he has decided on the resolution of the banking crisis." He told us that today too. "He is going to transfer all the bad loans (allegedly some 50% in both banks) to a new regional corporation to be established by Act of Parliament in each of the States and Territories giving effect to a regional Treaty. This regional company will be known as the Asset Management Company (AMC). This company will renegotiate with defaulting borrowers and, as a last recourse, sell their securities, locally,

regionally and internationally, with the ALRA amended or repealed. The participating governments will share in the profits of AMC pro rata. We in Anguilla have no further interest in the bad loans sold, transferred or given (it is not clear which) to AMC.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Then, the Chief Minister is going to merge the two banks, NBA and CCB. Lawyers know what the merger of two companies involves. Typically, and in this case essentially, it involves the formation of a new banking company, let us call it ... " He is doing a hypothetical, Mr. Speaker. "...let us call it the National Caribbean Bank of Anguilla. The conservator will transfer all the remaining assets of NBA..." He's following the law as well. "The conservator will transfer all the remaining assets of NBA and CCB, including the existing customers and deposits [sic], to the new bank, NCBA. These assets will presumably include the profitable subsidiary companies of NBA and The new bank will have one shareholder, the CCB. government of Anguilla. The government will be either borrowing a large sum of money..." This is speculation. Large sum of money. Because unless our Chief Minister tells us this is what he intends. "The government will be either borrowing a large sum of money to invest in the new bank or putting up a large

guarantee to stand behind the depositors, it has not been made clear which. There will be no other local shareholders in NCBA. The new board of directors will be appointed in the usual way by the sole shareholder. Let us call them the government directors of NCBA.

No one is giving any thought to what is to happen to NBA and CCB after the merger. NBA has some 3,500 shareholders and CCB some 75 shareholders." I'm not sure if that's correct. "Their boards of directors have already been dissolved by the Central Bank, and do not exist anymore." We know that. "Their shareholders remain on the books, hoping that one day someone will tell them what is to happen to them now, based not on anything anyone has informed me, but on what little I know of mergers and acquisitions." So he is speculating based on his knowledge of the law. And looking at the regime that's been set up by the law, this, the proposed Acts. He is on 14, paragraph 14.

"Until approximately one week ago, I harboured a faint hope that the second recognised way to carry out a merger of the two banking companies was being contemplated. That occurs when one of the two banks buys up the assets and takes on the liabilities of the other. There is then said to be an acquisition, and the two banks are merged by one having purchased

the other. It happens every day. But, over the past week I became disillusioned about that solution. It was clear that, with the AMC purchasing all the bad debts of the two banks, there was no plan to accomplish the merger by purchase. That only left [sic] merger by selling the assets of both banks to a new bank."

1.3

2.0

In my view, "The only sensible way this resolution can work is, after the bad loans have been transferred to the AMC, and the good loans have been transferred to the NCBA, is [sic] to simply abandon the old banks. They serve no further purpose. After a year or two, the Registrar of Companies will strike them off the Register for non-compliance with the Companies Act requirement for filing of annual returns. That will be the end of them. The old banks will fade away into the sunset."

I could imagine if this -- Of course, he is speculating and he is sharing his knowledge of mergers and acquisitions. But you could imagine if that was going to be the outcome, how our -- how shareholders would be concerned and how that could adversely impact what we are trying to achieve in terms of a stable financial system. Okay, Mister. So Mr. Speaker, I have obliged. Now --

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: You have not

completed reading.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: He wishes me to continue. Maybe he has another part of that that I -
MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: (Inaudible)

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay. "Red herring" the next section is called. "The controversy in the media over the new Banking Act is a red herring obscuring the sad fate of the two local banks and their many thousands of shareholders. The only bank the new bank will regulate is the new Government-owned bank and the old shareholders in NBA and CCB will have no interest in the new bank. The two old banks will never be regulated by the new Banking Act. The new Act will never in any way affect NBA or CCB.

The shareholders and directors who are presently campaigning against the Act, on the basis that its provisions are draconian, are misled. The fact that the new Act bars injunctive relief against the Central Bank is irrelevant. The fact that the new Acts bars the right of anyone to sue the Central Bank is relevant (sic). Only the new shareholder --

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: (Inaudible).

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: -- the Government, and the new Government, but that doesn't have -- that doesn't do -- have anything to do -- Mr. Speaker, I must, you see the Chief Minister speaks across and then I fall into it so you must forgive me. But, Mr. Speaker, that is exactly why we

need consultation and why if we sit down and we talk to people who really understand, you know, what a resolution regime will accomplish we will achieve so much and that is why I'm advocating one short period, the select committee works and the Honourable Chief Minister, we share it. And I think that if it's the right decision that the minister has, and I'm not exposing -- I know that for myself, I do not have any information that would allow me to say that is the right decision or not the right decision because as a lawyer, and an old lawyer no less, I've had many, many years.

THE SPEAKER: You're not old.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, you're very kind. I appreciate I may not look as old as I am but I've been practicing law for a long time and this is an area that is, you know, really critical to how we're advancing the financial services industry so we've got to get it right.

We've got to get it right. And I'm saying that there are many experts out there including the Honourable, including retired Justice of Appeal Mitchell, with views on it that I think if we listen, could really help in unifying our people and bringing them together. All we need is a little bit of time. So I'm asking, we're having to go to great lengths to secure that time and to share all this information publicly but it would be much better if the Honourable Chief Minister would say that the right way to do this is for us to sit down one

time more. We've aborted already on two occasions and we might as well get it right now, especially as we know that the British Government will not impose laws on us and they're not intending to give us any money; that's what I understood, Mr. Speaker, from the Honourable Chief Minister. So there's no risk of any laws being imposed on us in the next week or so when we could have a very active select committee hearing and, you know, actually accomplish much. Not just to do with this but to do with the AMC one time and then you can have, you know, what will be unusual in the region, you would have had full participation by the people. Full and welcome participation by the people and without compromising your outside time limits and without compromising the financial system or the opportunities that are available. In fact, it will work rather smoothly if we can secure that. So that is what I would urge, Mr. Chief Minister. Mr. Speaker, I'm continuing but that's what I would urge.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chief Minister -- Mr. Speaker, I've got in front of me as well an example of what has happened in Antigua post the passage of the Bill, you know, in the other case in the region where there was, you know, where the banks were severely challenged in similar ways. And in that case, and the article I have here it shows, you know, another side or some of the risks that we're exposed to. And again,

Mr. Speaker, it would be preferable if we did this in a select

committee hearing where we could go through the negatives because then there wouldn't be any need for alarm. ironic that it was the Chief Minister who, the very first time in this house I called for information, all relevant information, months and months ago it seems to me now with none forthcoming up to this time. And through that the Chief Minister, Honourable Chief Minister said then in the house, you know, he wouldn't want me to be viewed as an alarmist. How sad it is that it's the Chief Minister, our Honourable Chief Minister, who is the one fitting that profile. Because it has, his actions have caused such alarm in Anguilla. And you know, Mr. Speaker, I notice when he said that, Mr. Speaker, imputing that, you know, I wasn't the calm, collected person that I am, the calm, collected leader that I am. In fact that, you know, the roles, the profiles would, you know, so fit his own conduct. That is sad.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

But we are here today and Mr. Speaker, I'm very focused. Before I go to that article that I spoke about, we are here today because our people deserve to participate in this process. And I know there's a Chinese philosophy which considering, you know, how prolific the Chinese culture is expanding in Anguilla everyday, we have to, you know, in diversifying and dealing with diversification, you know, pay attention to a lot of their philosophies. We need that. And Brussels today is in dire problems because they weren't, you

know, proactive enough in, perhaps in addressing the threats posed by, you know, groups popping up that, you know, there wasn't sufficient engagement in the process. That's why, you know, we're having the challenges we're experiencing in the world today. It's top of every report, news report today what happened in France and what is happening in France and the link with Brussels, the link with Belgium as a whole and those popping up. But the Chinese philosophy that I refer to has to do with repetition. And I love this myself, this philosophy that, you know, repetition is really important. And I, if needs be, Mr. Speaker, I can start at the beginning again because repetition is critical perhaps to remind the Chief Minister that it will be a much more productive use of our energies and the energies of Anguilla, Anguillians as a whole if we could, you know, through the select committee filter a lot of the information that will otherwise take days to go through here, Mr. Speaker. It's why, Mr. Speaker, we have rules for select committees even as so far back as our old rules. Our old rules are archaic, we agree that, but in fact it provides for improved effectiveness through select committees if we use them. And I was so -- because this was the Chief Minister's idea about the select committee, you I was just so impressed that the Honourable Chief Minister was prepared, through that medium, to share the information. And I believe that that information is still

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

necessary. So that Chinese philosophy of repetition where you start at the beginning all over again, I'm going to go back to part of my address which says that even though the Chief Minister is responsible for the regulatory framework we have now and that is definitely not appropriate for where we've reached and must necessarily be supplemented if we're going to meet the international regulations and the core principles of the Bâle Convention, if we're going to be able to achieve them then we've got to pass this law by 2015. I'm advocating and repeating that if the -- Mr. Speaker, that I believe that through the select committee we should aim to achieve unity across the length and breadth of Anguilla in relation to the outcome we want. What we want is a financial system that we can be proud of, that will foster and attract international investment into Anguilla which we need urgently to create jobs for our people. What we need is to demonstrate to the regional community but the international community and to the United Kingdom Government, that we in Anguilla, that we are committed to participation by the people, a representative democracy that is in line with best practice anywhere in Europe, anywhere in the developed world and that our people are engaged every step of the way by our leaders in the decision making processes that we pursue. I believe that, Mr. Speaker, that we have a unique opportunity today by withdrawing this reading or otherwise adjourning the third

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

reading to accord with whatever the Governor says is a time where she's comfortable with the resolution process, delaying that to happen at a time when we're totally comfortable ourselves, the people of Anguilla are comfortable with what the Bills provide. And that we shouldn't be ramrodding neither the Banking Bill, the final reading, nor the Asset Management Corporation Act/Bill, with the Bill, we shouldn't be pushing that through in such a way that we're showing the world that we're political dinosaurs here. Political dinosaurs who function like dictators, they do not engage the people in finding solutions. They identify a solution and roll it out and they hardly ever open to accountability for the result either because dictators don't listen to anything, including when it's going wrong. I want us to be able to show the world, Mr. Speaker, that this is not the kind of democracy that we have in Anguilla. We ascribe to best practice.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SPEAKER: I would like to remind the member there's a lot of repetition in what you're saying and the rules speak against so much repetition, so try not to repeat the same thing over and over. Thank you.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. What I can do, Mr. Speaker, is continue, as the Chief Minister determined, with the letter, the article that -- where the retired Justice Don Mitchell said the controversy over the new Banking Act is a red herring

obscuring the sad fate of the two local banks and their many thousands of shareholders. That the only bank the new Banking Act will regulate is a new Government-owned bank, which is being proposed. That the new Act will never in any way affect NBA or CCB.

I believe, Mr. Speaker, if this is the case and this is the intention of the Chief Minister and his colleagues that they need to say that and let us consider that before passing any of this legislation, finalising this legislation in this house. We need, during the select committee stage to, considering that this is a unique situation for Anguilla based on the treaty and that small amendments will not be permitted, all the amendments, all the concerns of the people, I believe that we need to adjourn that and put into focus exactly what is intended for the people of Anguilla; what resolution strategy the Chief Minister is intending to provide. I believe that if this is dealt with we will avoid a lot of protest and we will be able to be --

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. The Honourable Member for Island Harbour has been going over this point on and on. And we on this honourable side of the house have been very tolerant, but I want the member to understand that after a while our tolerance can run out. And on section 41 of the Rules of Procedure, it is possible for me to rise, based on the recurring repetition

of the speaker, that the question be now put. I don't have any intention of doing that, Mr. Speaker, but I would ask the Member for Island Harbour that she brings relevance to the discussion by not repeating herself. Most of the stuff that she has been saying I can read it off my lap -- my iPad. I have the papers here, I'm sure other people can do it as well. But she has gone through an education process which is very, very good, but we'll be grateful if she'll come to the point and let us know what she wants. She continues to insist that perhaps we should adjourn and have a meeting of the whole house to discuss this Bill. She has not given anybody the opportunity to make a decision on that, so I just want to know where she's going with this. Thank you very much,

THE SPEAKER: Thank you.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you,
Mr. Speaker. And I understand that the Honourable Chief

Minister is, even though I've repeated myself apparently so much that he doesn't understand that what I'm hoping to do is through you, Mr. Speaker, persuade him that the right thing to do by the people of Anguilla is to go -- is to -- that is what I'm -- so I don't know what he's referring to when he says that he doesn't understand where I'm going because that is the one thing that I have been repeating, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there've been so many questions put

forward by Anguillians about the Banking Bill and the kind of resolution plan that is being considered; so many questions. The most recurring question and the education process, Mr. Speaker, that the Honourable Chief Minister might have referred to because actually, retired Justice of Appeal Mitchell's dissertation, even though it's posited as a hypothetical consideration aligning all the things that, you know, that's possible under the law, that is not the same thing as a specific resolution plan that the people need to engage with. And there is an Anguillian saying that you can bring a cow or a calf to the well but you can't force the cow or the calf to drink. And, you know, I marvel, Mr. Speaker, at the wisdom and understanding offered in these little sayings by our ancestors. And it points to the fact that with the right kind of approach we can achieve so much together, so much together. That's why, Mr. Speaker, I've said to the Government, every opportunity I've had in this house, share the information. Today we've not heard, I've specifically not asked before now, Mr. Speaker, for the financials of the banks but even in that learned treaties by the retired Justice of Appeal which the Honourable Chief Minister urged me to read, there is need for the financials. There are so many assumptions that we're making and allow for so much, you know, nonsensical speculating on the part of the public as some dignatory said recently, you know, listen and Anguillians know

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

everything about everything, but in the absence of specific information that is what is going to happen. Responsible leadership is responsive to that recognition. And so when --Where are the financials of these institutions? How can the Honourable Chief Minister and his Government make any decisions that are open to testing if those financials are not produced, if they are not shown? And there've been claims on the part -- there've been demands on the part of many of the shareholders but the public generally, you know. And even if we got it in a filtered way, as we did in the PwC report, you know, provided in the paper, even if we got it in a filtered way I think it would go a lot to letting our people know what the reality and what the options are and what the choices are facing our people. I think that would be responsive leadership. Responsive leadership. I think, Mr. Speaker, that even at this stage, and I would ask the Honourable Chief Minister to provide to the committee, right away, the PwC report unedited, the financials, the latest financials unedited, the resolution plan unedited, the fiscal measures that will be implemented to make sure that it's affordable and sustainable unedited, his thoughts, and then just let's have a discussion.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: You want to see from the Monetary Council unedited as well?

THE SPEAKER: Order, please.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, the -yes, any information that the Honourable Chief Minister is willing to provide from the Monetary Council unedited would also be welcome. It would go to satisfying our people that, you know, satisfying them that it's an open and transparent I don't know if you've noticed, Mr. Speaker, but in the United Kingdom now it doesn't matter what the financial ramifications are, how huge they are, in the select committees they bring in front of them experts, company executives, shareholders, lead -- you know, all the financial managers with a view to ascertaining what is in the best and collective interest of the people. I mean, and it may be that if our Government, our Honourable Chief Minister, now that he has the role as Chairman of the Monetary Council, if he would be willing to model that we would certainly be able to hold out that we are, you know, worth looking at seriously as a country and a region, as an island and a region because that would be in line with international practices and international standards. And that is what the Banking Bill is aimed at, to bring us into line with international standards and the core principles of the Bâle Convention. That is the whole purpose of it.

So, Mr. Speaker, yes, the Chief Minister has indicated that he might be willing to share information relating to the suggested resolution by the Monetary Council.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

```
You know, I'm sure that our people would be grateful to
 1
     receive information to that, you know, together with all the
 2
     other relevant information we need.
 3
                   Mr. Speaker, I know it's a long day. I know
 4
 5
     that it's long.
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Are you suggesting
 6
     that you're wrapping up now?
 7
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: I'm sorry?
 8
                                               Are you suggesting
 9
                   THE SPEAKER:
10
     that you're wrapping up now?
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I'm
11
12
     sorry that I can't wrap it up so quickly when I'm still
13
     waiting, Mr. Speaker, for an assurance, and on a point of
14
     order, I'm quite willing to hear from the Honourable Chief
15
     Minister through you, he's been speaking through you often
16
     during my presentation, that he is willing to withdraw under
17
     Section 64.
18
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Well he has a right to
19
     respond to you after you're finished, so maybe you'll hear it
20
     then.
21
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay. But you know,
22
     Mr. Speaker, it would be a considerate thing if it were to
23
     come via you. It would be a real -- It will be real evidence
24
     of effective decision making, you know, aimed at ensuring, you
25
     know, the best use of time.
```

THE SPEAKER: It's not necessary to come through me, he'll respond to you. He has a right to respond.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Obviously not.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, you remind me so often of the rule that it's all through you,

Mr. Speaker.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Obviously not.

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: All through you, Mr. Speaker. Still, I go back to the -- another -- because the Chief Minister has indicated that, you know, sharing the educational resources available to us through this medium is so valued, I'm going to look at another paper that, where the same author, Mr. -- The Honourable Mr. Justice of Appeal retired Justice of Appeal Don Mitchell, Queen's Counsel, examined the question: "Why does the new Eastern Caribbean Currency Union Banking Act give our Central Bank such dictatorial powers?" I'm sure that was intended to be -- And he's looked at the bank in -- the "present Banking Act is Chapter B010 of the 2010 Revised Edition Laws of Anguilla. Ιt is a uniform Act, almost identical with the other Banking Acts across the Eastern Caribbean dollar (EC\$) region. Or, it used to be. All of the six independent States have replaced it with the new Banking Act. Only Anguilla and Montserrat have failed to enact it. The governments of these two islands face

stiff opposition from members of the public, based mainly on what they describe as objections to the dictatorial powers proposed to be given to the Central Bank. This opposition has been holding up the passage of this essential piece of legislation.

The details of the powers, and the various reasons given for objection, (and you've heard some of them, Mr. Speaker, already) are not, you know, the cause (sic) for this analysis. What is important is an understanding why any new powers are being introduced at all. Why is there any need for a new Banking Act?" And it's to answer the question: "Is the Central Bank power-hungry, and determined to get its way at the cost of our banking system, as the opponents of the new Act allege? Or, is the Central Bank merely complying with standards that are required internationally?"

So, Mr. Speaker, there are numerous writings, numerous dissertations out there that could help the people of Anguilla to buy into this regime. It is incumbent on our Chief Minister to include them. And I say that while there are many, many risks as the article on the Antigua Bank discloses, the real concern is that the longer we keep information away from our people, the more challenging it is to get the consensus that will allow us to start creating jobs. There is going to be a long period of uncertainty punctuated with protest, many Court actions and all of this

leading to an uncertain outcome. We can avoid that by compromising the period that the people have, that our people have to deal with the resolution to accept that it's the best thing, if it is, and to be in a position to implement the new regime without protest and uncertainty. I believe that would be the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Speaker, in relation to the groups that have been, that are going to be impacted, the measures that are going to be put in place. It is true that the Honourable Chief Minister has already acknowledged that he intends to continue with the ISL and to implement further taxation measures in the short term together with the property tax revision strategy that will allow for more efficient collection of revenues. A lot is intended in the short term, a lot that Anguillians are anxious about. I see the opportunity, Mr. Speaker, today for the Chief Minister, by dealing with this Act in a responsible way, this proposed Bill in a responsible way, to show that in relation to the future legislation he will allow for proper consultation with the people as well. There are grave anxieties in our people and I feel sorry. I told our Honourable Chief Minister just recently that I felt sorry for him that he's so, he has so little touch with the people that he is not able to appreciate the concerns and the anxieties that our people have at this time and how unsettle they feel in relation to the way that

they are being consulted, not just on this Act but also on all the other taxation measures that are being proposed. a great anxiety. And I've said to the Chief Minister personally when I made that observation to him recently that in failing, that in his continued failing to -- his continued failure to engage with how the people are feeling, that what he is doing is actually giving them better reason and good reason to challenge his leadership. The Chief Minister himself, Mr. Speaker, recently told, you know, mentioned that there were many of the people involved in the protest, you know, intent on destroying his Government. He is the person, Mr. Speaker, who made that observation in my presence. And at that time I said to him, in the presence of other members of the Government and other opposition supporters, I said to him that this opportunity, this one opportunity we have to show that we're going to bring in everyone, irrespective of which party affiliation they have, is the best opportunity to build trust and confidence in this administration. And that in his continued reluctance to do the right thing by the people, insofar as giving them adequate time to participate in the decision making process, that he was only entrenching that concern and further dividing the country himself.

And so, Mr. Speaker, I can only tell you that after a very long day and many repetition, many repetitions of the message that I've been since the 1st of June of this year,

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1st of June, as far back as the 1st of June. We were elected 23rd April we, this Government came into being. Immediately, as early as the 1st of June in that address on the park I called out to the Chief Minister and asked that he include the people. Let us make that -- We would have been so far ahead. We would have been so far ahead because he would have done it the right way. And now he's got a thought but he's not doing it the right way and it's going to lead to protest and disorder and further delays. Sometimes the race is not for the swift but it's for those who persevere. And I've already indicated that this, the select committee would be the right mechanism to allow for us to make up for lost time, make up for missed opportunity. And we can still achieve, in a timely way, what is the overriding objective which is to keep, to get our international -- to get our national system in line with international regulation. There's an opportunity for that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, Mr. Speaker, the Honourable Chief Minister took great, don't know what the right word is, I would -- he objected strongly to the fact that I suggested in this house that by failing to do the right thing in terms of building a consensus that he was demonstrating that he was an inept leader. He took great objection to that and -- but I have to say that even more than before, Mr. Speaker, even more than before, I am concerned to hear from people on the street in Anguilla that the Honourable Chief Minister doesn't identify

been in a situation like they have been during the revolution and after. I have heard many people who support another regime say that the Chief Minister, his position on the Banking Bill is not surprising because he's never really even identified with the Father of the Nation in terms of modelling what is the right way to participate and include people, and in fact it's why he, the Chief Minister, has, you know, suffered several setbacks in his career including, including, you know, the fact that he's taken political decisions on himself in the past and that, you know, not respecting the wishes of the people. One example of that was when, you know, the Father of the Nation, the holiday, it has occurred many, many times. And this is the situation that our, these people fear is occurring all over again. It's occurring all over again that our Honourable Chief Minister, given the opportunity to really engage with our people, including long time supporters of the Chief Minister and his political career and persons who've helped him tremendously in the past that he is now, in their words, 'dissing them on the Banking Bill'. MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, on a point of order. Where is Miss -- Honourable Member for Island

Harbour going with this? She doesn't have a clue of the

with their feelings or their positioning because he has never

25 THE SPEAKER:

issues that she's talking about.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Let me listen to the

```
point of order first. What's the point of order?
 1
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: The point of order is
 2
     that she is imputing a certain kind of conduct and behavior to
 3
     me that I am doing things without the support of the people,
 4
     and I'm sick and tired of it.
 5
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, I'm
 6
 7
     sorry.
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: And it's irrelevant
 8
 9
     to the debate.
                   THE SPEAKER:
10
                                              Yeah, I agree it's
11
     irrelevant.
12
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker.
                                               Get back to the
13
                   THE SPEAKER:
14
     Banking Bill.
15
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, you
16
     know, and certainly I was only pointing to those facts. Those
17
     are facts, those are not -- that's not imputing anything.
                                              Let's deal with the
18
                   THE SPEAKER:
19
     Banking Bill.
20
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No. But what I'm
21
     saying, Mr. Speaker, is that the same lack of willingness,
22
     same unwillingness to take on the wishes of the people.
23
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Let's deal with the
2.4
     Banking Bill. Come back to Banking Bill.
25
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: The Banking Bill,
```

the consideration of the Banking Bill. What I'm urging, Mr. Speaker, on the Chief Minister through you, Mr. Speaker, is that the Chief Minister has made, I think you will be the first to say it, I've heard him say it myself, he even cried when he said it that he's made mistakes. And we all make mistakes. Nothing's wrong with admitting you make a mistake. Quite frankly, I admired him more for admitting that he is not perfect. What I'm saying is that in relation to the Banking Bill, the attitude that our Chief Minister is demonstrating to his people, to our people, he's demonstrating an unwillingness to listen and hear them. This is not what I'm saying, this is what our people are saying. They've said it to him; it's on the radio, it's in that OECS letter that they did. This is not a new thing. And what I'm reminding him of, because I followed his political career and I know that in relation to in a prior time when there was a decision to be made, he went ahead with his own thoughts and with one segmented part of his group to the cost of the people of Anguilla. And ultimately, I believe that hurt his political career. I believe he's learnt from that because he said it himself. So I was just actually following through on the thought of that young person this morning, that young person who said that, you know, perhaps the Chief Minister lacks insight into, you know, how his behaviour is being viewed by others. I just started by saying, Mr. Speaker, that I felt sad about this. I felt sad

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
that, you know, this is the thought. And, you know, my job,
 1
     the purpose of this debate is to get to an outcome that meets
 2
     with the wishes of the Anguilla people. That is why we're
 3
     here, why I'm standing on my feet. Not with one political
 4
     grouping but with each and every Anguillian; not with one
 5
     political grouping. And as I've indicated, Mr. Speaker, the
 6
     nature of how we operate in Anguilla, the Chief Minister was
 7
     out of office, you know, he lost his seat. After so many
 8
     years he lost his seat. Why did he lose his seat? I'm, you
 9
10
     know, I'm no expert on why he lost his seat, but what I can
11
     say --
12
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                              Why did you lose
13
     yours? Why did you not win yours the first time?
14
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: See again,
15
     Mr. Speaker, this cross the table. But what I was saying --
16
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                    Member for
17
     Valley South, please be quiet. But Member for Island Harbour,
18
     I wanted --
19
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH:
                                              Mr. Speaker, I
20
     apologise, but the irrelevances are killing me.
21
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                    I'm about to say
22
     I want you to speak either for or against the Bill; support
23
     the Bill or not support it. That's what you're here for.
2.4
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes. You know,
25
    Mr. Speaker, I know that you see it in very narrow terms.
                                                                 I
```

```
know that, Mr. Speaker, you're ahead of us in that regard
 1
     because even now the Chief Minister is not recognising that
 2
     that separation of the two issues is what the Honourable
 3
     Mr. Justice of Appeal retired Don Mitchell advocates, even
 4
     now. And I was trying to persuade, Mr. Speaker, the
 5
     Honourable Member for Valley South that, you know, it's okay
 6
 7
     to change course in the middle of things. Sometimes you don't
     know what the right decision is until you've lost your seat or
 8
 9
     something like that, that happens. But in this case the cost
10
     is not to a personal political career. Margaret Thatcher said
11
     that when the conversation turns to personal attacks, like why
12
     would I have lost my seat in 2010, why uhm, not lost my seat,
13
     why I didn't win in 2010. But the point is --
14
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              I think that
15
     particular point, you started that. He doesn't have a right
16
     to jump in when you're speaking, he doesn't have that right,
17
     but you refer to him losing his seat so he said why didn't you
18
     win yours; but I agree he should not be talking across the
     floor like that.
19
20
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Okay. Thank you,
21
     Mr. Speaker.
22
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                               He has a right to
23
     respond.
24
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, and I apologise
25
     for engaging on that personal, you know, for responding to him
```

even though he's at fault. But, Mr. Speaker, what I'm doing and I'm doing it in a very longwinded way I know, but be patient with me. What I want to outline is that the decision on the Banking Bill is a difficult decision. It might look like a easy decision like refusing a holiday might have looked like in the past, but there are great costs, not just personal cost, personal career cost but also other costs. And these are one of the, these are factors that are relevant to the passage of the Bill. So it might not look direct, but I am actually encouraging the Honourable Chief Minister to take some of those factors under consideration as well. It's really important. That's it, Mr. Speaker. I didn't intend to suggest why he lost. I was referring to what the Honourable Member has himself said publicly. And I listened and I understand that.

So what I'm encouraging, Mr. Speaker, is that the Honourable Minister not move forward with the passage of the Bill today. What he does instead is give our people an opportunity to consider for a very specific time, together with the pertinent details of the resolution plan that he has, filtered through the select committee in a way that assures that all persons represented have an opportunity to put forward concerns but in a way that does not challenge the financial system at all in a responsible way. And I'm advocating that he does this and he affords this opportunity

before the -- so that it doesn't cost time while the Governor is being made comfortable with what is happening, but that provided we can get that information to the public I think that it will be a smooth transfer through, Mr. Speaker. That is what I wanted to communicate by asking him to, by reminding him of, you know, all the factors relevant to the decision including that he's arrived at this place, you know, with many people putting good faith in him, you know, despite. And I want him to recognise that that is the most important thing, especially as we are not, it's not going to cost the jurisdiction or the financial system in any way, in my belief.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I can go back to what has been I mentioned this morning that Mr. -- several put forward. persons, several Anguillians have, in the absence of any specific information being provided to them, as far as I'm aware, but with, you know, with data, you know, looking at the laws how it's operated, looking at some of the cases that Antiqua has had to deal with in the Court system relative to the banking situation, their financial situation there, the strategies put in place there to make sure that the banks operate. We've also -- That some of these Anguillians have put forward their own thoughts and plans in relation to an alternative, you know, alternative ways of dealing with this crisis. Obviously, the hazard of that is one that if we don't provide relevant information, if there isn't full disclosure,

you know, there is the risk that, you know, all of this is going to lead to further chaos and disarray because nobody has the right facts. But in the absence of specific information we know and in developing democracies they know that this is the way that you stem adverse developments, you have to provide information. But there are people out there with alternatives. What we know from the Honourable Chief Minister himself is that the English have, the United Kingdom in relation to TCI and dealing with that regime there that, you know, certain regulations were put in place by the British and I believe I've heard from the Chief Minister that some of that legislation has been put in front of Executive Council here as well which would suggest that there is another alternative being offered in relation to the Banking Bill and, you know, the kind of regime that we might be able to have in Anguilla as an alternative to passing the Banking Bill now. And while, Mr. Speaker, you know, to my knowledge none of this draft legislation has been shared with the public. I know that, you know, it is one of the options, an alternative regime that many Anguillians would be willing to look at as an alternative to, you know, the ECCB banking regime. I'm not saying that that is my view at all, and my view is irrelevant, but I'm letting the Honourable Chief Minister know, through you, that true freedom exist when there are choices and all the choices are laid out and people, you know, laid out for consideration

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

by the people. And that, I believe that before a decision, a final decision is taken passing this law through, even though I know overwhelmingly a lot of the experts support the ECCB model as well, I still believe there is value in opening that alternative to the people, sharing with them what the alternative is. And I know that there's been a lot of discussion that even when the ECC (sic) Banking Bill becomes law that we're still going to have a regime where we have a financial, a Chief Financial Officer seconded here from the UK to make sure that, you know, the financial management meets international standards as well. I think these are all matters that the Anguillian people feel very strongly about and would like further information on and also dialogue about what the right decision is in relation to passing of the Bill for that purpose.

As I said, Mr. Speaker, earlier, I don't consider that it is useful to speculate about what those laws are, but through this select committee and bringing, you know, being able to access, you know, hard evidence; the reports, the financials, any correspondence with, you know, the British, with others, you know, sharing that information, I think we could move in a rapid way towards, you know, a speedy resolution of the entire issues and bring all our people with us and I think that would be quite an achievement.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I said earlier that I was

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

minded to, I was minded to read about, you know, the case in 1 Antigua and I know a lot of people, Anguillians listening will 2 be concerned to know more about those examples. But depending 3 on what the Chief Minister and the Government, and I know that 4 5 this will be an instant because so many issues have been raised today, where I know the Member for Valley North has 6 supported the minister in this regard but particularly the 7 Member for Sandy Hill and the Member for Road South and the 8 other members of the house, I think their people would be 9 10 happy to hear from them what their thoughts are in relation 11 to, in relation to the Banking Bill and particularly any 12 alternative resolution strategies that they might have put 13 forward with them in their respective districts. That would 14 put us, Mr. Speaker, in a very good way when we convene the 15 committee because the information, I believe, has already been 16 shared so it would just be a question of organising and 17 disseminating it together and getting to a resolution. 18 is my view. 19 Mr. Speaker, thank you very much. 20 THE SPEAKER: You can continue, go 21 ahead. You're finished? 22 MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Are you inviting me, 23 Mr. Speaker, to continue? 2.4 THE SPEAKER: If you're not 25 finished. Are you finished?

1	MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Mr. Speaker, all I
2	would urge at this time is that the Banking Bill is, the
3	second reading, as is normal, that we refer to a select
4	committee for amendments but in this case a select committee
5	exist already to deal with these matters that we refer not
6	just the Bill but the resolution plan to that committee, to
7	the select committee of the whole house. It must not be
8	confused with the select committee that normally goes through
9	the amendments inside of the house but, you know, for that
10	select committee that has been organised and which has already
11	convened on this issue to meet to deal with the other aspects
12	before we progress the, you know, the third reading of this
13	Bill.
14	THE SPEAKER: All right. Thank you.
15	MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you.
15 16	MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Thank you.  THE SPEAKER: Are there any other
16	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other
16 17	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other contributions?
16 17 18	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other contributions?  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,
16 17 18 19	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other contributions?  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,  Mr. Speaker.
16 17 18 19 20	THE SPEAKER:  Are there any other contributions?  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,  Mr. Speaker.  THE SPEAKER:  Yes.
16 17 18 19 20 21	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other contributions?  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,  Mr. Speaker.  THE SPEAKER: Yes.  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I'm not sure whether
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE SPEAKER: Are there any other contributions?  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Excuse me,  Mr. Speaker.  THE SPEAKER: Yes.  MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: I'm not sure whether the Honourable Member for Island Harbour was moving a motion.

```
MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Well, Mr. Speaker, I
 1
     move that the Banking Bill be referred to the select committee
 2
     for consideration in the context of, for further consideration
 3
     in the context of a resolution plan that will be advanced by
 4
     the Honourable Chief Minister that he -- by the Honourable
 5
     Chief Minister and that after there is full consultation on
 6
     that plan and the Bill that the Bill comes back in good time
     for, you know, any third reading.
 8
 9
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                    Okay, I heard
     the motion. Do we have a seconder?
10
11
                   SECOND NOMINATED MEMBER: Mr. Speaker, I second
     that motion.
12
                                              Moved and seconded
13
                   THE SPEAKER:
14
     that this Banking Bill be deferred until another time until it
15
     goes to a select committee. Those in favour?
                   MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: Yes, aye.
16
17
                   SECOND NOMINATED MEMBER:
                   THE SPEAKER:
18
                                              Those against?
19
                   (Members on Government side responded "aye".)
20
                   Okay. It's not passed so I'll ask any other
     person that wants to contribute you may do so.
21
22
                   Member for Road South.
23
                   MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH:
                                              Thank you,
24
    Mr. Speaker.
                   I came here today to serve my country with
25
     dignity and to do the very best I can.
```

Mr. Speaker, you -- we all would have to remain seated with our seatbelts securely fastened for we are still airborne. There are many persons speaking in Anguilla today as if Anguilla is at her wits ends (sic). We have a responsibility to our country and a duty to make sure that we carry Anguilla on from strength to strength regardless of the circumstances that she face. And as I listened to the various persons throughout Anguilla speaking I have been entertained. I'm always entertained but I'm deeply saddened whenever I happen to see a wolf teaching a sheep to sing "come and dine". I have seen a lot of that recently. People have been swept away with every wind of doctrine in regards to this Banking issue but I would like to remind all of Anguilla very briefly this afternoon.

The Chief Minister spoke, Mr. Speaker, for one hour, and I think he freed his conscience, and the Leader of the Opposition spoke for six hours, and I believe that she has freed her conscience so I think that the people of Anguilla have seen and heard a lot, Mr. Speaker, by way of the radio programmes and even in the house today and before, even the marches in the street and all of the happenings regarding this Bill, I don't intend to be very long. But I intend to say that we as a people we must not forget, Mr. Speaker, that we are still airborne. We are still seeking a destination called happiness, pursuit of happiness, fulfillment in life.

Anguilla in 2008 experienced significant turbulence in making this flight on to our destination. The people of Anguilla in 2010 correctly decided that the Honourable Hubert Hughes should take over command of the flight during that moment of turbulence. Mr. Hughes made the assessment that for whatever reasons he needed on this matter to put down the landing gear and that is when he signed on in 2013/2014 we saw the two banks went into conservatorship. For whatever reasons may have been facing him, he changed his mind and he pulled up the landing gear and we continue to circle this issue.

I am on this side, on the Government's side of this house because I went out to the people of Anguilla in 2014, spent many nights out in the dew, Mr. Speaker, and I gave them the commitment that among the many problems facing this country ranging from water to banking to crime, that we will fix it. I was well aware that if the people gave me the opportunity to be here that I have five years to do so. I'm happy that some of the issues directly under my charge, such as water, I have gone ahead and I've made tremendous gains for the people of Anguilla. But today, Mr. Speaker, I want to commend the Honourable Chief Minister, Mr. Banks, not for the manner in which he has handled this issue in coming to the public because I have my own opinions about some of the way in which the issue ravelled out, was rolled out to the public,

but I would like to commend the Chief Minister highly for recognising how serious this matter of banking is in Anguilla and I admire his resolve to fix it. I stand squarely behind him. I've given him my commitment that whatever timetable he's on it will be fixed. I am a son of this soil and for far too long this issue has been perplexing Anguilla and Anguilla must rise again.

In 2015, in just five years after giving

Mr. Hughes the chance, the Honourable Hubert Hughes the chance
to take command of the cockpit of this country, the people in
five years decided that circling the issue of banking in

Anguilla, they have had enough, Mr. Speaker. And they went
back and made a decision that Mr. Victor Banks and this

Anguilla United Front team should take up the role of bringing

Anguilla to her desired haven. Mr. Banks, the Honourable

Chief Minister, has now decided that he's making an approach.

And, Mr. Speaker, I said that we all must please remain seated
with our seatbelts securely fastened because as we make this
approach all of Anguilla must bear in mind that this landing
on this banking issue is not the answer to all things. As the
Leader of the Opposition clearly pointed out, this is not the
answer to all things, this Banking Legislation.

Mr. Speaker, the Chief Minister has got to go on to pass his budget. Whatever the implications or ramifications of these Bills are, he along with this team will

have to address it in the budget. The Chief Minister has also got to go on from here to make sure that he satisfy the Foreign and Commonwealth office, the British Government with whatever concerns they have for this banking legislation and the resolution. I do not envy his position, Mr. Speaker, and I do not wish to have that position at this time. when he was speaking, Mr. Speaker, talk about every single facet of society and every agency that is not on his side. And though he may paint a picture that he stands alone and he may have cried tears in one instance to that statement, today I stand up to say that he's not alone. And he's not alone, Mr. Speaker, because what he is doing is something that I deem important for this country to move on. I love my country so dearly, Mr. Speaker, that I could have asked the Chief Minister when he was going to St. Kitts that I be a part of that mission, but when I look at the hunger and the thirst, Mr. Speaker, that the Leader of the Opposition had not to be further confused in this matter, I made the suggestion that perhaps we should put the Leader of the Opposition on that In less than five seconds the Chief Minister and other members of this Government decided that she could be a part of the team and gladly she went. I was hoping today that the Leader of the Opposition would have stand here in this Honourable House and she would have declared that she has been more informed, that she has overcome some of the ignorance

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

that people have about certain matters of pursuing this resolution, that she has firsthand knowledge, Mr. Speaker, of the gravity of the matter seeing that she would not have walked into a bar in St. Kitts but she would have walked into a room where she would have met persons who take the charge very serious on this banking resolution from the IMF, the CDB, the World Bank, the FCO and the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank itself. Those are very weighted organizations, very substantial organizations. And it should have sent the signal that this matter is a very, very serious matter.

2.0

2.1

I have given the Chief Minister my support, told him that I've had enough of the talking because I live my life, Mr. Speaker, by one mode, that there is a time for discussion and there is a time for decision. And all of Anguilla is like a big multitude, Mr. Speaker, in the valley of decision and we are halting between several opinions. And as we continue to halt between those varying opinions our country continues to go down and down and down, deeper and deeper in the mire. Well somebody has got to stand up to say that enough is enough and that Anguilla must be redeemed. Redemption is a must. It is not a necessity, it's a must in this hour. And I was elected and I take my charge very seriously because on the night when they were counting the votes and the ballots and everybody else knew exactly what was going to happen in which district, it was very easy to make

assessments. When the ballots were coming in down in District Number 6, it was obvious to all within this country that somewhere in this nation there is (sic) some people who are very serious and committed about implementing change. And, Mr. Speaker, change was implemented down in District Number 6 and I dare not disrespect the call made by the people down in District Number 6 for that change; I'm going to stand up and And I'm not going to declare myself an authority represent. on this banking matter but I will say it is very disheartening, Mr. Speaker, to hear someone get up in this house to say that nothing was done for six months. sad commenting. Good politicking but sad commenting, Mr. Speaker, because other Governments have had two to three years to do absolutely nothing. But if the Honourable Chief Minister could come to this house in six months/seven months and say that he has a position, he has a resolution, I think that he should be commended. And one of the things, Mr. Speaker, that pleases me today is that this resolution is not found through inaction, it is not found through paralysis. The Chief Minister, Mr. Speaker, could have taken the easy option and pretend to be mentally paralysed and continue to allow our banks to go closer and closer to liquidation. was not his position.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, one of the movies that I love tremendously is the Titanic. It's a movie that makes me cry.

I don't cry very much, Mr. Speaker, watching movies but the Titanic catches me every time. And what catches me every time about the tragedy of the Titanic is not that moment when the Titanic struck the iceberg, it was after, Mr. Speaker, to watch men with sense, men with understanding come under paralysis just standing idly by doing nothing as the great ship goes down. Well I am on board this one, Mr. Speaker. I'm on board Anguilla and no matter what peril she's facing, I will not succumb to paralysis to just stand idly by and do Something will be done in this hour to redeem nothing. Anguilla and to save this nation. There is redemption, salvation. And we as a government will not speak too widely about all things being normal but we will guarantee the people of Anguilla in this hour that we are considerate, we are wise based on your choosing April the 23rd, 2015 and we are considering establishing a sense of normalcy, not maintaining all things normal because all of us are sensible enough to know, Mr. Speaker, that whenever there are changes made things cannot continue as they were. But we as a government are well aware that one of the large charges placed on us, one of the responsibilities we have, Mr. Speaker, is to ensure a sense of normalcy, come as close as is possible. We cannot redeem the whole. In moments of peril you cannot save everything in the total sum, something is always lost. But I have learnt through my life and through living and through the great book,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Speaker, it is necessary at times to put one to rest, take a part from that one and create something wonderful and new. And I think women understands that very well, Mr. Speaker, because the great mastermind, the Almighty, put man to sleep, put man to rest, took a part out of man and created something new and wonderful. And that is to say, Mr. Speaker, that sometimes putting something to rest is not a bad thing and sometimes creating something new and different and vibrant is not a bad thing.

The Chief Minister, Mr. Speaker, is a leader, not by right. He's followed closely, he's well supported but he's not a leader, he's not leading me as a right, that is not afforded to him. He doesn't have that right. The Honourable Chief Minister is leading me and leading this team because it's an opportunity given to him by five other leaders and I take the chance to speak for the rest of my colleagues but I for sure, I'm a leader. But being a leader, Mr. Speaker, does not mean that I have to run the risk of always trying to be opposite and trying to be conflicting. Being a leader most of all calls for understanding the responsibilities placed upon you. And it is irresponsible as a leader to link crime to this Bill, to try to encourage people to engage in criminal activities because of this Bill.

Chief Minister in the days ahead, Mr. Speaker, we as a government in the days ahead we have to consider the

ISL; in the campaign we talked about removing it. And 1 2 everybody who campaigns to people, Mr. Speaker, would always 3 like to keep their promises, keep their pledges but sometimes when the reality of life sets in, you have to consider 4 5 people's lives more so above their rights or their happiness. Every good doctor, Mr. Speaker, knows that you would like to 6 continue living your life with two legs and two arms but 8 sometimes a very wise surgeon would walk into the room and 9 tell a patient you're going to have to lose four fingers to 10 save your arm. All of us, Mr. Speaker, we do not like the 11 moment when we have to consider loss, but something must be 12 done in this hour to make a response to this issue that has 13 perplexed the nation for a very long time. And amidst all of the talk and all of the considerations I'm asking all of us as 14 15 Anguillians to ban ourselves together to move forward for the year 2016 and take our country upwards and onwards. 16 17 Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. 18 THE SPEAKER: Thank you. Any other 19 contributions? Member, that's the Second Nominated Member. 20 SECOND NOMINATED MEMBER: Mr. Speaker, thank you 21 for allowing me to speak. I'll like to ask the Member of Road 22 South if I'm free to move about the cabin? 23 THE SPEAKER: If what you ask? 24 SECOND NOMINATED MEMBER: If I'm free to move 25 about the cabin. His speech was very, very well orchestrated

and he was referring to us flying a plane so I'm here asking if I'm free to move out the cabin.

MEMBER FOR ROAD SOUTH: You're free.

SECOND NOMINATED MEMBER: Thank you.

Mr. Speaker, regardless of which road we take I agree we need banking regulations. However, there are some aspects of the new Banking Act that eats away at our fiber. We are a strong country but we have took (sic) some paths that have lead (sic) us down a road not of no return but it's a road where we're at a pitchfork right now and we have to decide which way to go. I think that what the Leader of Opposition is trying to say is that if in the initial process when the AUF took office and according to the Member from Valley North, as he mentioned the pecking order, if they had took (sic) time to sensitise the public on this Bill, I don't think we would have this drama today. Also the AUM Government sat on it for two and a half years and they also did not sensitise the public so therefore I think there's a problem.

Mr. Speaker, we are living in a global economy today and to conduct business across different countries the banks has (sic) to be in fully functional — has to be fully functional. We need to stabilise Anguilla, create a footing that is built on stone, not on sand. But it's a shame that we have to give up our sovereignty to build a new footing. When we had the lead we should have put ourselves on the same

footing as the BVI and create a self regulatory body in our banking sector. Our leaders need to apply certain passions of diplomatic discretion in order to negotiate sound investments, sound decisions and sound judgments for this country.

Government need to exercise prudent borrowing because it is essential to the survivability of this country. Both me and the Leader of the Opposition are not privy to some of the financial assessments or the financial resolution that the Chief Minister and his colleagues have put together, so therefore we cannot even analyse the impact or the tax burden that the people of Anguilla will be subjected to.

2.1

Currently, I'm a business owner here in Anguilla and the stabilisation tax puts a very heavy burden on my business and I'm pretty sure it does the rest of Anguilla and their businesses.

I think it's prudent to mention that the legislation that has been mentioned by the Government in regards to the 6 inch stack of papers that the UK presented them, was only a contingency plan in case the Government did not act. It is not a plan for the UK to come and take over the country but if we don't act in a prudent and a fiscal manner, it's an emergency act so that we would not have, it's more like a fail-safe, so that we would not have a catastrophic failure of the banks. But according to -- Excuse me, Mr. Speaker.

There have been many comments made about the saving of the banks and to also protect the depositors. also if we are going to protect the depositors, I'll like to find out from the Honourable Member from Valley South if we're intending to protect the offshore depositors along with the onshore depositors because according to what Helen Hatton had stated, and if I may be able to read a section from her letter, I'll read the last paragraph: "Finally, absent formal briefings on which I can rely, I move into sharing a deep and possibly unfounded concern. I believe the ECCB proposals may involve particularly damaging treatment of the depositors in the offshore banking subsidiaries of the domestic banks to which the proposed legislation will apply. Should the assembly decide to move ahead with this Act and should the offshore depositors be treated in the manner I understand is being planned, members must realise that they will be dealing a killer blow to Anguilla's offshore industry. international client or prudent advisor to such client would ever again entrust assets to a jurisdiction that is prepared to eradicate a person's lawful ownership by statute? And if it becomes known that this is the way Anguilla treats non locals, what does this message say to other inward investors including in industries vital to the continued development of Anguilla's economy such as tourism, light manufacturing, engineering, fisheries, mariner, airport or retail

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

developments? It is worth seriously considering that it took jurisdictions such as Portugal, Malta, and the Seychelles decades to recover from the economic stall caused by the seizure of international investors assets."

So in this resolution, I'd like the Chief
Minister to clarify if the international depositors will be
protected. Reason being, we have worked so hard to create
this offshore sector and like in the BVI, it creates great
income for the Government and what we'll like to see is that
Anguilla do not stall the offshore sector for maybe 10, 15
years but we can grow upon it.

Also, both Pricewaterhouse -- PricewaterCoopers, (sic) sorry, and ECCB has done evaluations on both banks' financial status and non performing loans. However, their numbers vary drastically. Therefore, if the financial evaluation of both banks are much closer to PricewaterCooper (sic) assessment, wouldn't the banking resolution we are rushing to implement backfire in the near future and leave Anguilla in a worse position? That's a question that I'd like our leader, the Chief Minister, Member for Valley South to answer to the public.

Mr. Speaker, I'm not here in full support of this Banking Act. I think we need more consultation. We need to embrace the people and take care of their worries. They are like children, they need to be reassured that when we're

finished that Anguilla would be on a stronger footing than it is today and in the near future.

Mr. Speaker, I thank you for allowing me to speak.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE SPEAKER: Thank you very much for your contribution. Member for Valley North.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Thank you very much, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, we are here this evening, we started this morning, with respect to the second and third reading of this Banking Bill. And let me place on record, Mr. Speaker, that I have been in this Honourable House for over 11 years and during the 2005 to 2010 session, the then Speaker, I believe cognizant of the fact of these lengthy contributions, had decided to put time limits on the debates and the presentations. Now that is up for debate, Mr. Speaker. And Mr. Speaker, the reason why I mentioned this is because I sat all day here from 10:00 o'clock and listen to the Leader of the Opposition from 11:00 o'clock until after 5:00 o'clock, six hours, Mr. Speaker. And in her presentation, Mr. Speaker, she mentioned a lot of things. mentioned a lot of things. She spoke about the new leadership in the house with the Clerk and having the minutes and so on and so forth. And, Mr. Speaker, that is a fact. As you know, Mr. Speaker, and I am fully well aware of because I sat across the aisle and held that position that the Member for Island

Harbour is holding now for five years. And, Mr. Speaker, I am very well aware and the people of this country are very well aware that there is a marked distinction between the Leader of the Opposition and the Leader of Government business. A mark distinction, Mr. Speaker. And, Mr. Speaker, I want the people of this country to realise that. And I'm saying through you, Mr. Speaker, that I would like the Honourable Member for Island Harbour to realise that as well. And, Mr. Speaker, say what you want about the Leader of Government business, I can attest to the fact that he is much more accommodative to the Leader of the Opposition in this session of the house than what was previously done.

Mr. Speaker, we're in this house because of an electoral process and we're all elected. The Member for Island Harbour is the elected representative of that constituency. And we heard over and over about involving the people, the voice of the people and representing the people. And I'm saying, Mr. Speaker, if the Leader of the Opposition is representing the people who oppose this administration, she has that right. We did not get on this side of the aisle by having the minority of their votes. So, in essence, we are representing our people.

I took offence, Mr. Speaker, to a suggestion and it was in relation to the Chief Minister. The member said that the Chief Minister at times stumbles over the right

decision. That is my understanding of what was said, not quoting. And, Mr. Speaker, that in itself is an insult to the over 1000 individuals who would have voted for the Chief Minister from Valley South.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, the Chief Minister and Minister of Finance, Ministry of Finance is part of his portfolio. the lead minister and person with respect to this Banking Act. And, Mr. Speaker, he alone cannot pass the Banking Act. the other members of the Government, Mr. Speaker, we have a voice and we have a vote with respect to this and he is the lead person. And, Mr. Speaker, you've heard over 16 plus years in terms of being Minister of Finance. Yes, that is so. And over 16 years, Mr. Speaker, irrespective of what we think, that experience, Mr. Speaker, has been beneficial to Anguilla. The Member for District 1, whether she was invited by the Member for Road South, the Chief Minister or the Minister of Home Affairs to be part of the delegation going to St. Kitts, should have learned something from those meetings. Mr. Speaker, I wasn't there. But again, my experience, Mr. Speaker, part of Government and dealing with the British Government, the FCO, the IMF, the World Bank, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, the Caribbean Development Bank, Pricewaterhouse and all the others, Mr. Speaker, would lead me to believe that they were part of the discussions in St. Kitts on Tuesday. And, Mr. Speaker, while I wasn't physically

present, I can give the people of Anguilla an assurance, based on my experience working with the Member for Valley South and Minister of Finance, that they were adequately and efficiently represented in those meetings. The Member for Island Harbour was present, Mr. Speaker. And, Mr. Speaker, all of the questions and concerns that she raised here during the day, Mr. Speaker, I am wondering if the member would have raised those concerns in that particular meeting. And that meeting, Mr. Speaker, was about the Banking Act/Resolution, bear me out because I wasn't there. But the point I am making, Mr. Speaker, and there're several I will make. Pricewaterhouse and Cooper, they were there via telephone or via video conference, Mr. Speaker, and the Member for Island Harbour and Leader of the Opposition was part of the Government delegation and I would hope, Member for Sandy Hill, that in the introduction that she would have been introduced as the Leader of the Opposition. So therefore, these concerns that she's bringing to this Honourable House as Leader of the Opposition, I am wondering if they were raised in that particular meeting. Pricewaterhouse, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank, the IMF and the World Bank among others that were there. You see, Mr. Speaker, the point I am making here is that the member mentioned that when reference is made to the Member for Valley South in terms of his years of being elected, she blocks out a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

part of it. Over quarter century, over 25 years and more and 1 possibly believes in two terms. And when I heard that, 2 Mr. Speaker, I put an asterisk beside it because at the end of 3 the day, Mr. Speaker, the Member for District 1 as well as the 4 other members on this side of this house elected District 2, 5 District 6, 7 and 5, Mr. Speaker, are all rookies. 6 7 words, Mr. Speaker, the two-term reference is possibly why the member from 11:00 this morning until after 5:00 this afternoon 8 9 would have been on her feet and making presentations and being 10 repetitive all the time. But I am saying, Mr. Speaker, that 11 there will be time for that because there will be no general 12 elections, Mr. Speaker, until 2020. So there will be a lot of 13 time for that. And in a few days, as a matter of fact in the 14 next two days or three days it will only be seven months. 15 I understand, it is a long time you have sitting there in that 16 chair, at least five years. 17 THE SPEAKER: Address the chair, 18 please.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Mr. Chair.

THE SPEAKER: Yes.

19

20

22

23

24

25

21 MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: It's a long time.

And, Mr. Speaker, I am not talking theoretically, I am talking from five years of experience sitting over there, Mr. Speaker. So I am saying, Mr. Speaker, to the people of Anguilla that we have to be very attentive to what is coming, not only from the

side of the opposition but also from Government's side,

Mr. Speaker. And, Mr. Speaker, the reason why I am saying

this is because on Monday in the presentation that the Member

for District 1 had, my understanding through that presentation

was that she didn't have a solution for the current banking

situation. My understanding from that presentation on Monday,

Mr. Speaker, was that the Bill should pass and that she's

asking for more time for public consultation to get the people

on board. And as I said earlier, we are here representing the

vast majority of the people in this country. And,

Mr. Speaker, experience has taught me that you don't please

everybody who would have supported and voted for you, but over

time, Mr. Speaker, once they realise what you're doing is in

the best interest for the majority of people they will always

come back and follow you.

Mr. Speaker, reference was made earlier this morning to me nodding my head in approval when the Member for Island Harbour was making the point, Mr. Speaker, that it is inevitable for the Bill, this Bill to be passed. It is inevitable for the Bill to be passed. It is inevitable.

December 2015, deadline. We must keep in line with international standards, Mr. Speaker. My understanding, and I'm sure the public they were listening because at that time it wasn't as drawn out as it was this afternoon, that was earlier this morning or earlier before lunch. And,

Mr. Speaker, I had to nod because that's what we are here to So I got the impression and I'm sure the general public listening, as you've said throughout your presentation that it is inevitable that the Bill must pass. And Mr. Speaker, the Minister of Finance who is leading the passage of this Bill, Mr. Speaker, I dare say in the political arena in Anguilla the type of experience, the type of knowledge and representation with respect to this particular and a number of other Bills, Mr. Speaker, bar none in this country from the political -from a political perspective is head and shoulders above everyone. And I am sorry because the rules of procedure in this Honourable House does not allow for the member in District 1 to reply, but she was there. And it would be nice, it would be very, not only entertaining but it would be very educational to give us her assessment of the representation from the Minister of Finance in Anguilla relative to the meetings that we had in St. Kitts. And I'm sure that she too would have been proud of the representation from the Chief Minister of Anguilla.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, that being said, it is always a learning process, a learning curve. And Mr. Speaker, the Member for District 1, I understand her position. Her position in the sense that she survived what one may describe as the political tsunami that swept through the country on April the 22nd; she survived. And, Mr. Speaker, she should

not take that for granted. She should not take it for granted and she must understand that we on this side were also elected and we were elected to lead. So while you speak, Mr. Speaker, about cooperation, Mr. Speaker, we have to be cooperative among ourselves and in the best interest of the Anguilla people.

The Second Nominated Member mentioned about the pecking order that I referred to sometime ago. And since he brought it back up, the advice, Mr. Speaker, that the Leader of the Opposition would have given all day long to the Minister of Finance, that too, Mr. Speaker must be part of a pecking order. What are we on this side of the aisle, Mr. Speaker? We are elected representatives just like the Member for Island Harbour. And I understand.

A substantial portion of her presentation was about leadership. Leadership. And what I understood, Mr. Speaker, from the presentation on Monday in this Honourable House was that we have a leader who, for a better terminology, may be inept in certain areas, and we all are human beings and we all are inept in certain areas, but when it comes to the administration, governance and leadership of this country at this particular point in time, Mr. Speaker, ineptness and Victor Franklin Banks should not be in the same sentence, and I make no apologies about that, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker, there was mention about the

concerns from Antigua, that we incorporate in and presented to the legal department at the Eastern Caribbean Central Bank.

And, Mr. Speaker, the Member for Valley South intimated during that discussion that notwithstanding the concerns of the folks in Antigua that the bill passed. And I want the people of Anguilla to understand what we are doing here, Mr. Speaker.

Despite, Mr. Speaker, the concerns, the Bill has been passed in Antigua. And, Mr. Speaker, one of the banks in Antigua is under the conservatorship and two of our banks here are in the same position. As politicians, Mr. Speaker, we use the political platforms and rostrums.

Mention was made about the ISL and going back on your word, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, when one is in Government and realise, Mr. Speaker, that the resolution to this bank is going to involve all of us in this country, all of us in this country, and you are faced with a situation whereby, and these are the facts, to be able to help fund the cost of a resolution of our indigenous banks, the banks that were good to all of us, Mr. Speaker, or most of us in this country, to do away with the ISL, Mr. Speaker, or keep it in place for a year or two, a further year or two, as oppose to allowing the failure of our banks because as the member for District 1 said, she was made to understand that the British Government is not giving us any money so we're going to have to do it and we're going to have to do it, Mr. Speaker. The

choice we make must be affordable. And while it is a fact, Mr. Speaker, if we have to renege on a political promise for the greater good of this country, I would have absolutely no objection to that because it is the overall good in the development of this country. How are we supposed to turnaround this economy and have economic activity and so on with our banks, our indigenous banks being unstable? That's the question, Mr. Speaker, that we've got to ask ourselves.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, as I said before, when the member for District 1 mentioned about coming together, about getting the people on board, wonderful idea. About consultation, beautiful idea, Mr. Speaker. But then again, in my understanding, I go back to my note here. Spoke about the divided groups out there who are vying for power. divided groups, Mr. Speaker, one would expect that as Leader of the Opposition it would be incumbent upon her, if she's going to talk about bringing people together, to get those divided groups together. That's what she's supposed to do because if she is representing the people, Mr. Speaker, she is representing the people who did not support this administration. So if those divided groups are out there vying for power, and she is the Leader of the Opposition, Mr. Speaker, it is easier for her in her position as Leader of the Opposition to get those divided groups who are vying for power together. Because those, that divided group over there

or those divided groups over there, Mr. Speaker, don't want to hear from this administration you know, and especially from the Leader of Government Business and the Member for Valley South. So I throw that out as a challenge, Mr. Speaker, to the Leader of the Opposition because the divided groups over there. And we are not in isolation here, we know who they are. Mr. Speaker, on the 9th of November everyone who sit in the gallery down there, we knew them and we could almost make a prediction as to who they're supporting. So getting that group together and the talk about bringing them together you will have less demonstration and those sort of things,
Mr. Speaker, is an assignment that I believe, Mr. Speaker, the leader of the opposition should be part of.

2.4

Mr. Speaker, a letter was read I think from the concerned citizens of the OES (sic).

MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: OECS.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: OECS, Organisation of Eastern Caribbean States. And it made mention of the two day's notice that was given to the opposition in Dominica and to the passage of both Bills in four hours or in one sitting, Mr. Speaker. And, Mr. Speaker, the opposition has a role to play and I'm sure that members of the parliament on Government side in Dominica would have some reservations with certain parts of the Bill as well, like in every other country. But they look at the greater good and they realise that Bills can

be repealed, they can be amended, Mr. Speaker. Mr. Speaker, presenting the concerns from Anguilla and incorporating the concerns from Montserrat -- Antigua, that was handed to the legal department of the Central Bank, Mr. Speaker, I would hope that the Leader of the Opposition didn't just rely on Mr. Hodge, Kennedy Hodge and a number of individuals who would have brought forth proposals to be included in that. I would hope, Mr. Speaker, that the Leader of the Opposition and based on her professional training and experience in law would have had a very integral and important contribution to those points of submission. I would hope that that was the case. And, Mr. Speaker, I'm not going to repeat I have a lot of stuff here because it was all day that I was taking notes here about all sorts of things, Mr. Speaker. But in the interest of time, I make no apologies, Mr. Speaker, in supporting this particular Bill to this house. And, Mr. Speaker, I have all the confidence in the world that the Minister of Finance and the Leader of Government business is not only on the right path but has the right approach. He has studied it enough and we all on this side of the aisle will be making a decision, Mr. Speaker, based on the reality and the leadership in particular in this particular Bill.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, Mr. Speaker, I stand here to support this Bill and to say to the general public in Anguilla, the Asset

```
Management Corporation Legislation, that too, Mr. Speaker,
 1
 2
     will be brought before this house. That too, Mr. Speaker, is
     something, as the member pointed out, that needs some
 3
     consultation and we agree with that. But in the interim, it
 4
     is posted on the Government's website and I would encourage
 5
     individuals in this country to go ahead, read it, study it.
 6
 7
     Again, issues that you have concerns about, please document
 8
     them and bring it to the Government. The politics,
 9
     Mr. Speaker, and the results of the election were known on
10
     April the 23rd. And those who believe that there is a snap
11
     election around the corner, they have a long time waiting
12
     because it will be 2020 before they will have that opportunity
13
           And I'm saying, Mr. Speaker, to the member for
14
     District 1, representation will include and will involve the
15
     people of this country and your presentation here today is
16
     number 3 in the pecking order, Mr. Speaker. Because I
17
     remember the former Chief Minister and Member for District 6,
     he would have had three days. And I remember the former
18
19
     Parliamentary Secretary, he would have portions of three days,
20
     so in the pecking order, you are number 3.
21
                   This Bill, Mr. Speaker, I'm in full support of
22
     it.
         Thank you.
23
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Thank you, Member for
24
     Valley North. Do we have any other contributions?
25
     Yes, Member for West End.
```

MEMBER FOR WEST END: Thank you,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker. I stand in support of the Bill but also I stand in support of our Chief Minister because over the last couple of weeks it hasn't really been an issue about the Bill. the Bill will pass, but as always the focus seems to be on the Chief Minister or Victor Banks. And even today the presentations, a lot of it, and not just today but over the last week or so, has been geared towards his ability to lead. And I often believe that leaders are not always those who run out front and shout and scream and make noises but actually leaders are also those in the back or in the pack. And we know that sure it has been a number of years that our Chief Minister has been involved in politics but a lot of those times he's actually made others leaders. When he had 50 percent of the Government he was prepared to allow the Honourable Hubert Hughes to be the Chief Minister. When he was 25 percent of the Government, was his vote as well that allowed the Honourable Osbourne Fleming to become the Chief Minister. He took a blow in 2010 but like all true champions and leaders, he didn't lie down and play dead. He went back, learnt from the lessons, some people might call them mistakes but I think I prefer the positive side; he learnt from his lessons and he came back stronger than any other politician in Anguilla. Even the Father of the Nation cannot boast over a thousand votes. He won, in fact, the Honourable Curtis

Richardson in District 6, myself in District 7. Many people thought we couldn't make it if you're on the same slate as Victor Banks. But I've known him in the 23 years that I've come back to Anguilla and I've always considered him to be a leader. And again, as I said, despite the fact that people thought if you're running with, in our areas anyway, the Anguilla United Front or with Victor Banks as a leader but people have learnt, they have learnt. In our areas too they've learnt because after a while you have to back the chat. And a lot of what's been said over a number of years has been loose talk. And I think the realisation, when things really hit home to people they realise that you have to stand up, you have to stand up for what you really believe, not what others tell you.

And, Mr. Speaker, today again I stand in support of our Chief Minister and what he believes. And also, I take offence to when people who are not within the room or within the meetings that we have to suggest that he keeps things to himself, he doesn't inform us. That is not true, Mr. Speaker. But I suppose there's a difference between politics and representation and I still believe that those who play too much politics when politics are not required are really trying to mislead people and we've seen that over the last week. We've seen a lot of that. I see our Chief Minister as a representative, not just of his district but all of Anguilla.

And I stand in support of him not just for this Bill but the leadership qualities that he brings.

thank a number of my supporters, people in West End and throughout Anguilla for the support that as a Government we've needed over the last couple of weeks when many others have seen the opportunity to throw darts at us. I think over a period of time people have realised what the real motives have been. And as we face this challenge over the next, whether it's the next couple of weeks, next couple of months or probably for years to come. We talk of unity, we talk but we talk out of different sides of our mouths. We really should put our hands and our heart and say we believe in unity and forget about the politics.

Mr. Speaker, again I thank you for the opportunity to speak and also thank the Chief Minister for allowing me to be part of his team.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you very much,

Member for West End. Member for Road North.

MEMBER FOR ROAD NORTH: Mr. Speaker, I know the day is far spent and people are perhaps getting tired.

THE SPEAKER: You have your mike on?

MEMBER FOR ROAD NORTH: Yes, it is on.

THE SPEAKER: Okay.

MEMBER FOR ROAD NORTH: But let me first of

all say that the last couple of weeks or last week in particular has been quite challenging but we must thank God for the strength, the courage and faith that he has given us to withstand it all.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, I do not stand here as or pretend to be an authority on the banking issue but I do know that for the last seven months since this Government has come into power our Chief Minister and his team has been feverishly working on this banking issue and I believe that I have been able to understand enough to even get a grassroots view of the whole situation and to understand the impact that it has, the negative impact it has for the socioeconomic development of our island, and hence my support for this Bill. convinced, Mr. Speaker, that the only motive as articulated by our Chief Minister for passing this Bill is to work out a solution which is in the best interest of Anguilla, the depositors, the shareholders and the survivability of our indigenous banks. Mr. Speaker, the people of Anguilla should by now, even if they had any doubts, understand from the eloquent and articulate presentation by our leader as he took the pains to explain to this Honourable House and the people of Anguilla the importance and the urgency of having this legislation passed in this Honourable House as well as the informed consultations over the past week. I must also commend the Honourable Member for East End for the excellent

job she did in presenting those consultations.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, there is no question that there is need for consideration to be given to some amendments in this legislation but as one financial space, a process for making amendments was also outlined. As I indicated to the Honourable Member for Island Harbour, even if we — there is another week, there is another month, there is another year for consultations, the outcome will exactly be the same, there will be no change.

Mr. Speaker, the scholarly writings of the learned Don, Mr. Don Mitchell, QC on this issue are very enlightening. And I also came across an article by a Mr. Peter Queeley giving the Montserratian view. And it says "From the onset, I wish to state that the proposed new Banking Act does not pertain to a single financial institution and its particular circumstances, nor does the proposed new Banking Act pertain to a particular OECS territory or its particular circumstances. Rather, the new Banking Act pertains to the conduct of banking business and the regulation and supervision of the same in the OECS region. is irrelevant for one to state that a particular institution non performing loans less than 5 percent or the liquidity situation surrounding a particular institution is strong or that other territories' indigenous banks are in trouble and in Montserrat that is not the case." And it goes on to say,

Mr. Speaker, that "one cannot wait for situations to happen for action to be taken. The necessary safeguards must be put in place to mitigate the various risks. The situation confronting a financial institution or a particular territory can change over time and sometimes in the twinkling of an eye. And history has shown us that in Montserrat we have had our troubling times such as the case which led to the ECCB intervention in the Bank of Montserrat in 1992. That particular situation was localised. History has also shown us that regional situations such as the collapse of the CL financial group can also have local and regional effects. The same pertains to the other territories in the OECS region."

2.

So, Mr. Speaker, we have been hearing that this Banking Bill really is to regulate the conduct of banking business within the region given all that has gone on as it relates to banking in finance, not only in the region but throughout the world.

Mr. Speaker we have leaders and we have followers. And if I was not convinced that my leader, the Honourable Mr. Victor Franklin Banks, was not making the right decision in the interest of Anguilla and Anguillians, I do not think that he would have had my support. But I stand here this evening, Mr. Speaker, to say that given all the circumstances, all that has gone down, I stand in full support of this Bill. Thank you.

THE SPEAKER:

Thank you Member for Road North. Are there any other contributions? If there are

3 no other, then the mover may respond.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, it's been a long day and I'm accustomed of hearing my name called on so many issues, even when I'm not in the house. For five years, as the Honourable Member from Island Harbour pointed out, I was outside of the house. But for those five years I can quarantee you that my name was called more than anybody else in this Honourable House, even those who were in the house. Mr. Speaker, so I'm accustom to the kind of approach that persons think is necessary to save Anguilla. The way to save Anguilla apparently is to say all kinds of demeaning things about Victor Banks. I'm here today, Mr. Speaker, to say that unfortunately, the situation in which we now find ourselves has nothing to do with Victor Banks. It has to do with the fact that in 2008 the economies of the world crashed and open vulnerable economies like Anguilla felt the pinch. But it also pointed to the fact, Mr. Speaker, that when the economies of the world are affected in the manner in which Anguilla was affected at that time, you better have prudent legislation in place to deal with the fallout of situations such as those.

If we were in a boom cycle, as we were in 2003 to 2007, we would not have been having these concerns in the banking sector today. We may not have had the proper

legislation in place for regulation but that would have been shrouded by the fact that people were making money, people had jobs and therefore there was growth in the economy. As a result of that, the exposure and the risks to the economy were not seen. But what that has pointed to is that the financial services sector in particular the banking sector and most particularly the indigenous banking sector of the region needs to consider the importance of legislation for regulation that makes it capable of carrying out the function that is required in these times.

The Honourable Member for Island Harbour said a lot of things. But one of the things that I was most concerned about, because it's a part of the pride of my journey to the ripe old age of 68, she said that I was not here in the Anguilla Revolution. She may have been here in the Anguilla Revolution but based on my calculation of her age, she only had a childhood's version of what happened in the Anguilla Revolution. And I recall that I used to teach at the Anguilla -- the Valley Secondary School. I began teaching in the Valley Secondary School in 1964 and I retired or left in 1968, September, to pursue a tertiary education at the College of the Virgin Islands now University of the Virgin Islands. I was very much involved and experienced a number of the critical incidents of the Anguilla Revolution of 1967, very much informed. And as a student at the College of the

Virgin Islands, a student of politics and economics, among my colleagues from Anguilla I was the spokesman on issues affecting Anguilla in the Anguilla Revolution. I was a half hour away, 45 minutes at the most. So I find it insulting and disrespectful for the Member for Island Harbour to suggest that she was a part of the concerns that molded so many of us in the Anguilla Revolution and I was not. And one of the things that came to mind was because of the kind of freedoms that existed in Anguilla when there was no really entrenched law and order, that as a teacher in the Valley Secondary School, I would have noticed that whenever you try to discipline a child and that child realise that they were in trouble, the first thing they would say to you is that you is (sic) a Bradshaw. And when they call you a Bradshaw that's the end of the story. Case closed. Likewise, a first time politician on entry to this House of Assembly finds it fit to discredit my 35 years of active politics by saying I'm an inept leader and that is perhaps because I don't know anything about the Anguilla Revolution. That is the kind of behaviour we had in this Honourable House today. And I want to say to the Member for Island Harbour that I've been involved in politics in Anguilla and the region for a number of years and I feel encouraged whenever I have to interact with my colleagues from all parts of this region to discuss issues affecting the region and Anguilla because I feel strongly that

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I do a good job in representing my country.

In this situation today when we're dealing with the technical issue of financial regulation, banking resolution, bank failures, there're so many technical issues that we must attend to. And I listened and I'm sure that the Member for Island Harbour, when she had the privilege of accompanying us to St. Kitts, would have listened to how experts on the subject could disagree on the finer details of the proposed resolution. So it means, Mr. Speaker, that it is not an easy fix. It is a process which involves experts who may not be like minded but who understand the technical issues of a resolution process.

Right now, Mr. Speaker, if you ask me, we got 15,000 bankers, economists, financiers, financial experts, and regulators operating on Anguilla. And every one of them want to see the financials, every one of them want to see the reports. To what end? They're entitled to see what is available to be seen but to what end?

I get the feeling that the Member for Island
Harbour, based on the request for a number of unedited
documents, must think that she's a member of the Monetary
Council. There're documents that my colleagues in Executive
Council are not allowed to see so I don't know why she would
believe that because she feels it's so and because she is
talking about this new found transparency in governance that

every document that comes out of the Monetary Council she entitle to see. Well if she wants to be the Minister of Finance she either have to join my Government or form a Government of her own, and that requires leadership that allows you to put a team of persons together to seek representation at that level.

2.2

Mr. Speaker, Section 32 of the Banking Act, the current Banking Act, prohibits the ECCB from disclosing information obtained during their work about depositors to the public.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Section 32 of the Current Banking Act, not the one we're forming now -- we're passing now, prohibits the ECCB from disclosing information obtained during their work about depositors to the public.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: That's the law.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Repeat that again.

MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: And I'm shocked that the Member from Island Harbour who made her politi -- her professional career by dealing with secrecy issues, particularly financial, confidential relationships and all that kind of thing, could be so disingenuous to suggest that certain information should not be held confidentially by persons who have been charged with that fiduciary responsibility.

The Member for Island Harbour read out two

documents; she spent the whole afternoon reading them. documents that she was in a workshop with us on Monday afternoon led by the Honourable Member for Sandy Hill, Minister for Home Affairs, talking about these issues and she comes in this Honourable House and reads them word for word. She doesn't appear to have any original thoughts about this issue. She read them word for word. She did not question a single item whether it was from Anguilla or from Antigua. while she don't want to make any amendments to those presentations and even though she understand the process which she was a part of, of submitting your proposed amendments and concerns to a central body for circulation during a uniform financial space known as the Eastern Caribbean Central Union, she has no suggestions about the list of concerns, no original ideas, no amendments about the list of concerns that were expressed. And I'm certain that if she will go through that list of concerns properly she would recognise that some of them are frivolous. She would recognise that some of them are frivolous. Because she has not taken the time to use any mental effort, she come in here today to filibuster this act because she has this great idea that what we should do is come in this house and meet in the committee of the whole house and go through it. I want to say to her that the committee of the whole house comprises all the members on this side of the house as well as the Member for Island Harbour and the Second

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Nominated Member. All the members on this side of the house and the Second Nominated Member and the Member for Island, that's who it comprises. So, in other words, if we had a meeting of the whole house and she didn't show up we wouldn't miss her. We would not miss her. And everybody on this side of the house who would become members of the committee of the whole house on finance are members of Executive Council or our cabinet that meets from time to time to discuss these issues, because there must be a Government cabinet that meets exclusive of Executive Council.

So, Mr. Speaker, I think that the Member for Island Harbour is extremely disingenuous in her presentation here today. She made the statement that for 16 years as Minister of Finance how come I did not revise the law as it relates to the guarantee of only \$300 to depositors, as if I'm the only Minister of Finance and lawyer in the currency union. And furthermore, she is a practicing lawyer for many, many, many years, works with banks and confidential people but she (sic) has not occurred to her in her practice, in her professional capacity to maybe suggest to persons who are not necessarily practitioners, like myself, that we need to look at that \$300 limit. But she got it up here as a big, big thing that I did not look at the issue of the \$300 limit for depositors. She also goes on to talk about why would the Government of Anguilla want to give up the revenue from the

licensing process. To me, she believes that the concern with regulation has more to do with revenue coming to the Government of Anguilla than the integrity of the regional financial system. But if she would be apprised of the facts and would care to understand the facts, the banks are licence assigned by the Minister of Finance but the funds for licensing the banks goes to the Financial Services Commission. That's where it goes, to the Financial Services Commission. So it's not as if the Government of Anguilla is losing any money from its normal operations, it is actually the Financial Services Commission who may be at a loss for some of these funds that would be coming from licensing the banks. But we believe it is a critical action that must take place.

out in her presentation. They are genuine concerns in a number of areas; genuine concerns. But there's a process for fixing those concerns because all the Attorney Generals from the region, all the drafts persons have got to sit down as lawyers, not as we in this Honourable House of Assembly, as lawyers, and not only look at the laws and the provisions but the context of those provisions, why it was necessary to make those provisions. As I said before the International or Monetary Council experts who worked and the other consultants, drafts persons who worked on this Bill didn't do it because they want to rip off Anguilla, they did it in the way they did

it because they want to ensure the strength of the financial system; to strengthen it.

She started reading the article, the presentation by Don, Justice Don Mitchell, and she stopped at a particular point and I will like to continue at section 18 where she tried to stop. And I'm not saying that everything that Don says I agree with, but if you're going to come to this Honourable House and say a couple things that matches the argument that you want to make, then I believe it is my responsibility to read the other part that does not match the argument that you want to make.

Section 18: "What is incontrovertible is that the passage of the new Banking Act into law is a necessary pre-condition for the creation of the new bank which is intended to be licensed under the new regime. And, the formation of that new bank is urgent. The deadline is said to be the end of December, though we have never been shown why. The Eastern Caribbean Currency Union is, it seems, at risk of falling off a cliff if the new standards are not put into law before the deadline.

Meanwhile, Anguilla's correspondent (sic)

banking relationships are at risk. The new bank will not be

able to enter into any correspondent (sic) banking

relationships with Europe and North American banks unless the

new Banking Act is in place. Indeed, if the new bank is not

put in place in a matter of days, we are told that the existing international banks providing banking services in Anguilla (Scotia and First Caribbean) will likely lose their existing corresponding banking relationships. All relationships between Anguilla's banks and international banks will cease. It seems that this is a principal reason for the haste with which these measures are being put in place. It is a pity that nothing was done during the past two and a half years.

It may be unfair to suggest that another reason why nothing has been done in the past two and a half years is that both the past government and the present government were concerned that all hell would break loose if the Anguilla public (most of whom are shareholders in one bank or the other) were given enough time to absorb the consequences of the resolution of the banking crisis that is now being put into effect.

As I have written elsewhere, it is unfortunate that no sufficient effort was put into rescuing the two existing banks. An insertion of new capital, and a dilution of the existing shareholders' equity, as was done in the USA and the UK, would have been immensely fairer.

And, finally a word of caution. All the explanation I have given above of what the Chief Minister is doing to the old banks and to the new bank is based on pure

speculation and on what is reported in the media. But, having practised for many years, mainly as a corporate lawyer, and having been involved in the merger of several companies over the years, I know how it is done. There may be still some surprises.

Whatever happens, it is essential that the new Banking Act be put in place in Anguilla without amendment and at the earliest possible time. The consequences of our failure to do so will be nothing short of catastrophic, not just for Anguilla but for the region.

Indeed, the British Government, we are told, have warned our government in writing that if the banking crisis is not resolved, and the new Banking Act in place before mid-Autumn, they will move in and by Order in Council, impose a solution that will be immensely more drastic than anything that the ECCB proposes. We have mere days left to act."

Now, as I said before, I'm not saying that everything that Don says there, the Honourable Justice Don Mitchell says there is completely accurate. But it points to the fact that he recognises the enormity of the problem, the challenge that we face and the urgency of responding to it. The urgency of responding to it. This is what we are here concerned about.

The Member for Island Harbour spent a lot of

time filibustering, that's what it's called. You filibuster because you want to spend a lot of time in this house talking, talking, talking so that maybe we on this side will get worn out. But let my colleagues tell you, the later in the night it is, the more energy I get. So if she believe, the Member for Island Harbour believe that by coming in this House of Assembly and repeating herself is going to cause me to want to go home, she's got a serious thing coming.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

It is obvious to me that the Member for Island Harbour is falling in the same old tactics. She talks about me refusing Ronald Webster a holiday. I was not the past Government. I was not even the Chief Minister. Why me? Why And why is that important in this discussion. Webster has his holiday. We had granted him a half holiday, he now has a full holiday; he deserves it. What is the issue here? What does that have to do with the Banking Bill? is joining other persons like the people in 50 years ago who, whenever they find themselves in a corner they call you a Bradshaw. She is joining their tactic. She's a professional woman. She's joining their tactics here in the House of If this is the quality of leadership that she Assembly. thinks I should espouse, coming in this house mumbling her way through her presentation, when she runs out of things to say or repeat, coming in here with anecdotes about picking up, as she claims, a drug problem individual in her car, a very brave

woman, and bringing his account of me in this Honourable House is a way to influence the people of Anguilla to responding in a particular way to this Banking Act, you got a difficult road ahead.

Mr. Speaker, there're a number of other things I could speak about. But I want to read to you the report from CariCRIS. CariCRIS is the rating agency based in Trinidad that examines the situation in the various territories of the region and makes comment. And they rate us whether it's a triple A rating, a triple B rating, a D rating or whatever, a F rating in terms of the economy. And their report in 2015 goes as follows:

"Anguilla's financial sector performance lags
that of its regional peers and has deteriorated every year for
the last five years. As evidenced by the level of non
performing loans which grew from 9 percent in 2008 to 49
percent in 2013 largely reflecting the prevailing difficult
economic circumstances in Anguilla. The ratio of non
performing loans to total loans in 2013 increased to that
figure, 49 percent, from 36.4 percent in 2012. CariCRIS
expects loan portfolio quality to continue to deteriorate in
2014 and 2015 on account of the continued weak economic
activity expected in Anguilla over this period. The 3-year
average ratio of non performing loans to total loans for
Anguilla of 39 percent was significantly higher than the OECS

average of 10 percent and CariCRIS' sample average of 11.7 percent. This is largely due, however, to the performance of two banks.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On February 11th, 2014, the ECCB extended a period of conservatorship over the Caribbean Commercial Bank Limited (CCB) and the National Bank of Anguilla. indicated that the conditions which existed when they assumed control of the banks in 2013 still prevail. Together these two banks accounted for approximately 77 percent of the assets of the banking sector as at December 2013. This remains a significant credit concern for CariCRIS as a failure of these banks can lead to a financial and economic crisis in Anguilla. A financial assessment of both institutions is ongoing with the valuation of the physical assets having been completed thus far. The ECCB has been holding discussions with the Government of Anguilla, the British Government, the International Monetary Fund, the World Bank regarding the conservatorship. CariCRIS expects that the two banks will likely be merged into a single recapitalised entity and the bad loans removed and transferred to a special purpose vehicle. CariCRIS expects this. CariCRIS is also of the view that a protracted delay in arriving at a decision regarding these two banks may likely lead to a further deterioration in the loan portfolio quality of both institutions."

A rating from CariCRIS is very important for a

jurisdiction because it helps us to get credit at a particular rate. And it also is a statistic against which investors measure the performance of the country in which they're going to do business.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Speaker, records will show that the banking crisis has been caused mainly by the economic recession. Anguilla which is heavily dependent on tourism had more than its share of problems mainly because the construction boom was brought to an end before the hotels could reach its operational phase. Hotels or projects directly affected are as follows: Altamer Resort, Rendezvous Bay Hotel, Viceroy Hotel now operational, Flag Luxury now purchased by Cuisinart, Forest Bay Development, Quincy Gumbs. Some other properties ceased to reduce operation for one reason or another. These include the Malliouhana Hotel, the Cap Juluca Hotel. hotels in 3 were completed and those in 4 remained operational Anguilla would not have felt the worst aspects of the recession despite the decline in our tourism arrivals. would be full employment, homes and apartments will be rented, people would be employed and loans would have been serviced for the most part. The global recession has forced the cessation of American Eagle flights throughout the Caribbean and has seemingly affected access to Anguilla as well.

So the reality is, Mr. Speaker, that all the stuff that the Member for Island Harbour is talking about is

irrelevant to the critical situation that we face in this country today. And they can call me whatever they want but I want them to know that I and my Government, the team that I lead believe strongly - and I thank Curtis for his remarks as well as all the other members of the team - are deeply concerned about the delay that we are trying to place on the resolution of this issue.

The Member for Island Harbour said that why are we in such a hurry, we have until December. Huh, do you know what has got to be put in place to make December happen? Do you believe that this is like renovating a kitchen? No. This is fixing a (sic) economy, fixing a banking sector, securing the deposits of ordinary Anguillians; that is our first business. And if the Leader of the Opposition and all those persons who she believe that she is the voice of reason for and representation, when it hit the fan, I hope that she takes the blame for any obstructionism that would have occurred as a result of her presentation.

I thank you very much, Mr. Speaker, and I commit this Honourable -- this Bill to this Honourable House.

THE SPEAKER: Thank you. It has been moved and seconded that a Bill entitled Banking Act 2015 be read a second time. Those in favour?

(Members of Government said "aye.")

Those against? Those against?

```
MEMBER FOR ISLAND HARBOUR: No. Against.
 1
                                              Okay. The ayes have
 2
                   THE SPEAKER:
     it. I call about upon the Clerk to read the Bill a second
 3
     time.
 4
                                              A Bill to provide for
                   THE CLERK:
 5
     the regulation of banking business, the establishment of a
 6
     single banking space and for incidental and related matters
 7
     and the repeal of the Banking Act Revised Statutes of
 8
     Anguilla, Chapter B11.
 9
                                              Thank you.
                                                           Under
                   THE SPEAKER:
10
     Rule 54 of the House of Assembly Procedures, the Bill now
11
     stands before a committee of the whole assembly to consider it
12
     clause by clause. Assembly in committee.
13
                                              Clauses 1 to clauses
14
                   THE CLERK:
     193.
15
                                              Clauses 1 to 193.
16
                   THE SPEAKER:
17
     Those in favour of those clauses as circulated, those in
18
     favour?
19
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
20
                   Those against?
21
                    (No response.)
22
                   The ayes have it.
23
                   THE CLERK:
                                              Schedules 1 through 4.
24
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              The question is that
25
     schedules 1 to 4 be approved as circulated. Those in favour?
```

```
1
                     (Members of Government said "aye.")
 2
                    The ayes have it.
                                               The title.
 3
                    THE CLERK:
                    THE SPEAKER:
 4
                                                The question is that
 5
     the title be approved as circulated. Those in favour?
 6
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
 7
                    Those against?
 8
                    (No response.)
 9
                    The ayes have it.
10
                    THE CLERK:
                                               The preamble.
11
                    THE SPEAKER:
                                               The question is that
12
     the preamble be approved as circulated. Those in favour?
13
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
14
                    Those against?
15
                    (No response.)
16
                    The ayes have it.
17
                    THE CLERK:
                                               The enacting clause.
18
                    THE SPEAKER:
                                               Question is that the
19
     enacting clause be approved as circulated. Those in favour?
20
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
21
                    Those against?
22
                    (No response.)
23
                    The ayes have it. The mover may report.
     the house will now resume its sitting.
2.4
25
                    MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I beg to
```

```
report that the Bill entitled the Banking Act 2015 has passed
 1
     through committee without amendments and it's ready for its
 2
     third reading.
 3
 4
                    THE SPEAKER:
                                              Thank you.
 5
                    THE CLERK:
                                              Banking Bill 2015,
 6
     third reading.
 7
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I move
 8
     that the Bill entitled an Act to provide for the regulation of
 9
     banking services, the establishment of a single banking space
10
     and for incidental and related matters and the repeal of the
11
     Banking Act be read a third time and passed.
12
                   MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Mr. Speaker, I beg to
13
     second that.
14
                   THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                     Seconded by the
15
     Member for Valley North. It has been moved and seconded that
16
     a Bill entitled an Act, Banking Act 2015, be now read a third
17
     time and passed. Those in favour?
18
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
19
                   Those against?
20
                   (No response.)
21
                   The ayes have it. Bill read a third time and
22
     passed.
23
                   THE CLERK:
                                              A Bill to provide for
24
     the regulation of banking business, the establishment of a
25
     single banking space and for incidental and related matters
```

```
and the repeal of the Banking Act, Revised Statutes of
 1
     Anguilla Chapter B11.
 2
 3
                                              Yes.
                                                     Bill read a
                    THE SPEAKER:
     third time and passed. Someone move that we be adjourned.
 4
 5
                    MEMBER FOR VALLEY SOUTH: Mr. Speaker, I move
     that this house stands adjourned until Monday 23rd at 2:00
 6
 7
     o'clock in the afternoon.
                                             Do we have a seconder?
 8
                    THE SPEAKER:
                    MEMBER FOR VALLEY NORTH: Mr. Speaker, I beg to
 9
10
     second it.
11
                    THE SPEAKER:
                                              Yes.
                                                     It has been
12
     moved and seconded that this house be adjourned until Monday
13
     23rd, 2:00 o'clock in the afternoon. Those in favour?
14
                    (Members of Government said "aye".)
15
                    Those against?
16
                    (No response.)
17
                   The ayes have it. This house now stands
18
     adjourned.
19
                    (Meeting adjourned at 7:10 p.m.)
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

We, CARLA S. RITCHIE and HEATHER R. RODNEY, Certified Court Reporters, do hereby certify:

That on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2015, the foregoing proceedings were taken down by us in machine shorthand consisting of 251 pages herein;

That the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings had;

That we are not attorneys, relatives, or employees of any party hereto, or otherwise interested in the events of this cause;

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto affixed our signatures at The Valley, Anguilla, British West Indies, this 7<sup>th</sup> day of January, 2016.

CARLA S. RITCHIE
Certified Court Reporter

HEATHER R. RODNEY Certified Court Reporter